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1 Cloud

1.1 Introduction

Cisco has defined cloud as follows:

*IT resources and services that are abstracted from the underlying infrastructure and provided on-demand and at scale in a multitenant environment.*

Cisco identifies three key components from this definition that differentiate cloud deployments from ordinary data center (DC) outsourcing strategies:

1. **“On-demand”** means that resources can be provisioned immediately when needed, released when no longer required, and billed only when used.
2. **“At-scale”** means the service provides the illusion of infinite resource availability in order to meet whatever demands are made of it.
3. **“Multitenant environment”** means that the resources are provided to many consumers from a single implementation, saving the provider significant costs.

These distinctions are important for a few reasons. Some organizations joke that migrating to cloud is simple; all they have to do is update their on-premises DC diagram with the words “Private Cloud” and upper management will be satisfied. While it is true that the term “cloud” is often abused, it is important to differentiate it from a traditional private DC.

Cloud architectures generally come in four variants:

1. **Public:** Public clouds are generally the type of cloud most people think about when the word “cloud” is spoken. They rely on a third party organization (off-premise) to provide infrastructure where a customer pays a subscription fee for a given amount of compute/storage, time, data transferred, or any other metric that meaningfully represents the customer’s “use” of the cloud provider’s shared infrastructure. Naturally, the supported organizations do not need to maintain the cloud’s physical equipment. This is viewed by many businesses as a way to reduce capital expenses (CAPEX) since purchasing new DC equipment is unnecessary. It can also reduce operating expenses (OPEX) since the cost of maintaining an on-premise DC, along with trained staff, could be more expensive than a public cloud solution. A basic public cloud design is shown in the diagram that follows; the enterprise/campus edge uses some kind of transport to reach the Cloud Service Provider (CSP) network. The transport could be the public Internet, an Internet Exchange Point (IXP), a private Wide Area Network (WAN), or something else.
2. **Private:** Like the joke above, this model is like an on-premises DC except it must supply the three key ingredients identified by Cisco to be considered a “private cloud”. Specifically, this implies automation/orchestration, workload mobility, and compartmentalization must all be supported in an on-premises DC to qualify. The organization is responsible for maintaining the cloud’s physical equipment, which is extended to include the automation and provisioning systems. This can increase OPEX as it requires trained staff. Like the on-premises DC, private clouds provide application services to a given organization and multi-tenancy is generally limited to business units or projects/programs within that organization (as opposed to external customers). The diagram that follows illustrates a high-level example of a private cloud.

3. **Virtual Private:** A virtual private cloud is a combination of public and private clouds. An organization may decide to use this to offload some (but not all) of its DC resources into the public cloud, while retaining some things in-house. This can be seen as a phased migration to public cloud, or by some skeptics, as a non-committal trial. This allows a business to objectively assess whether the cloud is the “right business decision”. This option is a bit complex as it may require moving workloads between public/private clouds on a regular basis. At the very minimum, there is the initial private-to-public migration; this could be time consuming, challenging, and expensive. This design is sometimes called a “hybrid cloud” and could, in fact, represent a business’ IT end-state. The diagram that follows...
illustrates a high-level example of a virtual-private (hybrid) cloud.

4. **Inter-cloud**: Like the Internet (an interconnection of various autonomous systems provide reachability between all attached networks), Cisco suggests that, in the future, the contiguity of cloud computing may extend between many third-party organizations. This is effectively how the Internet works; a customer signs a contract with a given service provider (SP) yet has access to resources from several thousand other service providers on the Internet. The same concept could be applied to cloud and this is an active area of research for Cisco.

Below is a based-on-a-true-story discussion that highlights some of the decisions and constraints relating to cloud deployments.

1. An organization decides to retain their existing on-premises DC for legal/compliance reasons. By adding automation/orchestration and multi-tenancy components, they are able to quickly increase and decrease virtual capacity. Multiple business units or supported organizations are free to adjust their security policy requirements within the shared DC in a manner that is secure and invisible to other tenants; this is the result of compartmentalization within the cloud architecture. This deployment would qualify as a “private cloud”.

2. Years later, the same organization decides to keep their most important data on-premises to meet seemingly-inflexible Government regulatory requirements, yet feels that migrating a portion of their private cloud to the public cloud is a solution to reduce OPEX long term. This increases the scalability of the systems for which the Government does not regulate, such as virtualized network components or identity services, as the on-premises DC is bound by CAPEX reductions. The private cloud footprint can now be reduced as it is used only for a subset of tightly controlled systems, while the more generic platforms can be hosted from a cloud provider at lower cost. Note that actually exchanging/migrating workloads between the two clouds at will is not appropriate for this organization as they are simply trying to outsource capacity to reduce cost. As discussed earlier, this deployment could be considered a “virtual private cloud” by Cisco, but is also commonly referred to as a “hybrid cloud”.

3. Years later still, this organization considers a full migration to the public cloud. Perhaps this is made possible by the relaxation of the existing Government regulations or by the new security enhance-
ments offered by cloud providers. In either case, the organization can migrate its customized systems to the public cloud and consider a complete decommission of their existing private cloud. Such decommissioning could be done gracefully, perhaps by first shutting down the entire private cloud and leaving it in “cold standby” before removing the physical racks. Rather than using the public cloud to augment the private cloud (like a virtual private cloud), the organization could migrate to a fully public cloud solution.

Cloud implementation can be broken into 2 main categories: how the cloud provider works, and how customers connect to the cloud. The second question is more straightforward to answer and is discussed first. There are three main options for connecting to a cloud provider, but this list is by no means exhaustive:

1. **Private WAN (like MPLS L3VPN):** Using the existing private WAN, the cloud provider is connected as an extranet. To use MPLS L3VPN as an example, the cloud-facing PE exports a central service route-target (RT) and imports corporate VPN RT. This approach could give direct cloud access to all sites in a highly scalable, highly performing fashion. Traffic performance would (should) be protected under the ISP’s SLA to cover both site-to-site customer traffic and site-to-cloud/cloud-to-site customer traffic. The ISP may even offer this cloud service natively as part of the service contract. Certain services could be collocated in an SP POP as part of that SP’s cloud offering. The private WAN approach is likely to be expensive and as companies try to drive OPEX down, a private WAN may not even exist. Private WAN is also good for virtual private (hybrid) cloud assuming the ISP’s SLA is honored and is routinely measuring better performance than alternative connectivity options. Virtual private cloud makes sense over private WAN because the SLA is assumed to be better, therefore the intra-DC traffic (despite being inter-site) will not suffer performance degradation. Services could be spread between the private and public clouds assuming the private WAN bandwidth is very high and latency is very low, both of which would be required in a cloud environment. It is not recommended to do this as the amount of intra-workflow bandwidth (database server on-premises and application/web server in the cloud, for example) is expected to be very high. The diagram that follows depicts private WAN connectivity assuming MPLS L3VPN. In this design, branches could directly access cloud resources without transiting the main site.
2. Internet Exchange Point (IXP): A customer’s network is connected via the IXP LAN (might be a LAN/VLAN segment or a layer-2 overlay) into the cloud provider’s network. The IXP network is generally access-like and connects different organizations together so that they can peer with Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) directly, but typically does not provide transit services between sites like a private WAN. Some describe an IXP as a “bandwidth bazaar” or “bandwidth marketplace” where such exchanges can happen in a local area. A strict SLA may not be guaranteed but performance would be expected to be better than the Internet VPN. This is likewise an acceptable choice for virtual private (hybrid) cloud but lacks the tight SLA typically offered in private WAN deployments. A company could, for example, use internet VPNs for inter-site traffic and an IXP for public cloud access. A private WAN for inter-site access is also acceptable.
3. **Internet VPN**: By far the most common deployment, a customer creates a secure VPN over the Internet (could be multipoint if outstations require direct access as well) to the cloud provider. It is simple and cost effective, both from a WAN perspective and DC perspective, but offers no SLA whatsoever. Although suitable for most customers, it is likely to be the most inconsistently performing option. While broadband Internet connectivity is much cheaper than private WAN bandwidth (in terms of price per Mbps), the quality is often lower. Whether this is “better” is debatable and depends on the business drivers. Also note that Internet VPNs, even high bandwidth ones, offer no latency guarantees at all. This option is best for fully public cloud solutions since the majority of traffic transiting this VPN tunnel should be user service flows. The solution is likely to be a poor choice for virtual private clouds, especially if workloads are distributed between the private and public clouds. The biggest drawback of the Internet VPN access design is that slow cloud performance as a result of the “Internet” is something a company cannot influence; buying more bandwidth is the only feasible solution. In this example, the branches don’t have direct Internet access (but they could), so they rely on an existing private WAN to reach the cloud service provider.
1.2 Infrastructure, platform, and software as a service (XaaS)

Cisco defines four critical service layers of cloud computing:

1. **Software as a Service (SaaS)** is where application services are delivered over the network on a subscription and on-demand basis. A simple example would be to create a document but not installing the appropriate text editor on a user’s personal computer. Instead, the application is hosted “as a service” that a user can access anywhere, anytime, from any machine. SaaS is an interface between users and a hosted application, often times a hosted web application. Examples of SaaS include Cisco WebEx, Microsoft Office 365, github.com, blogger.com, and even Amazon Web Services (AWS) Lambda functions. This last example is particularly interesting since, according to Amazon, the “more granular model provides us with a much richer set of opportunities to align tenant activity with resource consumption”. Being “serverless”, lambda functions execute a specific task based on what the customer needs, and only the resources consumed during that task’s execution (compute, storage, and network) are billed.

2. **Platform as a Service (PaaS)** consists of run-time environments and software development frameworks and components delivered over the network on a pay-as-you-go basis. PaaS offerings are typically presented as API to consumers. Similar to SaaS, PaaS is focused on providing a complete development environment for computer programmers to test new applications, typically in the development (dev) phase. Although less commonly used by organizations using mostly commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) applications, it is a valuable offering for organizations developing and maintaining specific, in-house applications. PaaS is an interface between a hosted application and a development/scripting environment that supports it. Cisco provides WebEx Connect as a PaaS offering. Other examples
of PaaS include the specific-purpose AWS services like Route 53 for Domain Name Service (DNS) support, CloudFront/CloudWatch for collecting performance metrics, and a wide variety of Relational Database Service (RDS) offerings for storing data. The customer consumes these services but does not have to maintain them (patching, updates, etc.) as part of their network operations.

3. *Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS)* is where compute, network, and storage are delivered over the network on a pay-as-you-go basis. The approach that Cisco is taking is to enable service providers to move into this area. This is likely the first thing that comes to mind when individuals think of “cloud”. It represents the classic “outsourced DC” mentality that has existed for years and gives the customer flexibility to deploy any applications they wish. Compared to SaaS, IaaS just provides the “hardware”, roughly speaking, while SaaS provides both the underlying hardware and software application running on it. IaaS may also provide a virtualization layer by means of a hypervisor. A good example of an IaaS deployment could be a miniature public cloud environment within an SP point of presence (POP) which provides additional services for each customer: firewall, intrusion prevention, WAN acceleration, etc. IaaS is effectively an interface between an operating system and the underlying hardware resources. More general-purpose EC2 services such as Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2) and Simple Storage Service (S3) qualify as IaaS since the AWS’ management is limited to the underlying infrastructure, not the objects within each service. The customer is responsible for basic maintenance (patching, hardening, etc.) of these virtual instances and data products.

4. *IT foundation is the basis of the above value chain layers. It provides basic building blocks to architect and enable the above layers.* While more abstract than the XaaS layers already discussed, the IT foundation is generally a collection of core technologies that evolve over time. For example, DC virtualization became very popular about 15 years ago and many organizations spent most of the last decade virtualizing “as much as possible”. DC fabrics have also changed in recent years; the original designs represented a traditional core/distribution/access layer design yet the newer designs represent leaf/spine architectures. These are “IT foundation” changes that occur over time which help shape the XaaS offerings, which are always served using the architecture defined at this layer. Cisco views DC evolution in five phases:

(a) **Consolidation**: Driven mostly by business needs to reduce costs, this phase focused on reducing edge computing and reducing the number of total DCs within an enterprise. DCs started to take form with two major components:

   i. **Data Center Network (DCN)**: Provides the underlying reachability between attached devices in the DC, such as compute, storage, and management tools.

   ii. **Storage Area Network (SAN)**: While this may be integrated or entirely separate from the DCN, it is a core component in the DC. Storage devices are interconnected over a SAN which typically extends to servers needing to access the storage.

(b) **Abstraction**: To further reduce costs and maximize return on investment (ROI), this phase introduces pervasive virtualization. This provides virtual machine/workload mobility and availability to DC operators.

(c) **Automation**: To improve business agility, automation can rapidly and consistently “do things” within a DC. These things include routine system management, service provisioning, or business-specific tasks like processing credit card information.

(d) **Cloud**: With the previous phases complete, the cloud model of IT services delivered as a utility becomes possible for many enterprises. Such designs may include a mix of public and private cloud solutions.

(e) **Intercloud**: Discussed earlier, this is Cisco’s vision of cloud interconnection to generally mirror the Internet concept. At this phase, internal and external clouds will coexist, federate, and share resources dynamically.

Although not defined in formal Cisco documentation, there are many more flavors of XaaS. Below are some additional examples of storage related services commonly offered by large cloud providers:
1. **Database-as-a-Service:** Some applications require databases, especially relational databases like the SQL family. This service would provide the database itself and the ability for the database to connect to the application so it can be utilized. AWS RDS services qualify as offerings in this category.

2. **Object-Storage-as-a-Service:** Sometimes cloud users only need access to files independent from a specific application. Object storage is effectively a remote file share for this purpose, which in many cases can also be utilized by an application internally. This service provides the object storage service as well as the interfaces necessary for users and applications to access it. AWS S3 is an example of this service, which in some cases is a subset of IaaS/PaaS.

3. **Block-Storage-as-a-Service:** These services are commonly tied to applications that require access to the disks themselves. Applications can format the disks and add whatever file system is necessary, or perhaps use the disk for some other purpose. This service provides the block storage assets (disks, logical unit number or LUNs, etc.) and the interfaces to connect the storage assets to the applications themselves. AWS Elastic Block Storage (EBS) is an example of this service.

This book provides a more complete look into popular cloud service offerings in the OpenStack section. Note OpenStack was removed from the new v1.1 blueprint but was retained at the end of this book.

### 1.3 Performance, scalability, and high availability

Assessing the performance and reliability of cloud networks presents an interesting set of trade-offs. For years, network designers have considered creating “failure domains” in the network so as to isolate faults. With routing protocols, this is conceptually easy to understand, but often times difficult to design and implement, especially when considering business/technical constraints. Designing a DC comes with its own set of trade-offs when identifying the “failure domains” (which are sometimes called “availability zones” within a fabric), but that is outside the scope of this document. The real trade-offs with a cloud environment revolve around the introduction of automation. Automation is discussed in detail elsewhere, but the trade-offs are discussed here as they directly influence the performance and reliability of a system. Note that this discussion is typically relevant for private and virtual private clouds, as a public cloud provider will always be large enough to warrant several automation tools.

Automation usually reduces the total cost of ownership (TCO), which is desirable for any business. This is the result of reducing the time (and labor wages) it takes for individuals to “do things”: provision a new service, create a backup, add VLANs to switches, test MPLS traffic-engineering tunnel computations, etc. The trade-off is that all software (including the automation system being discussed) requires maintenance, whether that is in the form of in-house development or a subscription fee from a third-party. If in the form of in-house development, software engineers are paid to maintain and troubleshoot the software which could potentially be more expensive than just doing things manually, depending on how much maintenance and unit testing the software requires. Most individuals who have worked as software developers (including the author) know that bugs or feature requests always seem to pop up, and maintenance is continuous for any non-trivial piece of code. Businesses must also consider the cost of the subscription for the automation software against the cost of not having it (in labor wages). Typically this becomes a simple choice as the network grows; automation often shines here. Automation is such a key component of cloud environments because the cost of dealing with software maintenance is almost always less than the cost of a large IT staff.

Automation can also be used for root cause analysis (RCA) whereby the tool can examine all the components of a system to test for faults. For example, suppose an eBGP session fails between two organizations. The script might test for IP reachability between the eBGP routers first, followed by verifying no changes to the infrastructure access lists applied on the interface. It might also collect performance characteristics of the inter-AS link to check for packet loss. Last, it might check for fragmentation on the link by sending large pings with “don’t fragment” set. This information can feed into the RCA which is reviewed by the network staff and presented to management after an outage.

The main takeaway is that automation should be deployed where it makes sense (TCO reduction) and where it can be maintained with a reasonable amount of effort. Failing to provide the maintenance re-
sources needed to sustain an automation infrastructure can lead to disastrous results. With automation, the “blast radius”, or potential scope of damage, can be very large. A real-life story from the author: when updating SNMPv3 credentials, the wrong privacy algorithm was configured, causing 100% of devices to be unmanageable via SNMPv3 for a short time. Correcting the change was easily done using automation, and the business impact was minimal, but it negatively affected every router, switch, and firewall in the network.

Automation helps maximize the performance and reliability of a cloud environment. Another key aspect of cloud design is accessibility, which assumes sufficient network bandwidth to reach the cloud environment. A DC that was once located at a corporate site with 2,000 employees was accessible to those employees over a company’s campus LAN architecture. Often times this included high-speed core and DC edge layers whereby accessing DC resources was fast and highly available. With public cloud, the Internet/private WAN becomes involved, so cloud access becomes an important consideration.

Achieving cloud scalability is often reliant on many components supporting the cloud architecture. These components include the network fabric, the application design, the virtualization/segmentation design, and others. The ability of cloud networks to provide seamless and simple interoperability between applications can be difficult to assess. Applications that are written in-house will probably interoperate better in the private cloud since the third-party provider may not have a simple mechanism to integrate with these custom applications. This is very common in the military space as in-house applications are highly customized and often lack standards-based APIs. Some cloud providers may not have this problem, but this depends entirely on their network/application hosting software (OpenStack is one example discussed later in this document). If the application is coded “correctly”, APIs would be exposed so that additional provider-hosted applications can integrate with the in-house application. Too often, custom applications are written in a silo where no such APIs are presented.

The table that follows compares access methods, reliability, and other characteristics of the different cloud solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public Cloud</th>
<th>Private Cloud</th>
<th>Virtual Private Cloud</th>
<th>Inter-Cloud</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Network Access</strong></td>
<td>Often times relies on Internet VPN, but could also use an Internet Exchange (IX) or private WAN</td>
<td>Corporate LAN or WAN, which is often private. Could be Internet-based if SD-WAN deployments (e.g. Viptela) are considered</td>
<td>Combination of corporate WAN for the private cloud components and whatever the public cloud access method is</td>
<td>Same as public cloud, except relies on the Internet as transport between clouds/cloud deployments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliability and Accessibility</strong></td>
<td>Heavily dependent on highly-available and high-bandwidth links to the cloud provider</td>
<td>Often times high given the common usage of private WANs (backed by carrier SLAs)</td>
<td>Typically higher reliability to access the private WAN components, but depends entirely on the public cloud access method</td>
<td>Assuming applications are distributed, reliability can be quite high if at least one “cloud” is accessible (anycast)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fault Tolerance
- Typically high as the cloud provider is expected to have a highly redundant architecture based on cost
- Often constrained by corporate CAPEX, tends to be a bit lower than a managed cloud service given the smaller DCs
- Unlike public or private, the networking link between clouds is an important consideration for fault tolerance
- Assuming applications are distributed, fault-tolerance can be quite high if at least one “cloud” is accessible (anycast)

### Performance
- Typically high as the cloud provider is expected to have a very dense compute/storage architecture
- Often constrained by corporate CAPEX, tends to be a bit lower than a managed cloud service given the smaller DCs
- Unlike public or private, the networking link between clouds is an important consideration, especially when applications are distributed across the two clouds
- Unlike public or private, the networking link between clouds is an important consideration, especially when applications are distributed across the two clouds

### Scalability
- Appears to be “infinite” which allows the customer to provision new services quickly
- High CAPEX and OPEX to expand it, which limits scale within a business
- Scales well given public cloud resources
- Highest; massively distributed architecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault Tolerance</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Typically high as the cloud provider is expected to have a highly redundant architecture based on cost</td>
<td>Often constrained by corporate CAPEX, tends to be a bit lower than a managed cloud service given the smaller DCs</td>
<td>Appears to be “infinite” which allows the customer to provision new services quickly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often constrained by corporate CAPEX, tends to be a bit lower than a managed cloud service given the smaller DCs</td>
<td>Unlike public or private, the networking link between clouds is an important consideration for fault tolerance</td>
<td>High CAPEX and OPEX to expand it, which limits scale within a business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlike public or private, the networking link between clouds is an important consideration, especially when applications are distributed across the two clouds</td>
<td>Assuming applications are distributed, fault-tolerance can be quite high if at least one “cloud” is accessible (anycast)</td>
<td>Scales well given public cloud resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlike public or private, the networking link between clouds is an important consideration, especially when applications are distributed across the two clouds</td>
<td></td>
<td>Highest; massively distributed architecture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Cloud Design Comparison

### 1.4 Security implications, compliance, and policy

From a purely network-focused perspective, many would argue that public cloud security is superior to private cloud security. This is the result of hiring an organization whose entire business revolves around providing a secure, high-performing, and highly-available network. A business where “the network is not the business” may be less inclined or less interested in increasing OPEX within the IT department, the dreaded cost center. The counter-argument is that public cloud physical security is always questionable, even if the digital security is strong. Should a natural disaster strike a public cloud facility where disk drives are scattered across a large geographic region (tornado comes to mind), what is the cloud provider’s plan to protect customer data? What if the data is being stored in a region of the world known to have unfriendly relations towards the home country of the supported business? These are important questions to ask because when data is in the public cloud, the customer never really knows exactly “where” the data is physically stored. This uncertainty can be offset by using “availability zones” where some cloud providers will ensure the data is confined to a given geographic region. In many cases, this sufficiently addresses the concern for most customers, but not always. As a customer, it is also hard to enforce and prove this. This sometimes comes with an additional cost, too. Note that disaster recovery (DR) is also a component of business continuity (BC) but like most things, it has security considerations as well.

Privacy in the cloud is achieved mostly by introducing multi-tenancy separation. Compartmentalization at the host, network, and application layers ensure that the entire cloud architecture keeps data private; that is to say, customers can never access data from other customers. Sometimes this multi-tenancy can be done as crudely as separating different customers onto different hosts, which use different VLANs and are protected behind different virtual firewall contexts. Sometimes the security is integrated with an application shared by many customers using some kind of public key infrastructure (PKI). Often times maintaining this security and privacy is a combination of many techniques. Like all things, the security posture is a continuum.
which could be relaxed between tenants if, for example, the two of them were partners and wanted to share information within the same public cloud provider (like a cloud extranet).

The table that follows compares the security and privacy characteristics between the different cloud deployment options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public Cloud</th>
<th>Private Cloud</th>
<th>Virtual Private Cloud</th>
<th>Inter-Cloud</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digital security</strong></td>
<td>Typically has best trained staff, focused on the network and not much else (network is the business)</td>
<td>Focused IT staff but likely not IT-focused upper management (network is likely not the business)</td>
<td>Coordination between clouds could provide attack surfaces, but isn’t wide-spread</td>
<td>Coordination between clouds could provide attack surfaces (like what BGPsec is designed to solve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical security</strong></td>
<td>One cannot pinpoint their data within the cloud provider’s network</td>
<td>Generally high as a business knows where the data is stored, breaches notwithstanding</td>
<td>Combination of public and private; depends on application component distribution</td>
<td>One cannot pinpoint their data anywhere in the world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Privacy</strong></td>
<td>Transport from premises to cloud should be secured (Internet VPN, secure private WAN, etc.)</td>
<td>Generally secure assuming corporate WAN is secure</td>
<td>Need to ensure any replicated traffic between public/private clouds is protected; generally this is true with site to site VPNs</td>
<td>Need to ensure any replicated traffic between distributed public clouds is protected; customers can’t perform it, but cloud providers should provide it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Cloud Security Comparison

1.5 Workload migration

Workload mobility is a generic goal and has been around since the first virtualized DCs were created. This gives IT administrators an increased ability to share resources amount different workloads within the virtual DC (which could consist of multiple DCs connected across a Data Center Interconnect, or DCI). It also allows workloads to be balanced across a collection of resources. For example, if 4 hosts exist in a cluster, one of them might be performing more than 50% of the computationally-expensive work while the others are underutilized. The ability to move these workloads is an important capability.

It is important to understand that workload mobility is not necessarily the same thing as VM mobility. For example, a workload’s accessibility can be abstracted using anycast while the application exists in multiple availability zones (AZ) spread throughout the cloud provider’s network. Using Domain Name System (DNS), different application instances can be utilized based on geographic location, time of day, etc. The VMs have not actually moved but the resource performing the workload may vary.

Although this concept has been around since the initial virtualization deployments, it is even more relevant in cloud, since the massively scalable and potentially distributed nature of that environment is abstracted into a single “cloud” entity. Using the cluster example from above, those 4 hosts might not even be in the same DC, or even within the same cloud provider (with hybrid or Inter-cloud deployments). The concept
of workload mobility needs to be extended large-scale; note that this doesn’t necessarily imply layer-2 extensions across the globe. It simply implies that the workload needs to be moved or distributed differently, which can be solved with geographically-based anycast solutions, for example.

As discussed in the automation/orchestration section above, orchestrating workloads is a major goal of cloud computing. The individual tasks that are executed in sequence (and conditionally) by the orchestration engine could be distributed throughout the cloud. The task itself (and the code for it) is likely centralized in a code repository, which helps promote the “infrastructure as code” concept. The task/script code can be modified, ultimately changing the infrastructure without logging into individual devices. This has CM benefits for the managed device, since the device’s configuration does not need to be under CM at all anymore.

### 1.6 Compute virtualization

Conceptually, containers and virtual machines are similar in that they are a way to virtualize services/machines on a single platform, effectively achieving multi-tenancy. The subsections of this section will focus on their differences and use cases, rather than discuss them at the top-level section.

A brief discussion on two new design paradigms popular within any data center is warranted. **Hyper-convergence and disaggregation** are polar opposites but are both highly effective in solving specific business problems.

Hyper-convergence attempts to address issues with data center management and resource provisioning. For example, the traditional DC architecture will consist of four main components: network, storage, compute, and services (firewalls, load balancers, etc.). These decoupled items could be combined into a single and unified management infrastructure. The virtualization and management layers are integrated into a single appliance, and these appliances can be bolted together to scale-out linearly. Cisco sometimes refers to this as the Lego block model. This reduces the capital investments a business must make over time since the architecture need not change as the business grows. Hyper-converged systems, by virtue of their integrated management solution, simplify life cycle management of DC assets as the “single pane of glass” concept can be used to manage all components. Cisco’s Hyperflex (also called Flexpod) is an example of a hyper-converged solution.

Disaggregation is the opposite of hyper-convergence in that rather than combining functions (storage, network, and compute) into a single entity, it breaks them apart even further. A network appliance, such as a router or switch, can be decoupled from its network operating system (NOS). A white box or brite box switch can be purchased at low cost with some other NOS installed, such as Cumulus Linux. Cumulus generally does not sell hardware, only a NOS, much like VMware. Server/computer disaggregation has been around for decades since the introduction of the personal computer (PC) whereby the common Microsoft Windows operating system was installed on machines from a variety of manufacturers. Disaggregation in the network realm has been adopted more slowly but has merit for the same reasons.

#### 1.6.1 Virtual Machines

Virtual machine systems rely on a hypervisor, which is a software shim that sits between the VMs themselves and the underlying hardware. The hardware chipset would need to support this virtualization, which is a technique to present hardware to VMs through the hypervisor. Each VM has its own OS which is independent from the hypervisor. Hypervisors come in two flavors:

1. **Type 1**: Runs on bare metal and is effectively an OS by itself. VMware ESXi and Linux Kernel-based Virtual Machine (KVM) and are examples.
2. **Type 2**: Requires an underlying OS and provides virtualization services on top through a hardware abstraction layer (HAL). VMware Workstation and VirtualBox are examples.

VMs are considered quite heavyweight with respect to the overhead needed to run them. This can reduce the efficiency of a hardware platform as the VM count grows. It is especially inefficient when all of the VMs
run the same OS with very few differences other than configuration. A demonstration of virtual machines is included in the NFVIS section of this document and is focused on virtual network functions (VNF).

1.6.2 Containers with Docker Demonstration

Containers on a given machine all share the same OS, unlike with VMs. This reduces the amount of overhead, such as idle memory taxes, storage space for VM OS images, and the general maintenance associated with maintaining VMs. Multi-tenancy is achieved by memory isolation, effectively segmenting the different services deployed in different containers. There is still a thin software shim between the underlying OS and the containers known as the container manager, which enforces the multi-tenancy via memory isolation and other techniques.

The main drawback of containers is that all containers must share the same OS. For applications or services where such behavior is desired (for example, a container per customer consuming a specific service), containers are a good choice. As a general-purpose virtualization platform in environments where requirements may change often (such as military networks), containers are a poor choice.

Docker and Linux Containers (LXC) are popular examples of container engines. The image that follow is from www.docker.com that compares VMs to containers at a high level.

![Figure 7: Comparing Virtual Machines and Containers](image)

This book does not detail the full Docker installation on CentOS because it is already well-documented and not relevant to learning about containers. Once Docker has been installed, run the following verification commands to ensure it is functioning correctly. Any modern version of Docker is sufficient to follow the example that will be discussed.

```
[centos@docker build]$ which docker & docker --version
/usr/bin/docker
Docker version 17.09.1-ce, build 19e2cf6
```

Begin by running a new CentOS7 container. These images are stored on DockerHub and are automatically downloaded when they are not locally present. For example, this machine has not run any containers yet, and no images have been explicitly downloaded. Thus, Docker is smart enough to pull the proper image from DockerHub and spin up a new container. This only takes a few seconds on a high-speed Internet connection. Once complete, Docker drops the user into a new shell as the root user inside the container. The -i and -t options enable an interactive TTY session, respectively, which is great for demonstrations. Note that running Docker containers in the background is much more common as there are typically many containers.

```
[centos@docker build]$ docker container run -it centos:7
Unable to find image 'centos:7' locally
7: Pulling from library/centos
```
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To verify that the correct container was downloaded, run the following command. Then, exit from the container, as the only use for CentOS7 in our example is to serve as a “base” image for the custom Ansible image to be created.

```
[root@088bbd2a7544 ~]# cat /etc/redhat-release
CentOS Linux release 7.4.1708 (Core)
```

Exiting from the container effectively halts it, much like a process exiting in Linux. Two interesting things have occurred. First, the image that was downloaded is now stored locally in the image list. The image came from the “centos” repository with a tag of 7. Tags typically differentiate between variants of a common image, such as version numbers or special features. Second, the container list shows a CentOS7 container that recently exited. Every container gets a random hexadecimial ID and random text names for reference. The output can be very long, and so has been edited to fit the page neatly.

```
[centos@docker build]$ docker image ls
REPOSITORY   TAG IMAGE ID CREATED SIZE
centos 7     e934aafc2206 7 weeks ago 199MB
```

To build a custom image, one creates a Dockerfile. It is a plain text file that closely resembles a shell script and is designed to procedurally assemble the required components of a container image for use later. The author already created a Dockerfile using a CentOS7 image as a basic image and added some additional features to it. Every step has been commented for clarity.

Dockerfiles are typically written to minimize the both number of “layers” and amount of build time. Each instruction generally qualifies as a layer. The more complex and less variable layers should be placed towards the top of the Dockerfile, making them deeper layers. For example, installing key packages and cloning the code necessary for the container’s primary purpose occurs early. Layers that are more likely to change, such as version-specific Ansible environment setup parameters, can come later. This way, if the Ansible environment changes and the image needs to be rebuilt, only the layers at or after the point of modification must be rebuilt. The base CentOS7 image and original yum package installations remain unchanged, substantially reducing the image build time. Fewer RUN directives also results in fewer layers, which explains the extensive use of && and \ in the Dockerfile.

```
[centos@docker build]$ cat Dockerfile
# Start from CentOS 7 base image.
FROM centos:7

# Perform a number of shell commands to prepare the image:
# * Update existing packages and install some new ones (alphabetical order)
# * Clear the yum cache to reduce image size
# * Minimally clone the specific branch to test
# * Set up ansible environment
# * Install PIP
# * Install remaining ansible requirements through pip
RUN yum update -y &&
    yum install -y git \tree
```
which

yum clean all

git clone
  --branch command_authorization_failed_ios_regex
  --depth 1
  --single-branch
  --recursive
  https://github.com/rcarrillocruz/ansible.git

# Setup the ansible environment and install dependencies via pip.
RUN /bin/bash -c "source /ansible/hacking/env-setup"
  echo "source /ansible/hacking/env-setup -q" >> /root/.bashrc

curl "https://bootstrap.pypa.io/get-pip.py" -o "get-pip.py"
python get-pip.py
rm -f get-pip.py
pip install -r /ansible/requirements.txt

# When starting a shell, start here to save a "cd" command.
# The ansible.cfg file, along with example inventories and playbooks,
# are located in this directory.
WORKDIR /ansible/examples

# Verify ansible on this image is functional for a "healthy" status.
# This only checks that the Ansible binary is in our PATH. A more interesting
# check could be running a simple Ansible playbook or "ansible version",
# but for this demo, the check is kept very basic.
HEALTHCHECK --interval=5m CMD which ansible || exit 1

The Dockerfile is effectively a set of instructions used to build a custom image. To build the image based
on the Dockerfile, issue the command below. The -t option specifies a tag, and in this case, cmd_authz is used
since this particular Dockerfile is using a specific branch from a specific Ansible developer's personal Github
page. It would be unwise to call this simple ansible or ansible:latest due to the very specific nature of
this container and subsequent test. Because the user is in the same directory as the Dockerfile, specify the .
to choose the current directory. Each of the 5 steps in the Dockerfile (FROM, RUN, RUN, WORKDIR, HEALTHCHECK)
are logged in the output below. The output looks almost identical to what one would see through stdout.

[centos@docker build]$ docker image build -t ansible:cmd_authz .
Sending build context to Docker daemon 7.168kB
Step 1/5 : FROM centos:7
  ---> e934aafc2206
Step 2/5 : RUN yum update -y && yum install -y git
  Loaded plugins: fastestmirror, ovl
  Determining fastest mirrors
  * base: mirrors.lga7.us.voxel.net
  * extras: repo1.ash.innoscale.net
  * updates: repos-va.psychz.net
  Resolving Dependencies
  --> Running transaction check
  --> Package acl.x86_64 0:2.2.51-12.el7 will be updated
  [snip, many more packages]
  Complete!
  Loaded plugins: fastestmirror, ovl
  Cleaning repos: base extras updates
  Cleaning up everything
  Cleaning up list of fastest mirrors
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Cloning into 'ansible'...
---> b6b3ec4a0efb
Removing intermediate container 84f969f5ee06
Step 3/5 : RUN /bin/bash -c "source /ansible/hacking/env-setup" \k [snip]
[snip, progress messages]
Done!

% Total % Received % Xferd Average Speed Time Time Time Current
Dload Upload Total Spent Left Speed
100 1603k 100 1603k 0 0 6836k 0 --:--:-- --:--:-- --:--:-- 6854k
Collecting pip
  Downloading https://files.pythonhosted.org/packages/0f/74/ecd13431bcc [snip]
Collecting setuptools
  [snip, pip installations]
Successfully installed MarkupSafe-1.0 [snip]
Removing intermediate container f8344dfe7384
Step 4/5 : WORKDIR /ansible/examples
  ---> 62ef1320c8da
Removing intermediate container f6b0e7ba51e1
Step 5/5 : HEALTHCHECK --interval=5m CMD which ansible || exit 1
  ---> Running in d17db16564d2
  ---> a8a6ac1b44e2
Removing intermediate container d17db16564d2
Successfully built a8a6ac1b44e2
Successfully tagged ansible:cmd_authz
Once complete, there will be a new image in the image list. Note that there are not any new containers, since this image has not been run yet. It is ready to be instantiated as a container, or even pushed up to DockerHub for others to use. Last, note that the container more than doubled in size. Because many new packages were added for specific purposes, this makes the container less portable. Smaller is always better, especially for generic images.

[centos@docker build]$ docker image ls
REPOSITORY TAG IMAGE ID CREATED SIZE
ansible cmd_authz a8a6ac1b44e2 2 minutes ago 524MB
centos 7 e934aafc2206 7 weeks ago 199MB

For additional detail about this image, the following command returns extensive data in JSON format. Docker uses a technique called layering whereby each command in a Dockerfile is a layer, and making changes later in the Dockerfile won’t affect the lower layers. This is why the things least likely to change should be placed towards the top, such as the base image, common package installs, etc. This reduces image building time when Dockerfiles are changed.

[centos@docker build]$ docker image inspect a8a6ac1b44e2 | head -5
[
  {
    "Id": "sha256:a8a6ac1b44e28f654572bfc57761aabb5a92019c[snip]",
    "RepoTags": [
      "ansible:cmd_authz"
    ]
  }
]

To run a container, use the same command shown earlier to start the CentOS7 container. Specify the image name and in less than second, the new container is 100% operational. Ansible should be installed on this container as part of the image creation process, so be sure to test this. Running the “setup” module on the control machine (the container itself) should yield several lines of JSON output about the device itself. Note that, towards the bottom of this output dump, ansible is aware that it is inside a Docker container.

[centos@docker build]$ docker container run -it ansible:cmd_authz
[root@04eb3ee71a52 examples]# which ansible && ansible -m setup localhost
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Next, create the playbook used to test the specific issue. The full playbook is shown below. For those not familiar with Ansible at all, please see the Ansible demonstration in this book, or go to the author’s Github page for many production-quality examples. This 3 step playbook is simple:

1. Define the login credentials so Ansible can log into the router.
2. Log into the router, enter configuration mode, and run “do show clock”. Store the output.
3. Print out the value of the output variable and look for the date/time in the JSON structure.

```yaml
# issue31575.yml
- hosts: csr1.njrusmc.net
  gather_facts: false
  connection: network_cli
  tasks:
    - name: "SYS >> Define router credentials"
      set_fact:
        provider:
          host: "{{ inventory_hostname }}"
          username: "ansible"
          password: "ansible"
    - name: "IOS >> Run show command from config mode"
      ios_config:
        provider: "{{ provider }}"
        commands: "do show clock"
        match: none
        register: output
    - name: "DEBUG >> Print output"
      debug:
        var: output
```

Before running this playbook, a few Ansible adjustments are needed. First, adjust the ansible.cfg file to use the hosts.yml inventory file and disable host key checking. Ansible needs to know which network devices are in its inventory and how to handle unknown SSH keys.

```
[defaults]
inventory = hosts.yml
host_key_checking = False
```
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Next, ensure the inventory contains the specific router in question. In this case, it is a Cisco CSR1000v running in AWS. Note that we would have used `echo` commands in our Dockerfile to address these issues in advance, but this specific information makes the docker image less useful and less portable.

```yaml
---
# hosts.yml

# This is the default ansible 'hosts' file.
# It should live in /etc/ansible/hosts
# but can be renamed to hosts.yml

all:
  hosts:
    csr1.njrusmc.net
```

Before connecting, ensure your container can use DNS to resolve the IP address for the router’s hostname (assuming you are using DNS), and ensure the container can ping the router. This rules out any networking problems. The author does not show the initial setup of the CSR1000v, which includes adding a username/password of ansible/ansible, and nothing else.

```
[root@04eb3ee71a52 examples]# ping -c 3 csr1.njrusmc.net
PING csr1.njrusmc.net (18.x.x.x) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from ec2-18-x-x-x-x.com (18.x.x.x): icmp_seq=1 ttl=253 time=0.884 ms
64 bytes from ec2-18-x-x-x-x.com (18.x.x.x): icmp_seq=2 ttl=253 time=1.03 ms
64 bytes from ec2-18-x-x-x-x.com (18.x.x.x): icmp_seq=3 ttl=253 time=0.971 ms
--- csr1.njrusmc.net ping statistics ---
3 packets transmitted, 3 received, 0% packet loss, time 2002ms
```

The last step executes the playbook from inside the container. This illustrates the original issue that the `ios_config` module, at the time of this writing, does not return device output. The author’s personal preference is to always print the Ansible version number before running playbooks designed to test issues. This reduces the likelihood of invalid test results due to version confusion. In the `DEBUG` step below, there is no date/time output, which helps illustrate the Ansible issue that is being investigated.

```
[root@9bc07966b416 examples]# ansible --version | head -1
ansible 2.6.0dev0 (command_authorization_failed_ios_regex 5a1568c753) [snip]
```

```
[root@04eb3ee71a52 examples]# ansible-playbook issue31575.yml
```

PLAY [csr1.njrusmc.net] *****************************************************

TASK [SYS >> Define router credentials] *******************************
ok: [csr1.njrusmc.net]

TASK [IOS >> Run show command from config mode] ********************
changed: [csr1.njrusmc.net]

TASK [DEBUG >> Print output] ***************************************
ok: [csr1.njrusmc.net] => {
  "output": {
    "banners": {},
    "changed": true,
    "commands": [
      "do show clock"
    ],
    "failed": false,
    "updates": [
      "do show clock"
    ]
  }
```
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PLAY RECAP ******************************************************
csr1.njrusmc.net : ok=3  changed=1  unreachable=0  failed=0

After exiting this container, check the list of containers again. Now, there were 2 containers in the past, the newest one at the top. This was the Ansible container we just exited after completing our test. Again, some output has been truncated to make the table fit neatly.

[centos@docker build]$ docker container ls -a
CONTAINER ID IMAGE COMMAND CREATED STATUS PORTS NAMES
04eb3ee71a52 ans:cmd_authz " /bin/bash" 33 m ago Exited (127) 7 s ago adoring_mestorf
088bbd2a7544 centos:7 " /bin/bash" 43 m ago Exited (0) 42 m ago wise_banach

This manual “start and stop” approach to containerization has several drawbacks. Two are listed below:

1. To retest this solution, the playbook would have to be created again, and the Ansible environment files (ansible.cfg, hosts.yml) would need to be updated again. Because containers are ephemeral, this information is not stored automatically.

2. The commands are difficult to remember and it can be a lot to type, especially when starting many containers. Since containers were designed for microservices and expected to be deployed in dependent groups, this management strategy scales poorly.

Docker includes a feature called docker-compose. Using YAML syntax, developers can specify all the containers they want to start, along with any minor options for those containers, then execute the compose file like a script. It is better than a shell script since it is more portable and easier to read. It is also an easy way to add volumes to Docker. There are different kinds of volumes, but in short, volumes allow persistent data to be passed into and retrieved from containers. In this example, a simple directory mapping (known as a “bind mount” in Docker) is built from the local mnt_files/ folder to the container’s file system. In this folder, one can copy the Ansible files (issue31575.yml, ansible.cfg, hosts.yml) so the container has immediate access. While it is possible to handle volume mounting from the commands viewed previously, it is tedious and complex.

# docker-compose.yml
version: '3.2'
services:
  ansible:
    image: ansible:cmd_authz
    hostname: cmd_authz
    # Next two lines are equivalent of -i and -t, respectively
    stdin_open: true
    tty: true
    volumes:
      - type: bind
        source: ./mnt_files
        target: /ansible/examples/mnt_files

The contents of these files was shown earlier, but ensure they are all placed in the mnt_files/ directory with relation to where the docker-compose.yml file is located.

[centos@docker compose]$ tree --charset=ascii
.
|-- docker-compose.yml
 `-- mnt_files
    |-- ansible.cfg
    |-- hosts.yml
    `-- issue31575.yml

To run the docker-compose file, use the command below. It will build containers for all keys specified under
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the services dictionary. In this case, there is only one container called ansible which is based on the ansible:cmd_authz image created earlier from the custom Dockerfile. The -i and -t options are enabled to allow for interactive shell access. The -d option with the docker-compose command specifies the “detach” operation, which runs the containers in the background. View the list of containers to see the new Ansible container running successfully.

[centos@docker compose]$ docker-compose up -d
Starting compose_ansible_1 ... done

[centos@docker compose]$ docker container ls
CONTAINER ID IMAGE COMMAND CREATED STATUS PORTS NAMES
d3f1365f3145 ans:cmd_authz "/bin/bash" 1m ago Up 32s (health: ...) compose_ansible_1

The command below says “execute, on the ansible container, the bash command” which grants shell access. Ensure that the mnt_files/ directory exists and contains all the necessary files. Copy the contents to the current directory, which will overwrite the basic ansible.cfg and hosts.yml files provided by Ansible.

[centos@docker compose]$ docker-compose exec ansible bash
[mnt_files/]
|-- ansible.cfg
|-- hosts.yml
`-- issue31575.yml

[root@cmd_authz examples]$ cp mnt_files/* .
cp: overwrite './ansible.cfg'? y
cp: overwrite './hosts.yml'? y

Run the playbook again, and observe the same results as before. Now, assuming that this issue remains open for a long period of time, docker-compose helps reduce the test setup time.

[root@cmd_authz examples]$ ansible-playbook issue31575.yml

PLAY [csr1.njrusmc.net] *****************************************************

TASK [SYS >> Define router credentials] ******************************************

Exit from the container and check the container list again. Notice that, despite exiting, the container continues to run. This is because docker-compose created the container in a detached state, meaning the absence of the shell does not cause the container to stop. Manually stop the container using the commands below. Note that only the first few characters of the container ID can be used for these operations.

[centos@docker compose]$ docker container ls -a
CONTAINER ID IMAGE COMMAND CREATED STATUS PORTS NAMES
c16452e2a6b4 ansible:cmd_authz "/bin/bash" 12m ago Up 10m (health: ...) compose_ansible_1
04eb3ee71a52 ansible:cmd_authz "/bin/bash" 2h ago Exited (127) 2h ago adoring_mestorf
088bbd2a7544 centos:7 "/bin/bash" 2h ago Exited (0) 2h ago wise_banach

[centos@docker compose]$ docker container stop c16

For total cleanup, delete these stale containers from the demonstration so that they are not accidentally used for future use. Remember, containers are ephemeral, and should be built and discarded regularly.
1.6.3 Python Virtual Environments (venv) for Refactoring

Just as containers are lighter than virtual machines in terms of their computing and storage requirements, virtual environments are lighter than containers. Python virtual environments, or “venv” for short, are effectively separate directory structures that contain separate storage areas for libraries, binaries, and other information specific to a development effort. The demonstration in this section is based on a real-life Ansible refactoring effort of the author’s free open-source Ansible projects.

When Ansible network modules such as `ios_command` and `ios_config` were introduced, they required provider dictionaries to log into network devices. This dictionary wrapped basic login information such as hostname/IP address, username, password, and timeouts into a single dictionary object. While this technique was brilliant for its day, the Ansible team acknowledged that this made network devices “different” and having a unified SSH access method would be a better long-term solution. These features were introduced in Ansible 2.5, but suppose you wrote all your playbooks in Ansible 2.4. How could you safely run two versions of Ansible on a single machine to perform the necessary refactoring? Python virtual environments (venv for short) are a good solution to this problem.

First, create a new venv for Ansible 2.4.2 to demonstrate the now-deprecated provider dictionary method. The command below creates a new directory called `ansible242/` and populates it with many files needed to create a separate development environment. This book does not explore the inner workings of venv, but does include a link in the references section.

```
[ec2-user@devbox venv]$ virtualenv ansible242
New python executable in /home/ec2-user/venv/ansible242/bin/python2
Also creating executable in /home/ec2-user/venv/ansible242/bin/python
Installing setuptools, pip, wheel...done.
```

```
[ec2-user@devbox venv]$ ls -l ansible242/
total 4
drwxrwxr-x. 2 ec2-user ec2-user 23 Aug 22 07:06 bin
drwxrwxr-x. 2 ec2-user ec2-user 23 Aug 22 07:06 include
drwxrwxr-x. 3 ec2-user ec2-user 23 Aug 22 07:06 lib
lrwxrwxrwx. 1 ec2-user ec2-user 3 Aug 22 07:06 lib64 -> lib
-rw-rw-r--. 1 ec2-user ec2-user 59 Aug 22 07:06 pip-selfcheck.json
```

The purpose of venv is to create a virtual Python workspace, so any Python utilities and libraries should be used within the venv. To activate the venv, use the source command to update your current shell. The prompt changes to show the venv name at the far left. Use which to reveal that the pip binary has been selected from within the venv.

```
[ec2-user@devbox venv]$ which pip
/usr/bin/pip
```

```
[ec2-user@devbox venv]$ cd ansible242/
[ec2-user@devbox ansible242]$ source bin/activate
```

---
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At this point, custom packages can be installed within the venv without interfering with the platform-level Python packages, if any exist.

Install the correct version of Ansible using pip, and then check the site-packages within the venv to see that Ansible 2.4.2 has been installed.

The venv now has a functional Ansible 2.4.2 environment where playbook development can begin. This demonstration shows a simple login playbook that the author has used in production just to SSH into all devices. It's the Cisco IOS equivalent of the Ansible ping module which is used primarily for testing SSH reachability to Linux hosts. The source code is shown below. Note that there are only two variables defined. The first tells Ansible which Python binary to use to ensure the proper libraries are used. A fully qualified file name must be used as shortcuts like ~ are not allowed. The second variable is a nested login credentials dictionary.

---

# group_vars/routers.yml

ansible_python_interpreter: "~/venv/ansible242/bin/python"

login_creds:
  host: "{{ inventory_hostname }}"
  username: "ansible"
  password: "ansible"

...
---
# inv.yml
all:
  children:
    routers:
      hosts:
        csr1:
---

# login.yml
- name: "Login to all routers"
  hosts: routers
  connection: local
  gather_facts: false
  tasks:
    - name: "Run 'show clock' command"
      ios_command:
        provider: "{{ login_creds }}"
        commands: "show clock"

Running the playbook with the custom inventory (containing one router called csr1) and verbosity enabled so the CLI output is printed to standard output.

(ansible242)[ec2-user@devbox login]$ ansible-playbook login.yml -i inv.yml -v
Using /etc/ansible/ansible.cfg as config file

PLAY [Login to all routers] **************************************************
TASK [Run 'show clock' command] ********************************************
ok: [csr1] => {
  "changed": false
}

STDOUT:
[u'*11:26:15.420 UTC Wed Aug 22 2018']

PLAY RECAP *****************************************************
csr1 : ok=1 changed=0 unreachable=0 failed=0

With the first test complete, exit the venv using the deactivate command, which is a custom binary specific to venv that effectively reverses what the source bin/activate command did. The shell returns to normal. Note that the deactivate command only exists inside of the venv.

(ansible242) [ec2-user@devbox login]$ deactivate
[ec2-user@devbox login]$

[ec2-user@devbox login]$ which deactivate
/usr/bin/which: no deactivate in (/usr/local/bin:/usr/bin:/usr/local/sbin:/usr/sbin)

To refactor this playbook from the old provider-style login to the new network_cli login, create a second venv alongside the existing one. It is is named ansible263 which is the current version of Ansible at the time of this writing. The steps are shown below but are not explained in detail as they were in the first example.

[ec2-user@devbox venv]$ virtualenv ansible263
New python executable in /home/ec2-user/venv/ansible263/bin/python2
Also creating executable in /home/ec2-user/venv/ansible263/bin/python
Installing setuptools, pip, wheel...done.
Ansible playbook development can begin now, and to save some time, recursively copy the login playbook from the old venv into the new one. Because Python virtual environments are really just separate directory structures, moving source code between them is easy. It is worth noting that source code does not have to exist inside a venv. It may exist in one specific location and the refactoring effort could be done on a version control feature branch. In this way, multiple venvs could access a common code base. In this simple example, code is copied between venvs.

Modify the group variables and playbook files according to the code shown below. Rather than define a custom dictionary with login credentials, one can specify some values for the well-known Ansible login parameters. At the playbook, the connection changes from local to network_cli and the inclusion of the provider key under ios_command is no longer needed. Last, note that the Python interpreter path is updated for this specific venv using the directory ansible263/.

---
# group_vars/routers.yml
ansible_python_interpreter: "/home/ec2-user/venv/ansible263/bin/python"
ansible_network_os: "ios"
ansible_user: "ansible"
ansible_ssh_pass: "ansible"
...

---
# login.yml
- name: "Login to all routers"
hosts: routers
connection: network_cli
gather_facts: false
tasks:
  - name: "Run 'show clock' command"
    ios_command:
      commands: "show clock"
...

Running this playbook should yield the exact same behavior as the original playbook except modernized for the new version of Ansible. Using virtual environments to accomplish this simplifies library and binary executable management when testing multiple versions.
Using /etc/ansible/ansible.cfg as config file

PLAY [Login to all routers] **********************************************

TASK [Run 'show clock' command] *******************************************
ok: [csr1] => {
  "changed": false
}

STDOUT:

PLAY RECAP ****************************************************************
csr1 : ok=1 changed=0 unreachable=0 failed=0

1.7 Connectivity

Network virtualization is often misunderstood as being something as simple as “virtualize this device using a hypervisor and extend some VLANs to the host”. Network virtualization is really referring to the creation of virtual topologies using a variety of technologies to achieve a given business goal. Sometimes these virtual topologies are overlays, sometimes they are forms of multiplexing, and sometimes they are a combination of the two. Here are some common examples (not a complete list) of network virtualization using well-known technologies. Before discussing specific technical topics like virtual switches and SDN, it is worth discussing basic virtualization techniques upon which all of these solutions rely.

1. **Ethernet VLANs using 802.1q encapsulation.** Often used to create virtual networks at layer 2 for security segmentation, traffic hair pinning through a service chain, etc. This is a form of data multiplexing over Ethernet links. It isn’t a tunnel/overlay since the layer 2 reachability information (MAC address) remains exposed and used for forwarding decisions.

2. **VPN Routing and Forwarding (VRF) tables or other layer-3 virtualization techniques.** Similar uses as VLANs except virtualizes an entire routing instance, and is often used to solve a similar set of problems. Can be combined with VLANs to provide a complete virtual network between layers 2 and 3. Can be coupled with GRE for longer-range virtualization solutions over a core network that may or may not have any kind of virtualization. This is a multiplexing technique as well but is control-plane only since there is no change to the packets on the wire, nor is there any inherent encapsulation (not an overlay).

3. **Frame Relay DLCI encapsulation.** Like a VLAN, creates segmentation at layer 2 which might be useful for last-mile access circuits between PE and CE for service multiplexing. The same is true for Ethernet VLANs when using EV services such as EV-LINE, EV-LAN, and EV-TREE. This is a data-plane multiplexing technique specific to Frame Relay.

4. **MPLS VPNs.** Different VPN customers, whether at layer 2 or layer 3, are kept completely isolated by being placed in a separate virtual overlay across a common core that has no/little native virtualization. This is an example of an overlay type of virtual network.

5. **Virtual eXtensible Area Network (VXLAN).** Just like MPLS VPNs; creates virtual overlays atop a potentially non-virtualized core. VXLAN is a MAC-in-IP/UDP tunneling encapsulation designed to provide layer-2 mobility across a data center fabric with an IP-based underlay network. The advantage is that the large layer-2 domain, while it still exists, is limited to the edges of the network, not the core. VXLAN by itself uses a “flood and learn” strategy so that the layer-2 edge devices can learn the MAC addresses from remote edge devices, much like classic Ethernet switching. This is not a good solution for large fabrics where layer-2 mobility is required, so VXLAN can be paired with BGP’s Ethernet VPN (EVPN) address family to provide MAC routing between endpoints. Being UDP-based, the VXLAN source ports can be varied per flow to provide better underlay (core IP transport) load
sharing/multipath routing, if required.

6. **Network Virtualization using Generic Routing Encapsulation (NVGRE).** This technology extends classic GRE tunneling to include a subnet identifier within the GRE header, allowing GRE to tunnel layer-2 Ethernet frames over IP/GRE. The use cases for NVGRE are also identical to VXLAN except that, being a GRE packet, layer-4 port-based load sharing is not supported. Some devices can support GRE key-based hashing, but this does not have flow-level visibility.

7. **OTV.** Just like MPLS VPNs; creates virtual overlays atop a potentially non-virtualized core, except provides a control-plane for MAC routing. IP multicast traffic is also routed intelligently using GRE encapsulation with multicast destination addresses. This is another example of an overlay type of virtual network.

### 1.7.1 Virtual Switches

The term “virtual switch” has multiple meanings. As discussed in the previous section, the most generic interpretation of the term would be “VLAN”. A VLAN is, quite literally, a virtual switch, which shares the same hardware as all the other VLANs next to it, but remains logically isolated. Along these lines, a VRF is a virtual router and a Cisco ASA context is a virtual firewall.

However, it is likely that this section of the Evolving Technologies blueprint is more interested in discussing virtual switches in the context of hypervisors. Simply put, a virtual switch serves as a bridge between the applications and the physical network. Virtual machines map their virtual NICs to the virtual switch ports, much like a physical server connects into a data center access switch. The virtual switches are also connected to the physical server NICs, often times with 802.1q VLAN trunking enabled, just like a real switch. Each port (or group of ports) can map to a single VLAN, providing VLAN-tagged transport to the physical network and untagged transport to the applications, as expected. Some engineers prefer to think about virtual switches as the true access switch in the network, with the top of rack (TOR) switch being an aggregation device of sorts.

There are many types of virtual switches:

1. **Standalone/basic:** As described above, these switches support basic features such as access ports, trunk ports, and some basic security settings such as policing, and MAC spoof protection. They are independently managed on each server, and while simple to build, they become difficult to maintain as the data center computing environment scales.

2. **Distributed:** A distributed virtual switch is managed as a single entity despite being spread across many servers. Loosely analogous to Cisco StackWise or Virtual Switching System (VSS) technologies, this reduces the management burden. The individual servers still have local switches that can tolerate a management outage, but are centrally managed. Distributed virtual switches tend to have more features than standalone ones, such as LACP, QoS, private VLANs, Netflow, and more. VMware's distribution virtual switch (DVS) is available in vCenter-enabled deployments and is one such example.

3. **Vendor-specific software:** Several vendors offer software-based virtual switches with comprehensive feature sets. Cisco's Nexus 1000v, for example, is one such product. These solutions typically offer strong CLI/API support for better integration into a uniform management strategy. Other solutions may even be integrated with the hypervisor's management system despite being add-on products. Many modern virtual switches can, for example, terminate VXLAN tunnels. This brings multi-tenancy all the way to the server without introducing the complexity into the data center physical switches.

### 1.7.2 Software-Defined Wide Area Network (SD-WAN Viptela Demonstration)

The Viptela SD-WAN solution provides a highly capable and adaptive WAN solution to help customers reduce WAN costs (OPEX and CAPEX), gain additional performance/monitoring insight, and optimize performance. It has four main components:
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1. **vSmart Controller**: The centralized control-plane and policy injection service for the network.

2. **vEdge**: The branch device that registers to the vSmart controllers to receive policy updates. Each vEdge router requires about 100 kbps of bandwidth back to the vSmart controller.

3. **vManage**: The single-pane-of-glass management front-end that provides visibility, analytics, and easy policy adjustment.

4. **vBond**: Technology used for Zero Touch Provisioning (ZTP), enabling the vEdge devices to discover available vSmart controllers. This component is effectively a communications broker between SD-WAN endpoints (vEdge) and their controllers (vSmart).

The control-plane is TLS-based and is formed between vEdge devices and vSmart controllers. The digital certificates for Viptela’s PKI solution are internal and easily managed within vManage; a complex, preexisting PKI is not necessary. The routing design is similar in logic to BGP route-reflectors whereby individual vEdge devices can send traffic directly between one another without directly exchanging any reachability/policy information. To provide high-scale network services, the Overlay Management Protocol (OMP) is a BGP-like protocol that carries a variety of attributes. These attributes include application/QoS specific routing policy, multicast routing information, IPsec keys, and more.

The solution supports both IPsec ESP and GRE data-plane encapsulations for its overlay networks. Because OMP carries IPsec keys within the system’s control-plane, Internet Key Exchange (IKE) between vEdge endpoints is unnecessary. This optimization obviates the need for IKE, reducing both vEdge device state and spoke-to-spoke tunnel setup time.

Like many SD-WAN solutions, Viptela can classify traffic based on traditional mechanisms such as ports, protocols, IP addresses, and DSCP values. It can also perform application-specific classification with policies tuned for each specific application. All policies are configured through the vManage interface which are then communicated to the controller. The controller then communicates this to the vEdge devices.

Although the definitions are imperfect, it is mostly correct to say that the vManage-to-vSmart controller interface is a northbound interface (except that vManage is a management console, not a business application). Likewise, the vSmart-to-vEdge interface is like a southbound interface. Also note that, unlike truly centralized control planes, the failure of a vSmart controller or the path by which a vEdge uses to reach a vSmart controller results in the vEdge reverting back to the last applied policy. This means that the WAN can function like a distributed control-plane provided changes are not needed. As such, the Viptela solution can be generally classified as a hybrid SDN solution.

ZTP relies on vBond, which is an orchestration process that allows vEdge devices to join the SD-WAN instance without any pre-configuration on the remote devices. Each device comes with an embedded SSL certificate stored within a Trusted Platform Module (TPM). Via vManage, the network administrator can trust or not trust this particular client device. Revoking trust for a device is useful for cases where the vEdge is lost or stolen, much like issuing a Certificate Revocation List (CRL). Once the trust settings are updated, vManage notifies the vSmart controllers so they can accept or reject the SSL sessions from vEdge devices.

The Viptela SD-WAN solution also supports network-based multi-tenancy. A 4-byte shim header called a label (not to be confused with MPLS labels) is added to each packet within a specific tenant’s overlay as a membership identifier. As such, Viptela can tie into existing networks using technologies like VRF + VLAN in a back-to-back fashion, much like Inter-AS MPLS Option A (RFC 4364 Section 10a). The diagram that follows summarizes Viptela at a high level.
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The remainder of this section walks through a high-level demonstration of the Viptela SD-WAN solution’s various interfaces. Upon login to vManage, the centralized and multi-tenant management system, the user is presented with a comprehensive dashboard. At its most basic, the dashboard alerts the administrator to any obvious issues, such as sites being down or other errors needing repair.

Clicking on the vEdge number “4”, one can explore the status of the four remote sites. While not particularly interesting in a network where everything is working, this provides additional details about the sites in the network, and is a good place to start troubleshooting when issues arise.
Next, the administrator can investigate a specific node in greater detail to identify any faults recorded in the event log. The screenshot on the following page is from SDWAN4, which provides a visual representation of the current events and the text details in one screen.

The screenshot below depicts the bandwidth consumed between different hosts on the network. More granular details such as ports, protocols, and IP addresses are available between the different monitoring options from the left-hand pane. This screenshot provides output from the “Flows” option on the SDWAN4 node, which is a physical vEdge-100m appliance.
Last, the solution allows for granular flow-based policy control, similar to traditional policy-based routing, except centrally controlled and fully dynamic. The screenshot below shows a policy to match DSCP 46, typically used for expedited forwarding of inelastic, interactive VOIP traffic. The preferred color (preferred link in this case) is the direct Ethernet-based Internet connection this particular node has. Not shown is the backup 4G LTE link this vEdge-100m node also has. This link is slower, higher latency, and less preferable for voice transport, so we administratively prefer the wireline Internet link. Not shown is the SLA configuration and other policy parameters to specify the voice performance characteristics that must be met. For example: 150 ms one way latency, less than 0.1% packet loss, and less than 30 ms jitter. If the wireline Internet exceeds any of these thresholds, the vSmart controllers with automatically start using the 4G LTE link, assuming that its performance is within the SLA’s specification.

For those interested in replicating this demonstration, please visit Cisco dCloud. Note that the compute/storage requirements for these Cisco SD-WAN components is very low, making it easy to run almost anywhere. The only exception is the vManage component and its VM requirements can be found here. The VMs can be run either on VMware ESXi or Linux KVM-based hypervisors (which includes Cisco NFVIS discussed later in this book).

### 1.7.3 Software-Defined Access (SDA)

Cisco's SDA architecture is a holistic, intent-based networking solution designed for enterprises to operate, maintain, and secure their access layer networks. Campus Fabric is one of the core components of this design, and is of particular interest to network engineers.

Cisco's Campus Fabric is a main component of the Digital Network Architecture (DNA), a major Cisco networking initiative. Campus Fabric relies on a VXLAN-based data plane, encapsulating traffic at the edges of the fabric inside IP packets to provide L2VPN and L3VPN service. Any Security Group Tags (SGT) along with the VXLAN Virtual Network ID (VNI) are carried in the VXLAN header, giving the overlay network some ability to apply policy to production traffic. Campus Fabric was designed with mobility, scale,
and performance in mind.

The solution uses Location/Identification Separation Protocol (LISP) as its control-plane. LISP is like a combination of DNS and NHRP as a mapping server binds endpoint IDs (EIDs) to routing locations (RLOCs) in a centralized manner. Like NHRP, LISP is a reactive control plane whereby EIDs are exchanged between endpoints via “conversational learning”. That is to say, edge nodes don’t retain all state at all times, but rather only when it is needed. The initial setup of communications between two nodes when the state is absent can take some time as LISP converges. Unlike DNS, the LISP mapping server does not reply directly to LISP edge nodes as such a reply is not a guarantee that two edge nodes can actually communicate. The LISP mapping server forwards the request to the remote edge node authoritative for a given EID, which generates the response. This behavior is similar to how NHRP works in DMVPN phases 2 and 3 when spoke-to-spoke tunnels are dynamically built.

Campus Fabric offers separation using both policy-based segmentation via Security Group Tags (SGT) and network-based segmentation via VXLAN/LISP. These options are not mutually exclusive and can be deployed together for even better separation between virtual networks. Extending virtual networks outside of the fabric is done using VRF-Lite in an MPLS Inter-AS Option A fashion, effectively extending the virtual networks without merging the control-planes. This architecture can be thought of like an SD-LAN although Cisco (and the industry in general) do not use this term. The IP routed underlay is kept simple with complex, tenant-specific overlays added on top according to the business needs.

Note that Campus Fabric is the LAN networking component of the overall SDA architecture. Fabric border nodes are similar to fabric edge nodes (access switches) except that they connect the fabric to upstream resources, such as the core network. This is how users access other places in the network, such as WAN, data center, Internet edge, etc. DNA-C, ISE, Network Data Platform (NDP) analytics, and wireless LAN controllers (WLC) are typically logically in the data center and control the entire SDA architecture. Being able to express intent in human language through DNA-C, then have the system map this intent to device configuration automatically, is a major advantage SDA deployment.

At the time of this writing, the SDA solution is supported on most modern Cisco switch product lines. Some of the common ones include the Catalyst 9000, Catalyst 3650/3850, and Nexus 7000 lines. DNA-C within the SDA architecture is analogous to an SDN controller as it asserts the desired configuration/state onto the managed devices within the SDA architecture. DNA-C is programmable through a northbound REST API to allow business applications to communicate their intent to DNA-C, which uses its southbound interfaces (SSH, SNMP, and/or HTTPS) to program network devices.

1.7.4 Software-Defined Data Center (SD-DC)

SD-DC as a generic term describes a data center design model whereby all DC resources are virtualized and on-demand. That is to say, SD-DC brings cloud-like provisioning of all DC resources (compute, network, and storage) to support specific applications in automated fashion. Security within application components (e.g. front-end, application, and database containers) and between different applications (e.g. APIs) is inherent with any SD-DC solution. Resources are pooled and shared between resources for maximum cost effectiveness, flexibility, and ability to respond to changing market demands.

One example of an SD-DC solution is Cisco’s Application Centric Infrastructure (ACI). As discussed earlier, ACI separates policy from reachability and could be considered a hybrid SDN solution, much like Cisco’s original SD-WAN solution, Intelligent WAN (IWAN). ACI is more revolutionary than IWAN as it reuses less technology and relies on custom hardware and software. Specifically, ACI is supported on the Cisco Nexus 9000 product line using a version of software specific to ACI. This differs from the original NX-OS which is considered a “standalone” or “non-ACI” deployment of the Nexus 9000. Unlike IWAN, ACI is positioned within the data center as a software defined data center (SDDC) solution.

The creation of ACI, to include its complement of customized hardware, was driven by a number of factors (not a comprehensive list):

By: Nicholas Russo

http://njrusmc.net
1. Software alone cannot solve the migration of 1Gbps to 10Gbps in the server access layer or 10Gbps to 40Gbps/100Gbps in the DC aggregation and core layers.

2. The overall design of the DC has to change to better support east/west (lateral flows within the DC) traffic flows being generated by distributed, multi-tiered applications. Traditional DC designs focused on north/south (into and out of the DC) traffic for user application access.

3. There is a need for rapid service deployment for internal IT consumers in a secure and scalable way. This prevents individuals from going “elsewhere” (unauthorized third-parties, for example) when enterprise IT providers cannot meet their needs.

4. Central management isn’t a new thing, but has traditionally failed as network devices did not have machine-friendly interfaces since they were often configured directly by humans (SNMP is an exception). Such interfaces are called Application Programmability Interfaces (API) which are discussed later in this document.

The controller used for ACI is known as the Application Policy Infrastructure Controller (APIC). Cisco’s approach in developing this controller was different from the classic thought process of “the controller needs to get a packet from point A to point B”. Networks have traditionally done this job well. Instead, APIC focuses on when the packets can move and what happens when they do. That is to say, under what policy conditions a packet should be forward, dropped, rerouted over an alternative link, etc. Packet forwarding continues in the distributed control-plane model as discussed before, but the APIC is able to configure any node in the network with specific policy, to include security policy, or to enhance/modify any given flow in the data center. Policy is retained in the nodes even in the event of a controller failure, but policy can only be modified by the APIC control point.

The ACI infrastructure is built on a leaf/spine network fabric which has a number of interesting characteristics:

1. Adding bandwidth is achieved by adding spines and linking the leaves to it.
2. Adding access density is achieved by adding leaves and linking them to the spines.
3. “Border” leaves are identified as the egress point from the fabric, not the spines.
4. Nothing connects to the spines other than leaves (no services).
5. Spines are never connected laterally.

This architecture is not new (in general), but is becoming popular in DC fabrics for its superior distribution of bandwidth for north/south and east/west DC flows. The significant advantage of this design for any SDN DC solution is that it is universally useful; other SDN vendors in the DC space typically prefer that the underlying architecture look this way. This topology need not change even as the APIC policies change significantly since it is designed only for high-speed transport. In an ACI network, the network makes no attempt to automatically classify, treat, and prioritize specific applications absent input from the user (via APIC). That is to say, it is both cost prohibitive and error prone for the network to make such a classification when the business drivers (i.e. human input) are what drives the prioritization, security policy, and other treatment characteristics of a given application’s traffic.

Policy applied to the APIC is applied to the network using several constructs:

1. **Application Network Profile**: Logical template for how the application connects and works. All tiers of a given application are encompassed by this profile. The profile can contain multiple policies which are applied between the components of an application. These policies can define things like QoS, availability, and security requirements. This “declarative” model is intuitive and is application-focused. The policy also follows applications across the DC as they migrate for mobility purposes.

2. **Endpoint Groups (EPG)**: EPGs are designed to group elements that share a common policy together. Consider a classic three-tier application. All web servers would be in one EPG, while the
application servers would be in a second. The database servers would be in a third. The policy application would occur between these endpoint groups. Components are placed into groups based on any number of fields, such as VLAN, IP address, port (physical or layer-4), and other fields.

3. **Contracts**: Contracts determine the types of traffic (and their treatment) between EPGs. The contract is the application of policy between EPGs which effectively represents an agreement between two entities to exchange information. Contracts are aptly named as it is not possible to violate a contract; this is enforced by the APIC policies, which are driven by business requirements.

The diagram below depicts a high level image of the ACI infrastructure provided by Cisco.
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**Figure 14: Cisco ACI SD-DC High Level**

1.8 **Virtualization functions**

Virtualization, speaking generally, has already been discussed in great detail thus far. This section focuses primarily on network functions virtualization (NFV), virtual network functions (VNF), and the components that tie everything together. The section includes some Cisco product demonstrations as well to provide some real-life context around modern NFV solutions.

1.8.1 **Network Functions Virtualization infrastructure (NFVi)**

Before discussing NFV infrastructure, the concepts surrounding NFV must be fully understood. NFV takes specific network functions, virtualizes them, and assembles them in a sequence to meet specific business needs. NFV is generally synonymous with creating virtual instances of things which were once physical. Many vendors offer virtual routers (Cisco CSR1000v, Cisco IOS-XR9000v, etc), security appliances (Cisco ASA, Cisco NGIPSv, etc), telephony and collaboration components (Cisco UCM, CUC, IMP, UCCX, etc) and many other virtual products that were once physical appliances. Separating these products into virtual functions allows a wide variety of organizations, from cloud providers to small enterprises, to realize several advantages:

1. Can be run on any hardware, not vendor-specific platforms or solutions
2. Can be run on-premises or in the cloud (or both), which can reduce cost

3. Easy and fast scale up, down, in, or out to meet customer demand

Traditionally, value-added services required specialized hardware appliances, such as carrier-grade NAT (CGN), encryption, broadband aggregation, deep packet inspection, and more. In addition to being large capital investments, their installation and maintenance required truck rolls (i.e., a trip to the POP) by qualified engineers. Note that some of these appliances, such as firewalls, could have been on the customer premises but managed by the carrier. The NFV concept, and subsequent NFV infrastructure, can therefore be extended all the way to the customer edge. In summary, NFV can provide elasticity for businesses to decouple network functions from their hardware appliances. The European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) has released a pair of documents that help describe the NFV architectural framework and its use cases, challenges, and value propositions. These documents are linked in the references, and the author discusses it briefly here. At a high level, hardware resources such as compute, storage, and networking are encompassed in the infrastructure layer, which rests beneath the hypervisor and its associated operating system (the “virtualization layer” in ETSI terms). ETSI also defines a level of hardware abstraction in what is called the NFV Infrastructure (NFVI) layer where virtual compute, virtual storage, and virtual networking can be exposed to VNFs. These VNFs are VMs or containers that sit at the apex of the framework and perform some kind of network function, such as firewall, load balancer, WAN accelerator, etc. The ETSI whitepaper is protected by copyright and the author has not yet received written permission to use the graphic detailing this architecture. Readers are encouraged to reference page 10 of the ETSI NFV Architectural document.

Note that the description of a VNF in the previous paragraph may suggest that a VNF must be a virtual machine. That is, a server with its own operating system, virtual hardware, and networking support. VNFs can also be containers which, as discussed earlier in the document, inherit these properties from the base OS running the container management software. The “use case” whitepaper (not protected by any copyrights) is written by a diverse group of network operators and uses a more conversational tone. This document discusses some of the advantages and challenges of NFV which are pertinent to understanding the value proposition of NFV in general. Examples from that document are listed in the table that follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantage/Benefit</th>
<th>Disadvantage/Challenge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faster rollout of value added services</td>
<td>Likely to observe decreased performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced CAPEX and OPEX</td>
<td>Scalability exists only in purely NFV environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less reliance on vendor hardware refresh cycle</td>
<td>Interoperability between different VNFs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutually beneficial to SDN (complementary)</td>
<td>Mgmt and orchestration alongside legacy systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: NFV Advantages and Disadvantages

NFV infrastructure (NFVi) encompasses all of the NFV related components, such as virtualized network functions (VNF), management, orchestration, and the underlying hardware devices (compute, network, and storage). That is, the totality of all components within an NFV system can be considered an NFVI instance. Suppose a large service provider is interested in NFVI in order to reduce time to market for new services while concurrently reducing operating costs. Each regional POP could be outfitted with a “mini data center” consisting of NFIV components. Some call this an NFVI POP, which would house VNFs for customers within the region it serves. It would typically be centrally managed by the service provider’s NOC, along with all of the other NFVI POPs deployed within the network. The amalgamation of these NFVI POPs are parts of an organization’s overall NFVI design.

1.8.2 Virtual Network Functions with NFVIS Demonstration

NFV exists to abstract the network functions from their underlying hardware. More generically, the word “hardware” can be expanded to include all lower level connectivity within the Open System Interconnection (OSI) layers, such as physical ports, data links, and network layers. The NFV Infrastructure (NFVI) envelope consists of the hardware which provides the physical resources that support the implementation of NFV services. The NFV Infrastructure (NFVI) encompasses all of the NFV related components, such as virtualized network functions (VNF), management, orchestration, and the underlying hardware devices (compute, network, and storage). That is, the totality of all components within an NFV system can be considered an NFVI instance. Suppose a large service provider is interested in NFVI in order to reduce time to market for new services while concurrently reducing operating costs. Each regional POP could be outfitted with a “mini data center” consisting of NFIV components. Some call this an NFVI POP, which would house VNFs for customers within the region it serves. It would typically be centrally managed by the service provider’s NOC, along with all of the other NFVI POPs deployed within the network. The amalgamation of these NFVI POPs are parts of an organization’s overall NFVI design.
(OSI) model. This 7-layer model is a common thought process for vertically segmenting components in the network stack, and is a model in which all network engineers are familiar. NFV provides abstraction at the first four layers of the OSI model, starting from the bottom:

1. **Physical layer (L1):** The layer in which physical transmission of data is conducted. Media types such as copper/fiber cabling and wireless radio communications are examples. More in the context of NFV would be the underlying NFV abstracts this transport.

2. **Data Link (L2):** The layer in which the consolidation of data into batches (frames, cells, etc) is defined according to some specification for transmission across the physical network. Ethernet, frame-relay, ATM, and PPP are examples. With NFV, this refers to the abstraction of the internal virtual switching between VNFs in a given service chain. These individual virtual networks are automatically created and configured by the underlying NFV management/orchestration system, and removed when no longer needed.

3. **Network (L3):** This layer provides end-to-end delivery of data packets across many independent data link layer technologies. Traditional IP routing through a service chain may not be easy to implement or intuitive, so new technologies exist to solve this problem at layer-3. Service chaining technologies are discussed in greater detail shortly.

4. **Transport (L4):** This layer provides additional delivery features such as flow/congestion control, explicit acknowledges, and more. NFV helps abstract some of these technologies in that administrators and developers no longer need to determine which layer-04 protocol to choose (TCP, UDP, etc) as the construction of the VNF service chain will be done according to the operator's intent. Put another way, the VNF service chain seeks to optimize application performance while abstracting all of the transport-like layers of the OSI model through the NFVI management and orchestration software.

Service chaining, especially in cloud/NFV environments, can be achieved in a variety of technical ways. For example, one organization may require routing and firewall, while another may require routing and intrusion prevention. The per-customer granularity is a powerful offering of service chaining in general. The main takeaway is that all of these solutions are network virtualization solutions of sorts, even if their use cases extend beyond service function chaining.

1. **MPLS and Segment Routing:** Some headend LSR needs to impose different MPLS labels for each service in the chain that must be visited to provide a given service. MPLS is a natural choice here given the label stacking capabilities and theoretically-unlimited label stack depth.

2. **Networking Services Header (NSH):** Similar to the MPLS option except is purpose-built for service chaining. Being purpose-built, NSH can be extended or modified in the future to better support new service chaining requirements, where doing so with MPLS shim header formats is less likely. MPLS would need additional headers or other ways to carry "more" information.

3. **Out of band centralized forwarding:** Although it seems unmanageable, a centralized controller could simply instruct the data-plane devices to forward certain traffic through the proper services without any in-band encapsulation being added to the flow. This would result in an explosion of core state which could limit scalability, similar to policy-based routing at each hop.

4. **Cisco vPath:** This is a Cisco innovation that is included with the Cisco Nexus 1000v series switch for use as a distributed virtual switch (DVS) in virtualized server environments. Each service is known as a virtual service node (VSN) and the administrator can select the sequence in which each node should be transited in the forwarding path. Traffic transiting the Nexus 1000v switch is subject to redirection using some kind of overlay/encapsulation technology. Specifically, MAC-in-MAC encapsulation is used for layer-2 tunnels while MAC-in-UDP is used for layer-4 tunnels.

Cisco has at least two specific NFV infrastructure solutions, NFVI and NFVIS, which are described in detail next. The former is a larger, collaborative effort with Red Hat known simply as NFV Infrastructure and is targeted for service providers. The hardware complement includes Cisco UCS servers for compute and Cisco Nexus switches for networking. Cisco's Virtual Infrastructure Manager (VIM) is a fully automated cloud lifecycle management system and is designed for private cloud. This is not to be confused with the world's
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best text editor. At a high level, VIM is a wrapper for Red Hat OpenStack and Docker containers behind the scenes, since managing these technologies independently is technically challenging. In summary, VIM is the NFVI software platform. The solution has many subcomponents. Two particularly interesting ones are discussed below.

1. **Cisco Virtual Topology System (VTS):** A standards-based, open, overlay management and provisioning system for data center networks. It automates DC overlay fabric provisioning for physical and virtual workloads. This is an optional service that is available through Cisco VIM. In summary, VTS provides policy-based (declarative) configuration to create secure, multi-tenant overlays. Its control plane uses Cisco IOS-XRv software for BGP EVPN route-reflection and VXLAN for data plane encapsulation between the Nexus switches in the NFVI fabric. VTS is an optional enhancement to VIM and NFVI.

2. **Cisco Virtual Topology Forwarder (VTF):** Included with VTS, VTF leverages Vector Packet Processing (VPP) to provide high performance Layer 2 and Layer 3 VXLAN packet forwarding. VTF is effectively a VXLAN-capable software switch. Being able to host VTEPs inside the server itself, rather than on the top of rack (TOR) Nexus switch, simplifies the Nexus fabric configuration and management. VTS and VTF together appear comparable, at least in concept, to VMware’s NSX solution.

Cisco also has a solution called NFV Infrastructure Software (NFVIS). This solution is a self-contained KVM-based hypervisor (running on CentOS 7.3) which can be installed on a bare metal server to provide a virtualized environment. It comes with specialized drivers for a variety of underlying physical components, such as NICs. NFVIS can run on many third-party hardware platforms as well as Cisco’s Enterprise Network Computing System (ENCS) solution. This platform was designed specifically for NFV-enabled branch sites for customers desiring a complete Cisco solution.

1. **Hardware platform:** the hypervisor is installed on a hardware platform. There are a variety of supported hardware platforms. This gives customers freedom of choice. NFVIS provides hardware-specific drivers for these platforms, and the official hardware compatibility list is included on the NFVIS data sheet here.

2. **Virtualization layer:** Decouples underlying hardware and software on top, achieving hardware/software independence.

3. **Virtual Network Functions (VNFs):** The virtual machines themselves that are managed through the hypervisor. They deliver consistent, trusted network services across all platforms. NFVIS supports many common image types, including ISO, OVA, QCOW, QCOW2, and RAW. Images can be certified by Cisco after extensively testing and integration which is a desirable accomplishment for production operations. The current list of certified third-party VNFs can be found here.

4. **SDN applications:** These applications can integrate with NFVIS to provide centralized orchestration and management. This is effectively a northbound interface, providing hooks for business applications to influence how NFVIS operates.

The author has personally run NFVIS on both ENCS and third party x86-based servers. In this way, NFVIS is comparable to VIM within the aforementioned NFVI solution, except is lighter weight and only a standalone operating system. NFVIS brings the following capabilities:

1. **Local management options:** NFVIS supports an intuitive web interface that does not require any Cisco-specific clients to be installed on the management stations. The device has a lightweight CLI, accessible both through SSH via out of band management and via serial console.

2. **VNF repository:** The NFVIS platform can store VNF images on its local disks for deployment. For example, a branch site might require router, firewall, and WAN acceleration VNFs. Each of these devices can come with a “day zero” configuration for rapid deployment. Cisco officially supports many third-party VNFs (Palo Alto virtual firewalls are one notable example) within the NFVIS hypervisor.

3. **Drag-and-drop networking:** VNFs can be clicked and dragged from inventory onto a blank canvas and connected, at a high level, with different virtual network. VNFs can be chained together in the
proper sequence. The network administrator does not have to manually create virtual switches, port-
groups, VLANs, or any other internal plumbing between the VNFs.

4. **Performance reporting and lifecycle management:** The dashboards of NFVIS are effective moni-
toring points for the NFVIS system as a whole. This allows administrators to quickly diagnose prob-
lems and spot anomalies in their environment.

NFVIS has many open source components. A few common ones are listed below:

1. **Open vSwitch (OVS)** An open-source virtual switching solution that has both a rich feature set and
can be easily automated. This is used for the internal networking of NFVIS as well as the user-facing
switch ports.

2. **Quick Emulator (QEMU):** Open source machine emulator which can run code written for one CPU
architecture on a different one. For example, running x86 code on an ARM CPU becomes possible.

3. **Linux daemons:** A collection of well-known Linux daemons, such as snmpd, syslogd, and collectd
run in the background to handle basic system management using common methods.

Because NFVIS is designed for branch sites, zero-touch provisioning is useful for the platform itself (not
counting VNFs). Cisco’s plug-n-play (PnP) network service is used for this. Similar to the way in which
wireless access points discover their wireless LAN controller (WLC), NFVIS can discover a DNA-C using
manually configured IP address, DHCP option 43, DNS lookup, or Cisco Cloud redirection, in that order.
The PnP agent on NFVIS reaches out to the PnP server on DNA-C to be added to the DNA-C managed
device inventory.

Cisco NFVIS dashboard provides a performance summary of how many virtual machines (typically VNFs to
be more specific) are running on the device. It also provides a quick status of resource allocation, and point-
and-click hyperlinks to perform routine management activities, such as adding a new VNF or troubleshooting
an existing one.
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**Figure 15: Cisco NFVIS Home Dashboard**

The VNF repository can store VNF images for rapid deployment. Each image can also be instantiated many
times with different settings, know as profiles. For example, the system depicted in the screenshots below
has two images: Cisco ASAv firewall and the Viptela vEdge SD-WAN router.
The Cisco ASAv, for example, has multiple performance tiers based on scale. The ASAv5 is better suited to small branch sites with the larger files being able to process more concurrent flows, remote-access VPN clients, and other processing/memory intensive activities. The NFVIS hypervisor can store many different “flavors” of a single VNF to allow for rapidly upgrading a VNF’s performance capabilities as the organization’s IT needs grow.

Once the images with their corresponding profiles have been created, each item can be dragged-and-dropped onto a topology canvas to create a virtual network or service chain. Each LAN network icon is effectively a virtual switch (a VLAN), connecting virtual NICs on different VNFs together to form the correct flow path. On many other hypervisors, the administrator needs to manually build this connectivity as VMs come and go, or possibly script it. With NFVIS, the intuitive GUI makes it easier for network operators to adjust the switched topology of the intra-NFVIS network.

Note that the bottom of the screen has some ports identified as single root input/output virtualization (SR-IOV). These are high-performance connection points for specific VNFs to bypass the hypervisor-managed internal switching infrastructure and connect directly to Peripheral Component Interconnect express (PCIe) resources. This improves performance and is especially useful for high bandwidth use cases.
Figure 18: Cisco NFVIS Topology Builder

Last, NFVIS provides local logging management for all events on the hypervisor. This is particularly useful for remote sites where WAN outages separate the NFVIS from the headend logging servers. The on-box logging and its ease of navigation can simplify troubleshooting during or after an outage.

Figure 19: Cisco NFVIS Log Reporting
1.9 Automation and orchestration tools

Automation and orchestration are two different things although are sometimes used interchangeably (and incorrectly so). Automation refers to completing a single task, such as deploying a virtual machine, shutting down an interface, or generating a report. Orchestration refers to assembling/协调ing a process/workflow, which is effectively an ordered set of tasks glued together with conditions. For example, deploy this virtual machine, and if it fails, shutdown this interface and generate a report. Automation is to task as orchestration is to process/workflow.

Often times the task to automate is what an engineer would configure using some programming/scripting language such as Java, C, Python, Perl, Ruby, etc. The variance in tasks can be very large since an engineer could be presented with a totally different task every hour. Creating 500 VLANs on 500 switches isn’t difficult, but is monotonous, so writing a short script to complete this task is ideal. Adding this script as an input for an orchestration engine could properly insert this task into a workflow. For example, run the VLAN-creation script after the nightly backups but before 6:00 AM the following day. If it fails, the orchestrator can revert all configurations so that the developer can troubleshoot any script errors.

With all the advances in network automation, it is important to understand the role of configuration management (CM) and how new technologies may change the logic. Depending on the industry, the term CM may be synonymous with source code management (SCM) or version control (VC). Traditional networking CM typically consisted of a configuration control board (CCB) along with an organization that maintained device configurations. While the corporate governance gained by the CCB has value, the maintenance of device configurations may not. Using the “infrastructure as code” concept, organizations can template/script their device configurations and apply CM practices only to the scripts. One example is using Ansible with the Jinja2 template language. Simply maintaining these scripts, along with their associated playbooks and variable files, has many benefits:

1. **Less to manage:** A network with many nodes is likely to have many device configurations that are almost identical. One such example would be restaurant/retail chains as it relates to WAN sites. By creating a template for a common architecture, then maintaining site-specific variable files, updating configurations becomes simpler.

2. **Enforcement:** Simply running the script will baseline the entire network based on the CCB’s policy. This can be done on a regular basis to wipe away vestigial (or malicious/damaging) configurations from devices quickly.

3. **Easy to test:** Running the scripts in a development environment, such as on some VMs in a private data center or compute instances in public cloud, can simplify the testing of your code before applying it to the production network.

1.9.1 Cloud Center

Cisco Cloud Center (formerly CliQr) is a software solution design for application deployment in multi-cloud environments. Large organizations often use a variety of cloud providers for different purposes. For example, a company may use Amazon AWS for code development and integration testing using the CodeCommit and CodeBuild SaaS offerings, respectively. The same organization could be using Microsoft Azure for its Active Directory (AD) services as Azure offers AD as a service. Last, the organization may use a private cloud (e.g. OpenStack or VMware) to host sensitive applications which are Government-regulated and have strict data protection requirements.

Managing each of these clouds independently, using their respective dashboards and APIs, can become cumbersome. Cisco Cloud Center is designed to be another level of abstraction in an organization’s cloud management strategy by providing a single point for applications to be deployed based on user policy. Using the example above, there are certain applications that are best operated on a specific cloud provider. Other applications may not have strict requirements, but Cloud Center can deploy and migrate applications between clouds based on user policy. For example, one application may require very high disk read/write capabilities, and perhaps this is less expensive in Azure. Another application may require very high avail-
ability, and perhaps this is best achieved in AWS. Note that these are examples only and not indicative of any cloud provider in particular.

Applications can be abstracted into individual components, usually virtual machines or containers, and Cloud Center can deploy those applications where they best serve the organization's needs. The administrator can "just say go" and Cloud Center interacts with the different cloud providers through their various APIs, reducing development costs for large organizations that would need to develop their own. Cloud Center also has southbound APIs to other Cisco Data Center products, such as UCS Director, to help manage application deployment in private cloud environments.

1.9.2 Digital Network Architecture Center (DNA-C) Demonstration

DNA-C is Cisco's enterprise management and control solution for the Digital Network Architecture (DNA). DNA is Cisco's intent-based networking solution which means that the desired state is configured within DNA-C, and the system makes this desired state a reality in the network without the administrator needing to know or care about the current state. The solution is like a "manager of managers" and can tie into other Cisco management products, such as Identity Services Engine (ISE) and Viptela vManage, using REST APIs. These integrations allow DNA-C to seamlessly support SDA and SD-WAN within an enterprise LAN/WAN environment. DNA-C is broken down into three sequential workflow types:

1. Design: This is where the administrators define the "intent" for the network. For example, an administrator may region a geographical region everywhere the company operates, and add sites into each region. There can be regionally-significant variables and design criteria which are supplemented by site-specified design criteria. One example could be IP pools, whereby the entire region fits into a large /14 and each individual site gets a /24, allowing up to 1024 sites per region and keeping the IP numbering scheme predictable. There are many more options here; some are covered briefly in the upcoming demonstration.

2. Policy: Generally relates to SDA security policies and gives granular control to the administrator. Access and LAN security technologies are configured here, such as 802.1x, Trustsec using security group tags (SGT), virtual networking and segmentation, and traffic copying via encapsulated remote switch port analyzer (ERSPAN). Some of these features require ISE integration, such as Trustsec, but not all do. As such, DNA-C can provide improved security for the LAN environment even without ISE present.

3. Provision: After the network has been designed with its appropriate policies attached, DNA-C can provision these new sites. This workflow usually includes pushing VNF images and their corresponding day 0 configurations onto hypervisors, such as NFVIS. This is detailed in the upcoming demonstration as describing it in the abstract is difficult.

The demonstration in this session ties in with the previous NFVIS demonstration which discussed the hypervisor and its local management capabilities. Specifically, DNA-C provides improved orchestration over the NFVIS nodes. DNA-C can provide day 0 configurations and setup for a variety of VNFs on NFVIS. It can also provide the NFVIS hypervisor software itself, allowing for scaled software updates. Upon logging into DNAC, the screenshot below is displayed. The three main workflows (design, policy, and provision) are navigable hyperlinks, making it easy to get started. DNA-C version 1.2 is used in this demonstration. Today, Cisco provides DNA-C as a physical UCS server.
After clicking on the Design option, the main design screen displays a geographic map of the network in the Network Hierarchy view. In this small network, the region of Aberdeen has two sites within it, Site200 and Site300. Each of these sites has a Cisco ENCS 5412 platform running NFVIS 3.8.1-FC3; they represent large branch sites. Additional sites can be added manually or imported from a comma-separated values (CSV) file. Each of the other subsections is worth a brief discussion:

1. Network Settings: This is where the administrator defines basic network options such as IP address pools, QoS settings, and integration with wireless technologies.

2. Image Repository: The inventory of all images, virtual and physical, that are used in the network. Multiple flavors of an image can be stored, with one marked as the “golden image” that DNA-C will ensure is running on the corresponding network devices.

3. Network Profiles: These network profiles bind the specific VNF instances to a network hierarchy, serving as network-based intent instructions for DNA-C. A profile can be applied globally, regionally, or to a site. In this demonstration, the “Routing & NFV” profile is used, but DNA-C also supports a “Switching” profile and a “Wireless” profile, both of which simplify SDA operations.

4. Auth Template: These templates enable faster IEEE 802.1x configuration. The 3 main options include closed authentication (strict mode), easy connect (low impact mode), and open authentication (anyone can connect). Administrators can add their own port-based authentication profiles here for more granularity. Since 802.1x is not used in this demonstration, this particular option is not discussed further.
The Network Settings tab warrants some additional discussion. In this tab, there are additional options to further customize your network. Brief descriptions and provided below. Recall that these settings can be configured at the global, regional, or site level.

1. **Network:** Basic network settings such as DHCP/DNS server addresses and domain name. It might be sensible to define the domain name at the global level and DHCP/DNS servers at the regional or site level, for example.

2. **Device Credentials:** Because DNA-C can directly manage network devices, it must know the credentials to access them. Options including SSH, SNMP, and HTTP protocols.

3. **IP Address Pools:** Discussed briefly earlier, this is where administrators defined the IP ranges used at the global, regional, and site levels. DNA-C helps manage these IP pools to reduce that manual burden from network operators.

4. **SP Profiles:** Many carriers use different QoS models. For example, some use a 3-class model (gold, silver, bronze) while others use granular 8-class or 12-class models. By assigned specific SP profiles to regions or sites, DNA-C helps keep QoS configuration consistent to improve the user experience.

5. **Wireless:** DNA-C can tie into Cisco Mobile eXperiences (CMX) family of products to manage large wireless networks. It is particularly useful for those with extensive mobility/roaming. The administrator can set up both enterprise and guest wireless LANs, RF profiles, and more. DNA-C also supports integration with Meraki products without an additional license requirement.

Additionally, the Network Profiles tab is particularly interesting for this demonstration as VNFs are being provisioned on remote ENCS platforms running NFVIS. On a global, regional, or per site basis, the administrator can identify which VNFs should run on which NFVIS-enabled sites. For example, sites in one region may only have access to high-latency WAN transport, and thus could benefit from WAN optimization VNFs. Such an expense may not be required in other regions where all transports are relatively low-latency. The screenshot below shows an example. Note the similarities with the NFVIS drag-and-drop GUI; in this solution, the administrator checks boxes on the left hand side of the screen to add or remove VNFs. The virtual networking between VNFs is defined elsewhere in the profile and is not discussed in detail here.
After configuring all of the network settings, administrators can populate their **Image Repository**. This contains a list of all virtual and physical images currently loaded onto DNA-C. There are two screenshots below. The first shows the physical platform images, in this case, the NFVIS hypervisor. Appliance software, such as a router IOS image, could also appear here. The second screenshot shows the virtual network functions (VNFs) that are present in DNA-C. In this example, there is a Viptela vEdge SD-WAN router and ASAv image.

After completing all of the design steps (for brevity, several were not discussed in detail here), navigate back to the main screen and explore the **Policy** section. The policy section is SDA-focused and provides
security enhancements through traffic filtering and network segmentation techniques. The dashboard provides a summary of the current policy configuration. In this example, SDA was not configured, since the ENCS/NFVIS provisioning demonstration does not include a campus environment. The policy options are summarized below:

1. **Group-Based Access Control**: This performs ACL style filtering based on group tags or SGTs defined earlier. This is the core element of Cisco’s Trustsec model, which is a technique for deployment stateless traffic filters throughout the network without the operational burden that normally follows it. This option requires Cisco ISE integration.

2. **IP Based Access Control**: When Cisco ISE is absent or the switches in the network do not support Trustsec, DNA-C can still help manage traditional IP access list support on network devices. This can improve security without needing cutting-edge Cisco hardware and software products.

3. **Traffic Copy**: This feature uses ERSPAN to capture network traffic and tunnel it inside GRE to a collector. This can be useful for troubleshooting large networks and provide improved visibility to network operators.

4. **Virtual Networks**: This feature provides logical separation between and users at layer-2 or layer-3. This requires ISE integration and, upon authenticating to the network, ISE and DNA-C team up to assign users to a particular virtual network. This logical separation is another method of increasing security through segmentation. By default, all end users in a virtual network can communicate with one another unless explicitly blocked by a blacklist policy.

![Figure 26: DNA-C Policy Main Page](image)

After applying any SDA-related security policies into the network, it’s time to provision the VNFs on the remote ENCS platforms running NFVIS. The screenshot below targets site 200. For the initial day 0 configuration bootstrapping, the administrator must tell DNA-C what the publicly-accessible IP address of the remote NFVIS is. This management IP could change as the ENCS is placed behind NAT devices or in different SP-provided DHCP pools. In this example, bogus IPs are used as an illustration.

Note that the screenshot is on the second step of the provisioning process. The first step just confirms the network profile created earlier, which identifies the VNFs to be deployed at a specific level in the network hierarchy (global, regional, or site). The third step allows the user to specific access port configuration, such as VLAN membership and interface descriptions. The summary tab gives the administrator a review of the provisioning process before deployment.
The screenshot that follows shows a log of the provisioning process. This gives the administrator confidence that all the necessary steps were completed, and also provides a mechanism for troubleshooting any issues that arise. Serial numbers and public IP addresses are masked for security.

In summary, DNA-C is a powerful tool that unifies network design, SDA policy application, and VNF provisioning across an enterprise environment.

1.9.3 Kubernetes Orchestration with minikube Demonstration

Kubernetes is an open-source container orchestration platform. It is commonly used to abstract resources like compute, network, and storage away from the containerized applications that run on top. Kubernetes is to VMware vCenter as Docker is to VMware virtual machines; Docker abstracts individual application
components and Kubernetes allows the application to scale, be made highly available, and be centrally managed/monitored. Kubernetes is not a CI/CD system for deploying code, but managing the containers in which the code has already been deployed.

Kubernetes introduces many new terms which are critical to understand its operation. The most important terms, at least for the demonstration in this section, are discussed next.

A **pod** is the smallest building block of a Kubernetes deployment. Pods contain application containers and are managed a single entity. It is common to place exactly one container in each pod, giving the administrator granular control over each container. However, it is possible to place multiple containers in a pod, and makes sense when multiple containers are needed to provide a single service. A pod cannot be split, which implies that all containers within a pod “move together” between resources in a Kubernetes cluster. Like Docker containers, pods get one IP address and can have volumes for data storage. Scaling pods is of particular interest, and using replica sets is a common way to do this. This creates more copies of a pod within a deployment.

A **deployment** is an overarching term to define the entire application in its totality. This typically includes multiple pods communicating between one another to make the application functional. Newly created deployments are placed into servers in the cluster to be executed. High availability is built into Kubernetes as any failure of the server running the application would prompt Kubernetes to move the application elsewhere. A deployment can define a desired state of an application and all of its components (pods, replica sets, etc.)

A **node** is a worker machine in Kubernetes, which can be physical or virtual. Where the pods are components of a deployment/application, nodes are components of a cluster. Although an administrator can just “create” nodes in Kubernetes, this creation is just a representation of a node. The usability/health of a node depends on whether the Kubernetes master can communicate with the node. Because nodes can be virtual platforms and hostnames can be DNS-resolvable, the definition of these nodes can be portable between physical infrastructures.

A **cluster** is a collection of nodes that are capable of running pods, deployments, replica sets, etc. The Kubernetes master is a special type of node which facilitates communications within the cluster. It is responsible for scheduling pods onto nodes and responding to events within the cluster. A node-down event, for example, would require the master to reschedule pods running on that node elsewhere.

A **service** is concept used to group pods of similar functionality together. For example, many database containers contain content for a web application. The database group could be scaled up or down (i.e. they change often), and the application servers must target the correct database containers to read/write data. The service often has a label, such as “database”, which would also exist on pods. Whenever the web application communicates to the service over TCP/IP, the service communicates to any pod with the “database” tag. Services could include node-specific ports, which is a simple port forwarding mechanism to access pods on a node. Advanced load balancing services are also available but are not discussed in detail in this book.

**Labels** are an important Kubernetes concept and warrant further discussion. Almost any resource in Kubernetes can carry a collection of labels, which is a key/value pair. For example, consider the blue/green deployment model for an organization. This architecture has two identical production-capable software instances (blue and green), and one is in production while the other is upgraded/changed. Using JSON syntax, one set of pods (or perhaps an entire deployment) might be labeled as {"color": "blue"} while the other is {"color": "green"}. The key of “color” is the same so the administrator can query for “color” label to get the value, and then make a decision based on that. One Cisco engineer described labels as flexible and extensible source of metadata. They can reference releases of code, locations, or any sort of logical groupings. There is no limitation of how many labels can be applied. In this way, labels are similar to tags in Ansible which can be used to pick-and-choose certain tasks to execute or skip, depending.

The **minikube** solution provides a relatively easy way to get started with Kubernetes. It is a VM that can run on Linux, Windows, or Mac OS using a variety of underlying hypervisors. It represents a tiny Kubernetes
cluster for learning the basics. The command line utility used to interact with Kubernetes is known as kubectl and is installed independently of minikube.

The installation of kubectl and minikube on Mac OS is well-documented. The author recommends using VirtualBox, not xhyve or VMware Fusion. Despite being technically supported, the author was not able to get the latter options working. After installation, ensure both binaries exist and are in the shell PATH environment variable.

Nicholass-MBP:localkube nicholarsrusso$ which minikube kubectl
/usr/local/bin/minikube
/usr/local/bin/kubectl

Starting minikube is as easy as the command below. Check the status of the Kubernetes cluster to ensure there are no errors. Note that a local IP address is allocated to minikube to support outside-in access to pods and the cluster dashboard.

Nicholass-MBP:localkube nicholarsrusso$ minikube start
Starting local Kubernetes v1.10.0 cluster...
Starting VM...
Getting VM IP address...
Moving files into cluster...
Setting up certs...
Connecting to cluster...
Setting up kubeconfig...
Starting cluster components...
Kubectl is now configured to use the cluster.
Loading cached images from config file.

Nicholass-MBP:localkube nicholarsrusso$ minikube status
minikube: Running
cluster: Running
kubectl: Correctly Configured: pointing to minikube-vm at 192.168.99.100

Next, check on the cluster to ensure it resolves to the minikube IP address.

Nicholass-MBP:localkube nicholarsrusso$ kubectl cluster-info
Kubernetes master is running at https://192.168.99.100:8443
KubeDNS is running at https://192.168.99.100:8443/api/v1/namespaces/kube-system/services/kube-dns:dns/proxy

We are ready to start deploying applications. The hello-minikube application is the equivalent of “hello world” and is a good way to get started. Using the command below, the Docker container with this application is downloaded from Google’s container repository and is accessible on TCP port 8080. The name of the deployment is hello-minikube and, at this point, contains one pod.

Nicholass-MBP:localkube nicholarsrusso$ kubectl run hello-minikube --image=gcr.io/google_containers/echoserver:1.4 --port=8080
deployment.apps "hello-minikube" created

As discussed earlier, there is a variety of port exposing techniques. The “NodePort” option allows outside access into the deployment using TCP port 8080 which was defined when the deployment was created.

Nicholass-MBP:localkube nicholarsrusso$ kubectl expose deployment hello-minikube --type=NodePort
service "hello-minikube" exposed

Check the pod status quickly to see that the pod is still in a state of creating the container. A few seconds later, the pod is operational.

Nicholass-MBP:localkube nicholarsrusso$ kubectl get pod
NAME                  READY STATUS      RESTARTS AGE
hello-minikube-c8b6b4fdec-nz5nc 0/1 ContainerCreating 0 17s
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Viewing the network services, Kubernetes reports which resources are reachable using which IP/port combinations. Actually reaching these IP addresses may be impossible depending on how the VM is set up on your local machine, and considering minikube is not meant for production, it isn’t a big deal.

Next, we will scale the application by increasing the replica sets (rs) from 1 to 2. Replica sets, as discussed earlier, are copies of pods typically used to add capacity to an application in an automated and easy way. Kubernetes has built-in support for load balancing to replica sets as well.

The command below creates a replica of the original pod, resulting in two total pods.

The minikube cluster comes with a GUI interface accessible via HTTP. The Kubernetes web dashboard can be quickly verified from the shell. First, you can see the URL using the command below, then feed the output from this command into curl to issue an HTTP GET request.
The command below opens up a web browser to the Kubernetes dashboard.

```
Nicolass-MBP:localkube nicholasrusso$ minikube dashboard
Opening kubernetes dashboard in default browser...
```

The screenshot below shows the overview dashboard of Kubernetes, focusing on the number of pods that are deployed. At present, there is 1 deployment called `hello-minikube` which has 2 total pods.

![Kubernetes Main Dashboard](image)

**Figure 29: Kubernetes Main Dashboard**

We can scale the application further from the GUI by increasing the replicas from 2 to 3. On the far right of the `deployments` window, click the three vertical dots, then `scale`. Enter the number of replicas desired. The screenshot below shows the prompt window. The screen reminds the user that there are currently 2 pods, but we desire 3 now.

**Scale a Deployment**

Resource `hello-minikube` will be updated to reflect the desired count. Current status: 2 created, 3 desired.

```
Desired number of pods
3
```

![Kubernetes Application Scaling](image)

**Figure 30: Kubernetes Application Scaling**

After scaling this application, the dashboard changes to show new pods being added in the diagram that follows. After a few seconds, the dashboard reflects 3 healthy pods (not shown for brevity). During this state, the third replica set is still being initialized and is not available for workload processing yet.
Scrolling down further in the dashboard, the individual pods and replica sets are listed. This is similar to the output displayed earlier from the `kubectl get pods` command.

Checking the CLI again, the new replica set (ending in `cxxlg`) created from the dashboard appears here.

```
Nicholass-MBP:loclkube nicholassrusso$ kubectl get pods
NAME            READY STATUS    RESTARTS AGE
hello-minikube-c8b6b4f6-cxxlg 1/1     Running   0 21s
hello-minikube-c8b6b4f6-c5jgn    1/1     Running   0 8m
hello-minikube-c8b6b4f6-cy9nc    1/1     Running   0 10m
```
To delete the deployment when testing is complete, use the command below. The entire deployment (application) and all associated pods are removed.

```
Nicolass-MBP:localkube nicholasrusso$ kubectl delete deployment hello-minikube
deployment.extensions "hello-minikube" deleted
```

Kubernetes can also run as-a-service in many public cloud providers. For example, Google Kubernetes Engine (GKE), AWS Elastic Container Service for Kubernetes (EKS), and Microsoft Azure Kubernetes Service (AKS). The author has done a brief investigation into EKS in particular, but all of these SaaS services are similar in their core concept. The main driver for Kubernetes as-a-service was to avoid building clusters manually using IaaS building blocks, such as AWS EC2, S3, VPC, etc. Achieving high availability is difficult due to coordination between multiple masters in a common cluster. With the SaaS offerings, the cloud providers offer a fully managed service with which users interface directly. Specifically for EKS, the hostname provided to a customer would look something like `mycluster.eks.amazonaws.com`. Administrators can SSH to this hostname and issue `kubectl` commands as usual, along with all dashboard functionality one would expect.

1.9.4 Amazon Web Services (AWS) CLI Demonstration

The AWS command line interface (CLI) is a simple way to interact with AWS programmatically. Like most APIs, consumers can both read and write data, which simplifies interaction. Initially setting up the AWS CLI is relatively simple and many tutorials exist, so this book covers the main points using some AWS console screenshots.

First, create a user and group with permissions to, at a minimum, create and delete EC2 instances. For demonstration purposes, the “terraform” user is placed in the “terraform” group which has full EC2 access (create, delete, change power state, etc.) Note that the word “terraform” is used because this section serves as a primer for the Terraform demo in the following section. Take note of the user Amazon Resource Name (ARN) as this can be used for verifying AWS CLI connectivity.

![Find users by username or access key](Add user Delete user)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User name</th>
<th>Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nrusso</td>
<td>Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terraform</td>
<td>terraform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>website</td>
<td>Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 34: AWS User/Group Assignments for Terraform](http://njrusmc.net)
Next, generate specific programmatic credentials for the “terraform” user. The access key is used by AWS to communicate the username and other unique data about your AWS account, and the secret key is a password that should not be shared.

Once the new “terraform” user exists in the proper group with the proper permissions and a valid access key, run `aws configure` from the shell. The `aws` binary can be installed via Python pip, but if you are like the author and are using an EC2 instance to run the AWS CLI, it comes pre-installed on Amazon Linux. Simply answer the questions as they appear, and always copy/paste the access and secret keys to avoid typos. Choose a region near you and use “json” for the output format, which is the most programmatically appropriate answer.

```bash
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws configure
AWS Access Key ID [None]: AKIAJXKR0NVDHHQ3GJYGA
AWS Secret Access Key [None]: [hidden]
Default region name [None]: us-east-1
Default output format [None]: json
```

To quickly test whether AWS CLI is set up correctly, use the command below. Be sure to match up the Arn number and username to what is shown in the screenshots above.

```bash
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws sts get-caller-identity
{
  "Account": "043535020805",
  "UserId": "AIDAINLWE2QY3QU6EVF4",
  "Arn": "arn:aws:iam::043535020805:user/terraform"
}
```

The goal of this short demonstration is to deploy a Cisco CSR1000v into the default VPC within the availability zone us-east-1a. Building out a whole new virtual environment using the AWS CLI manually is not
terribly difficult but would be time consuming (and likely boring) for readers. Many of the AWS CLI “get-ter” commands are prefixed with the word describe. To get information about VPCs, use describe-vpcs shown below. The current environment has two VPCs: the default VPC and a custom Ansible VPC used for Ansible development. The VPC without a name is the default. Record the VpcId of the default VPC which is vpc-889b03ee.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-vpcs
{
   "Vpcs": [
   {
      "VpcId": "vpc-7d5a7b1b",
      "InstanceTenancy": "default",
      "Tags": [
         {
            "Value": "VPC_Ansible",
            "Key": "Name"
         }
      ],
      "CidrBlockAssociationSet": [
         {
            "AssociationId": "vpc-cidr-assoc-7d5c0815",
            "CidrBlock": "10.125.0.0/16",
            "CidrBlockState": {
               "State": "associated"  
            }
         }
      ],
      "State": "available",
      "DhcpOptionsId": "dopt-4d2cb42a",
      "CidrBlock": "10.125.0.0/16",
      "IsDefault": false
   },
   {
      "VpcId": "vpc-889b03ee",
      "InstanceTenancy": "default",
      "CidrBlockAssociationSet": [
         {
            "AssociationId": "vpc-cidr-assoc-c66fe2ae",
            "CidrBlock": "172.31.0.0/16",
            "CidrBlockState": {
               "State": "associated"  
            }
         }
      ],
      "State": "available",
      "DhcpOptionsId": "dopt-4d2cb42a",
      "CidrBlock": "172.31.0.0/16",
      "IsDefault": true
   }
   ]
}
```

Armed with the VPC ID from above, ask for the subnets available in this VPC. By default, every AZ within this region has a default subnet, but since this demonstration is focused on us-east-1a, we can apply some filters. First, we filter subnets only contained in the default VPC, then additionally only on the us-east-1a AZ subnets. One subnet is returned with SubnetId of subnet-f1d6a9b4.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-subnets --filters \
>   'Name=vpc-id,Values=vpc-889b03ee' 'Name=availability-zone,Values=us-east-1a'
```
Armed with the proper subnet for the CSR1000v, an Amazon Machine Image (AMI) must be identified to deploy. Since there are many flavors of CSR1000v available, such as bring your own license (BYOL), maximum performance, and security, apply a filter to target the specific image desired. The example below shows a name-based filter searching for a string containing 16.09 as the version followed later by BYOL, the lowest cost option. Record the ImageId, which is `ami-0d1e6af4c329ef82`, as this is the image to deploy. Note: Cisco images require the user to accept the terms of a license agreement before usage. One must navigate to the following page first, subscribe, and accept the terms prior to attempting to start this instance or launch will result in an error. Visit this link for details.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-images --filters \
> 'Name=name,Values=cisco-CSR-.16.09*BYOL*' 

{
    "Images": [
        {
            "ProductCodes": [
                {
                    "ProductCodeId": "StiyrfbSetasxk9gmab39b843",
                    "ProductCodeType": "marketplace"
                }
            ],
            "Description": "cisco-CSR-trhardy-20180727122305.16.09.01-BYOL-HVM",
            "VirtualizationType": "hvm",
            "Hypervisor": "xen",
            "ImageOwnerAlias": "aws-marketplace",
            "EnaSupport": true,
            "SriovNetSupport": "simple",
            "ImageId": "ami-0d1e6af4c329ef82",
            "State": "available",
            "BlockDeviceMappings": [
                {
                    "DeviceName": "/dev/xvda",
                    "Ebs": {
                        "Encrypted": false,
                        "DeleteOnTermination": true,
                        "VolumeType": "standard",
                        "VolumeSize": 8,
                        "SnapshotId": "snap-010a7dddb206eb016e"
                    }
                }
            ],
            "Architecture": "x86_64",
```
Two other minor pieces of information are needed. First, capture the available key chains and choose the most appropriate one for this instance. One key pair is available. The name “EC2-key-pair” will be used when deploying the CSR1000v.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-key-pairs
{
  "KeyPairs": [
    {
      "KeyName": "EC2-key-pair",
      "KeyFingerprint": "fc:41:d4:\[snip\]"
    }
  ]
}
```

Next, capture the available security groups and choose one. Be sure to filter on the default VPC to avoid cluttering output with any Ansible VPC related security groups. The default security group, in this case, is wide open and permits all traffic. The GroupId of sg-4d3a5c31 can be used when deploying the CSR1000v.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-security-groups --filter 'Name=vpc-id,Values=vpc-889b03ee'
{
  "SecurityGroups": [
    {
      "IpPermissionsEgress": [
        {
          "IpProtocol": "-1",
          "PrefixListIds": [],
          "IpRanges": [
            {
              "CidrIp": "0.0.0.0/0"
            }
          ],
          "UserIdGroupPairs": [],
          "Ipv6Ranges": []
        }
      ],
      "Description": "default VPC security group",
      "IpPermissions": [
        {
          "IpProtocol": "-1",
          "PrefixListIds": [],
          "IpRanges": [
            {
              "CidrIp": "0.0.0.0/0"
            }
          ],
          "UserIdGroupPairs": [],
          "Ipv6Ranges": []
        }
      ]
    }
  ]
}
```
"Ipv6Ranges": []
}
]
"GroupName": "default",
"VpcId": "vpc-889b03ee",
"OwnerId": "043535020805",
"GroupId": "sg-4d3a5c31"
}
]
}

With all the key information collected, use the command below with the appropriate inputs to create the new EC2 instance. After running the command, a string is returned with the instance ID of the new instance; this is why the \"--query\" argument is handy when deploying new instances using AWS CLI. The CSR1000v will take a few minutes to fully power up.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 run-instances --image-id ami-0d1e6af4c329ef0d82
> --subnet-id subnet-f1d20194
> --security-group-ids sg-4d3a5c31
> --count 1
> --instance-type t2.medium
> --key-name EC2-key-pair
> --query "Instances[0].InstanceId"
"i-08808ba7abf0d2242"
```

In the meantime, collect information about the instance using the command below. Use the \"--instance-ids\" option to supply a list of strings, each containing a specific instance ID. The value returned above is pasted below. The status is still \"initializing\".

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-instance-status --instance-ids 'i-08808ba7abf0d2242'
{
  "InstanceStatuses": [
    {
      "InstanceId": "i-08808ba7abf0d2242",
      "InstanceState": {
        "Code": 16,
        "Name": "running"
      },
      "AvailabilityZone": "us-east-1a",
      "SystemStatus": {
        "Status": "ok",
        "Details": [
          {
            "Status": "passed",
            "Name": "reachability"
          }
        ]
      },
      "InstanceStatus": {
        "Status": "initializing",
        "Details": [
          {
            "Status": "initializing",
            "Name": "reachability"
          }
        ]
      }
    }
  ]
}
```

By: Nicholas Russo  http://njrusmc.net 64
You can continue running the above command every few minutes until the status changes to `ok`. Some extra information has been removed from the output.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-instance-status \\
> --instance-ids 'i-08808ba7abf0d2242'

{
  "InstanceStatus": {
    "Status": "ok",
    "Details": [
      {
        "Status": "passed",
        "Name": "reachability"
      }
    ]
  }
}
```

In order to connect to the instance to configure it, the public IP or public DNS hostname is required. The command below targets this specific information without a massive JSON dump. Simply feed in the instance ID. Without the complex query, one could manually scan the JSON to find the address, but this solution is more targeted and elegant.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 describe-instances \\
> --instance-ids i-08808ba7abf0d2242 --output text \\
> --query 'Reservations[0].Instances[0].PublicIpAddress'
34.201.13.127
```

Assuming your private key is already present with the proper permissions (read-only for owner), SSH into the instance using the newly-discovered public IP address. A quick check of the IOS XE version suggests that the deployment succeeded.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ ls -l privkey.pem
-r-------- 1 ec2-user ec2-user 1670 Jan 1 16:54 privkey.pem
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ ssh -i privkey.pem ec2-user@34.201.13.127

ip-172-31-66-99#show version | include IOS XE
Cisco IOS XE Software, Version 16.09.01
```

Termination is simple as well. The only challenge is that, generally, one would have to rediscover the instance ID assuming the termination happened long after the instance was created. The alternative is manually writing some kind of shell script to store that data in a file, which must be manually read back in to delete the instance. The next section on Terraform helps overcome these state problems in a simple way, but for now, simply delete the CSR1000v using the command below. The JSON output confirms that the instance is shutting down.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 terminate-instances --instance-ids i-08808ba7abf0d2242

{
  "TerminatingInstances": [
    {
      "InstanceId": "i-08808ba7abf0d2242",
      "CurrentState": {
        "Code": 32,
        "Name": "shutting-down"
      },
      "PreviousState": {
        "Code": 16,
        "Name": "running"
      }
    }
  ]
}
```
This CurrentState of shutting-down will remain for a few minutes until the instance is gone. Running the command again confirms the instance no longer exists as the state is terminated.

[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ aws ec2 terminate-instances --instance-ids i-08808ba7abf0d2242

{
    "TerminatingInstances": [
        {
            "InstanceId": "i-08808ba7abf0d2242",
            "CurrentState": {
                "Code": 48,
                "Name": "terminated"
            },
            "PreviousState": {
                "Code": 48,
                "Name": "terminated"
            }
        }
    ]
}

1.9.5 Infrastructure as Code using Terraform

Terraform, like Ansible (discussed later in this book), is relatively easy to get started using. Understanding Terraform’s value is best understood by contrasting it with the AWS CLI demonstrated in the previous section. While the AWS CLI provides a simple and powerful method to interact with AWS, it has several drawbacks. Think of a traditional shell script that simply runs commands and has basic logical constructs like conditionals, loops, and variables. Suppose one wants to make the script state-aware so that it only takes the necessary actions. For example, it doesn’t create EC2 instances that already exist and doesn’t try to delete non-existent instances. To accomplish this, the programmer would have to constantly test for the presence or absence of certain characteristics (the presence of an instance, the presence of a line of a text in a file, etc.) before taking action. This makes the script complex and quickly gets out of control for any non-trivial problem.

Terraform solves this problem through abstraction using a domain-specific language (DSL), like Ansible. This simplified pseudo-code allows programmers to declare their intent/endstate and Terraform implements the plan. Like many automation tools, it is often used as “infrastructure as code” whereby the desired system is described in its entirety, checked into version control, and centrally enforced. Terraform has a collection of providers, which are specific libraries used to interact with a variety of platforms. For example, the forthcoming demonstration will use several AWS-specific providers. Because Terraform is an abstraction layer, it does not reinvent the AWS CLI, but rather relies on it behind the scenes.

Terraform’s DSL is a completely new format, known as Hashicorp Configuration Language (HCL). The language resembles a simplified JSON format with the addition of single and multi line comments. It is designed to be both human and machine friendly.

In this demonstration, Terraform will provision a new virtual networking environment within AWS known as a virtual private cloud (VPC) that has a large IP supernet from which all subnets must be contained. A new subnet will be created which represents a DMZ for public facing enterprise services offered by a fictitious company. A Cisco ASAv serves as the Internet edge firewall. Within the DMZ, a Cisco CSR1000v serves as a VPN concentrator for site-to-site VPNs. These devices won’t be configured at a CLI-level by Terraform, but will be provisioned and properly connected using AWS networking constructs. Subsequent configuration management using Ansible, Nornir, or homemade scripts would generally occur after provisioning by
Armed with basic knowledge about Terraform and the task at hand, the demonstration will provision several AWS resources:

1. Build a new VPC (region us-east-1) for our DMZ devices using the `aws_vpc` resource
2. Build a new DMZ subnet using the `aws_subnet` resource in the us-east-1a availability zone
3. Deploy an unlicensed Cisco CSR1000v using the `aws_instance` resource
4. Deploy an unlicensed Cisco ASA using the `aws_instance` resource

Note that the preparatory work described in the AWS CLI section must be completed before continuing. The author strongly recommends completing that demonstration first before jumping into Terraform. This ensures that Terraform can use the AWS CLI credentials to access AWS programmatically.

Installing Terraform requires downloading the proper package for your operating system from here. For this demonstration, the Linux 64-bit package is downloaded via `wget` below.

```
$ wget https://releases.hashicorp.com/terraform/0.11.11/terraform_0.11.11_linux_amd64.zip
```

Unzip the package to reveal a single binary. At this point, Terraform operators have 3 options:

1. Move the binary to a directory in your `PATH`. This is the author's preferred choice and what is done below.
2. Add the current directory (where the terraform binary exists) to the shell `PATH`.
3. Prefix the binary with `./` every time you want to use it.

```
$ unzip terraform_0.11.11_linux_amd64.zip
```

```
Archive: terraform_0.11.11_linux_amd64.zip
inflating: terraform
```

```
$ file terraform
terraform: ELF 64-bit LSB executable, x86-64, version 1 (SYSV), statically linked, stripped
```

```
$ echo $PATH
/usr/local/bin:/usr/bin:/usr/local/sbin:/usr/sbin:/home/ec2-user/.local/bin:/home/ec2-user/bin
```

```
$ sudo mv terraform /usr/local/bin/
```

Test to ensure your shell recognizes `terraform` as a command before continuing.

```
$ which terraform
/usr/local/bin/terraform
```

```
$ terraform --version
Terraform v0.11.11
```

Last, the author recommends creating a directory for this particular Terraform project as shown below. Change into that directly and create a new text file called “network.tf”. Open the file in your favorite editor to begin creating the Terraform plan.

```
$ mkdir tf-demo && cd tf-demo
```
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First, invoke the AWS provider using the code below. While this is technically not needed, specifying the region in the Terraform plan means that Terraform will not interactively prompt to hand-type a region every time. Note that the access and secret keys are not needed because AWS CLI has already been configured.

```
# This avoids interaction prompting. The rest of the AWS CLI
# parameters (access and secret keys) should already be defined.
provider "aws" {
  region = "us-east-1"
}
```

Next, use the `aws_vpc` resource to create a new VPC. The documentation suggests that only the `cidr_block` argument is required. The author suggests adding a `Name` tag to help organize resources as well. Note that there is a large list of “attribute” fields on the documentation page. These are the pieces of data returned by Terraform, such as the VPD ID and Amazon Resource Name (ARN). These are dynamically allocated at runtime and referencing these values can simply the Terraform plan later.

```
# Create a new VPC for DMZ services
resource "aws_vpc" "tfvpc" {
  cidr_block = "203.0.113.0/24"
  tags = {
    Name = "tfvpc"
  }
}
```

Next, use the `aws_subnet` resource to create a new IP subnet. The documentation indicates that `cidr_block` and `vpc_id` arguments are needed. The former is self-explanatory as it represents a subnet within the VPC network of 203.0.113.0/24; this demonstration uses 203.0.113.64/26. The VPC ID is returned from the `aws_vpc` resource and can be referenced using the `${}` syntax shown below. The name `tfvpc` has an attribute called `id` that identifies the VPC in which this new subnet should be created. Like the `aws_vpc` resource, `aws_subnet` also returns an ID which can be referenced later when creating EC2 instances.

```
# Create subnet within the new VPC for the DMZ
resource "aws_subnet" "dmz" {
  vpc_id = "${aws_vpc.tfvpc.id}"
  cidr_block = "203.0.113.64/26"
  availability_zone = "us-east-1a"
  tags = {
    Name = "dmz"
  }
}
```

Now that the basic network constructs have been configured, its time to add EC2 instances to construct the DMZ. One could just add a few more resource invocations to the existing network.tf file. For variety, the author is going to create a second file for the EC2 compute devices. When multiple `.tf` configuration files exist, they are loaded in alphabetical order, but that's largely irrelevant since Terraform is smart enough to create/destroy resources in the appropriate sequence regardless of the file names.

Edit a file called “services.tf” in your favorite text editor and apply the following configuration to deploy a Cisco ASAv and CSR1000v within the us-east-1a AZ. The AMI for the CSR1000v is the same one used in the AWS CLI demonstration. The AMI for the ASAv is the BYOL version, which was derived using the AWS CLI `describe-instances`. Both instances are placed in the newly created subnet within the newly created VPC, keeping everything separate from any existing AWS resources. Just like with the CSR1000v images, Cisco requires the user to accept the terms of a license agreement before usage. One must navigate the the following page first, subscribe, and accept the terms prior to attempting to start this instance or launch will result in an error. Visit this link for details.

```
# Cisco ASAv BYOL
resource "aws_instance" "dmz_asav" {
  ami = "ami-4fbf3c30"
  instance_type = "m4.large"
}
```
Once the Terraform plan files have been configured, use `terraform init`. This scans all the plan files for any required plugins. In this case, the AWS provider is needed given the types of resource invocations present. To keep the initial Terraform binary small, individual provider plugins are not included and are downloaded as-needed. Like most good tools, Terraform is very verbose and provides hints and help along the way. The output below represents a successful setup.

```
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform init

Initializing provider plugins...
- Checking for available provider plugins on https://releases.hashicorp.com...
- Downloading plugin for provider "aws" (1.54.0)...

The following providers do not have any version constraints in configuration, so the latest version was installed.

To prevent automatic upgrades to new major versions that may contain breaking changes, it is recommended to add version = "..." constraints to the corresponding provider blocks in configuration, with the constraint strings suggested below.

* provider.aws: version = "~> 1.54"

Terraform has been successfully initialized!
```

Now, run `terraform plan` which loads all the HCL files (.tf) and determines what changes are needed. Since there is no state already and this plan hasn’t been written to a file, its best to use this output as an opportunity to review the plan. The fields labeled as `<computed>` are automatically generated and are available for use by the Terraform operator later. The output is very long, and future iterations of this output will be snipped for brevity.

```
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform plan

Refreshing Terraform state in-memory prior to plan...
The refreshed state will be used to calculate this plan, but will not be persisted to local or remote state storage.

An execution plan has been generated and is shown below.
Resource actions are indicated with the following symbols:
  + create

Terraform will perform the following actions:
```
+ aws_instance.dmz_asav
  id: <computed>
  ami: "ami-4fbf3c30"
  arn: <computed>
  associate_public_ip_address: <computed>
  availability_zone: <computed>
  cpu_core_count: <computed>
  cpu_threads_per_core: <computed>
  ebs_block_device.#: <computed>
  ephemeral_block_device.#: <computed>
  get_password_data: "false"
  host_id: <computed>
  instance_state: <computed>
  instance_type: "m4.large"
  ipv6_address_count: <computed>
  ipv6_addresses.#: <computed>
  key_name: <computed>
  network_interface.#: <computed>
  network_interface_id: <computed>
  password_data: <computed>
  placement_group: <computed>
  primary_network_interface_id: <computed>
  private_dns: <computed>
  private_ip: <computed>
  public_dns: <computed>
  public_ip: <computed>
  root_block_device.#: <computed>
  security_groups.#: <computed>
  source_dest_check: "true"
  subnet_id: "${aws_subnet.dmz.id}"
  tags.%: "1"
  tags.Name: "dmz_asav"
  tenancy: <computed>
  volume_tags.%: <computed>
  vpc_security_group_ids.#: <computed>

+ aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v
  id: <computed>
  ami: "ami-0d1e6af4c329efd82"
  arn: <computed>
  associate_public_ip_address: <computed>
  availability_zone: <computed>
  cpu_core_count: <computed>
  cpu_threads_per_core: <computed>
  ebs_block_device.#: <computed>
  ephemeral_block_device.#: <computed>
  get_password_data: "false"
  host_id: <computed>
  instance_state: <computed>
  instance_type: "t2.medium"
  ipv6_address_count: <computed>
  ipv6_addresses.#: <computed>
  key_name: <computed>
  network_interface.#: <computed>
  network_interface_id: <computed>
  password_data: <computed>
  placement_group: <computed>
  primary_network_interface_id: <computed>
  private_dns: <computed>
private_ip: <computed>
public_dns: <computed>
public_ip: <computed>
root_block_device.#: <computed>
security_groups.#: <computed>
source_dest_check: "true"
subnet_id: "${aws_subnet.dmz.id}"
tags.%: "1"
tags.Name: "dmz_csr1000v"
tenancy: <computed>
volume_tags.%: <computed>
vpc_security_group_ids.#: <computed>

+ aws_subnet.dmz
id: <computed>
arn: <computed>
assign_ipv6_address_on_creation: "false"
availability_zone: "us-east-1a"
availability_zone_id: <computed>
cidr_block: "203.0.113.64/26"
ipv6_cidr_block: <computed>
ipv6_cidr_block_association_id: <computed>
map_public_ip_on_launch: "false"
owner_id: <computed>
tags.%: "1"
tags.Name: "dmz"
vpc_id: "${aws_vpc.tfvpc.id}"

+ aws_vpc.tfvpc
id: <computed>
arn: <computed>
assign_generated_ipv6_cidr_block: "false"
cidr_block: "203.0.113.0/24"
default_network_acl_id: <computed>
default_route_table_id: <computed>
default_security_group_id: <computed>
dhcp_options_id: <computed>
enable_classiclink: <computed>
enable_classiclink_dns_support: <computed>
enable_dns_hostnames: <computed>
enable_dns_support: "true"
instance_tenancy: "default"
ipv6_association_id: <computed>
ipv6_cidr_block: <computed>
main_route_table_id: <computed>
owner_id: <computed>
tags.%: "1"
tags.Name: "tfvpc"

Plan: 4 to add, 0 to change, 0 to destroy.
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: You didn't specify an "-out" parameter to save this plan, so Terraform can't guarantee that exactly these actions will be performed if "terraform apply" is subsequently run.

Running the command again and specifying an optional output file allows the plan to be saved to disk.

By: Nicholas Russo  http://njrusmc.net
Plan: 4 to add, 0 to change, 0 to destroy.

This plan was saved to: plan.tfstate

To perform exactly these actions, run the following command to apply:

    terraform apply "plan.tfstate"

Executing terraform apply plan.tfstate instructs Terraform to make this plan (the intended configuration) become the new reality. Terraform is smart enough to deploy the resources in the correct sequence when dependencies exist, such as the subnet referencing the VPC, and the EC2 instances referencing the subnet. The output from the apply command is similar to plan in its formatting and display, but because it is running in realtime, it provides status updates. Also note that the newly-created subnet subnet-01461157fed507e7b was correctly referenced by the EC2 instances.
Quickly verify that the instances were successfully created and are powering up. It's best to do this verification outside of Terraform just to confirm from multiple sources that the infrastructure is working as expected. Using the AWS CLI with a detailed query, one can limit the output to just a few lines, effectively only collecting the Status value. Note that the two instance IDs specified here are annotated above in the output from Terraform.

```
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ aws ec2 describe-instance-status \
> --instance-ids 'i-03ac772e458bb9282' 'i-04e2992781578b002' \
> --query InstanceStatuses[*].InstanceStatus.Status
[
  "initializing",
  "initializing"
]
```

For those preferring visual confirmation, below is a screenshot from the AWS console showing these particular instances running. Note that both instances are in the correct AZ of us-east-1a as well.

![Figure 36: Verifying EC2 Instances Made By Terraform](image)

Quickly checking the subnet details in the AWS console confirm that the subnet is in the correct VPC, AZ, and has the right IPv4 CIDR range.
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Going back to Terraform, notice that a new `terraform.tfstate` file has been created. This represents the new infrastructure state after the Terraform plan was applied. Use `terraform show` to view the file, which contains all the computed fields filled in, such as the ARN value.

```bash
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ ls -l
total 28
-rw-rw-r-- 1 ec2-user ec2-user 533 Jan 1 18:54 network.tf
-rw-rw-r-- 1 ec2-user ec2-user 7437 Jan 1 19:00 plan.tfstate
-rw-rw-r-- 1 ec2-user ec2-user 417 Jan 1 18:59 services.tf
-rw-rw-r-- 1 ec2-user ec2-user 10917 Jan 1 19:01 terraform.tfstate
```

```bash
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform show
aws_instance.dmz_asav:
  id = i-03ac772e458bb9282
  ami = ami-4fbf3c30
  arn = arn:aws:ec2:us-east-1:043535020805:instance/i-03ac772e458bb9282
  associate_public_ip_address = false
  availability_zone = us-east-1a
  cpu_core_count = 1
  cpu_threads_per_core = 2
  credit_specification.# = 1
  credit_specification.0.cpu_credits = standard
[snip]
```

Running `terraform plan` again provides a diff-like report on what changes need to be made to the infrastructure to implement the plan. Since no new changes have been made manually to the environment (outside of Terraform), no updates are needed.

```bash
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform plan
Refreshing Terraform state in-memory prior to plan...
The refreshed state will be used to calculate this plan, but will not be persisted to local or remote state storage.
aws_vpc.tfvpc: Refreshing state... (ID: vpc-0edde0f2f198451e1)
aws_subnet.dmz: Refreshing state... (ID: subnet-01461157fed507e7b)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Refreshing state... (ID: i-04e2992781578b002)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Refreshing state... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282)
```

---

No changes. Infrastructure is up-to-date.

This means that Terraform did not detect any differences between your configuration and real physical resources that exist. As a result, no actions need to be performed.

Suppose a clumsy user accidentally deletes the CSR1000v as shown below. Wait for the instance to be terminated.

```bash
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ aws ec2 terminate-instances \
```
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Using `terraform plan` now detects a change and suggests needing to add 1 more resource to the infrastructure make the intended plan a reality. Simple use `terraform apply` to update the infrastructure and answer `yes` to confirm. Note that you cannot simply rerun `plan.tfstate` because it was created against an old state (ie, an old diff between intended and actual states).

```bash
$ terraform plan
Refreshing Terraform state in-memory prior to plan...
The refreshed state will be used to calculate this plan, but will not be persisted to local or remote state storage.

aws_vpc.tfvpc: Refreshing state... (ID: vpc-0edde0f2f198451e1)
aws_subnet.dmz: Refreshing state... (ID: subnet-01461157fed507e7b)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Refreshing state... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Refreshing state... (ID: i-04e2992781578b002)

An execution plan has been generated and is shown below.

Resource actions are indicated with the following symbols:
+ create

Terraform will perform the following actions:

+ aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v
  id: <computed>
  ami: "ami-0d1e6af4c329efd82"
  arn: <computed>

Plan: 1 to add, 0 to change, 0 to destroy.

$ terraform apply
aws_vpc.tfvpc: Refreshing state... (ID: vpc-0edde0f2f198451e1)
aws_subnet.dmz: Refreshing state... (ID: subnet-01461157fed507e7b)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Refreshing state... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Refreshing state... (ID: i-04e2992781578b002)

An execution plan has been generated and is shown below.

Resource actions are indicated with the following symbols:
+ create
Terraform will perform the following actions:

+ aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v
  id: <computed>
  ami: "ami-0d1e6af4c329efd82"
  arn: <computed>
  [snip]
  source_dest_check: "true"
  subnet_id: "subnet-01461157fed507e7b"
  tags.%: "1"
  tags.Name: "dmz_csr1000v"
  tenancy: <computed>
  volume_tags.%: <computed>
  vpc_security_group_ids.#: <computed>

Plan: 1 to add, 0 to change, 0 to destroy.

Do you want to perform these actions?
Terraform will perform the actions described above.
Only 'yes' will be accepted to approve.

Enter a value: yes

aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Creating...
ami: "" => "ami-0d1e6af4c329efd82"
arn: "" => <computed>"
[snip]
source_dest_check: "" => "true"
subnet_id: "" => "subnet-01461157fed507e7b"
tags.%: "" => "1"
tags.Name: "" => "dmz_csr1000v"
tenancy: "" => <computed>"
volume_tags.%: "" => <computed>"
vpc_security_group_ids.#: "" => <computed>"

aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still creating... (10s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still creating... (20s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still creating... (30s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Creation complete after 32s (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1)

Apply complete! Resources: 1 added, 0 changed, 0 destroyed.
The new instance is currently initializing, and Terraform plan says all is well.

[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ aws ec2 describe-instance-status \
> --instance-ids 'i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1' \
> --query InstanceStatuses[*].InstanceStatus.Status
[
  "initializing"
]

[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform plan
[snip]
No changes. Infrastructure is up-to-date.

To cleanup, use terraform plan -destroy to view a plan to remove all of the resources added by Ter-
raform. This is a great way to ensure no residual AWS resources are left in place (and costing money) long
after they are needed.

[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform plan -destroy
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Refreshing Terraform state in-memory prior to plan...
The refreshed state will be used to calculate this plan, but will not be
persisted to local or remote state storage.

aws_vpc.tfvpc: Refreshing state... (ID: vpc-0edde0f2f198451e1)
aws_subnet.dmz: Refreshing state... (ID: subnet-01461157fed507e7b)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Refreshing state... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Refreshing state... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282)

------------------------------------------------------------------------

An execution plan has been generated and is shown below.
Resource actions are indicated with the following symbols:
- destroy

Terraform will perform the following actions:

- aws_instance.dmz_asav

- aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v

- aws_subnet.dmz

- aws_vpc.tfvpc

Plan: 0 to add, 0 to change, 4 to destroy.

The command above serves as a good preview into what terraform destroy will perform. Below, the
infrastructure is torn down in the reverse order it was created. Note that -auto-approve can be appended
to both apply and destroy actions to remove the interactive prompt asking for yes.

[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform destroy -auto-approve
aws_vpc.tfvpc: Refreshing state... (ID: vpc-0edde0f2f198451e1)
aws_subnet.dmz: Refreshing state... (ID: subnet-01461157fed507e7b)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Refreshing state... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Refreshing state... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Destroying... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Destroying... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Still destroying... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282, 10s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still destroying... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1, 10s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still destroying... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1, 20s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Still destroying... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282, 20s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still destroying... (ID: i-03ac772e458bb9282, 30s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still destroying... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1, 30s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_asav: Destruction complete after 40s
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still destroying... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1, 40s elapsed)
[snip, waiting for CSR1000v to terminate]
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Still destroying... (ID: i-05d5bb841cf4e2ad1, 2m50s elapsed)
aws_instance.dmz_csr1000v: Destruction complete after 2m51s
aws_subnet.dmz: Destroying... (ID: subnet-01461157fed507e7b)
aws_subnet.dmz: Destruction complete after 1s
aws_vpc.tfvpc: Destroying... (ID: vpc-0edde0f2f198451e1)
aws_vpc.tfvpc: Destruction complete after 0s

Destroy complete! Resources: 4 destroyed.

Using terraform plan -destroy again says there is nothing left to destroy, indicating that everything has
been cleaned up. Further verification via AWS CLI or AWS console may be desirable, but for brevity, the
author excludes it here.
[ec2-user@devbox tf-demo]$ terraform plan -destroy
[snip]
No changes. Infrastructure is up-to-date.
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2 Network Programmability

2.1 Data models and structures

Other protocols and languages, such as NETCONF and YANG, also help automate/simplify network management indirectly. NETCONF (RFC6241) is the protocol by which configurations are installed and changed. YANG (RFC6020) is the modeling language used to represent device configuration and state, much like eXtensible Markup Language (XML). Put simply, NETCONF is a transport vessel for YANG information to be transferred from a network management system (NMS) to a network device. Although YANG can be quite complex to humans, it is similar to SNMP; it is simple for machines. YANG is an abstraction away from network device CLIs which promotes simplified management in cloud environments and a progressive migration toward one of the SDN models discussed later in this document. Devices that implement NETCONF/YANG provide a uniform manageability interface which means vendor hardware/software can be swapped in a network without affecting the management architecture, operations, or strategy.

Previous revisions of this document claimed that NETCONF is to YANG as HTTP is to HTML. This is not technically accurate, as NETCONF serializes data using XML. In HTML, Document Type Definitions (DTDs) describe the building blocks of how the data is structured. This is the same role played by YANG as it relates to XML. It would be more correct to say that DTD is to HTML over HTTP as YANG is to XML over NETCONF. YANG can also be considered to be a successor to Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Management Information Base (MIB) definitions. These MIBs define how data is structured and SNMP itself provides a transport, similar to NETCONF.

2.1.1 YANG

YANG defines how data is structured/modeled rather than containing data itself. Below is snippet from RFC 6020 which defines YANG (section 4.2.2.1). The YANG model defines a “host-name” field as a string (array of characters) with a human-readable description. Pairing YANG with NETCONF, the XML syntax references the data field by its name to set a value.

YANG Example:

```yaml
leaf host-name {
  type string;
  description "Hostname for this system";
}
```

NETCONF XML Example:

```xml
<host-name>my.example.com</host-name>
```

This section explores a YANG validation example using Cisco CSR1000v on modern “Everest” software. This router is running as an EC2 instance inside AWS. Although the NETCONF router is not used until later, it is important to check the software version to ensure we clone the right YANG models.

```text
NETCONF_TEST#show version | include IOS_Software
Cisco IOS Software [Everest], Virtual XE Software (X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M),
  Version 16.6.1, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc2)
```

YANG models for this particular version are publicly available on Github. Below, the repository is cloned using SSH which captures all of the YANG models for all supported products, across all versions. The repository is not particularly large, so cloning the entire thing is beneficial for future testing.

```text
Nicholas-MBP:YANG nicholasrusso$ git clone git@github.com:YangModels/yang.git
Cloning into 'yang'...
remote: Counting objects: 10372, done.
remote: Compressing objects: 100% (241/241), done.
remote: Total 10372 (delta 74), reused 292 (delta 69), pack-reused 10062
Receiving objects: 100% (10372/10372), 19.95 MiB | 4.81 MiB/s, done.
Resolving deltas: 100% (6556/6556), done.
```
Checking connectivity... done.
Checking out files: 100% (9212/9212), done.

Changing into the directory specific to the current IOS-XE version, verify that the EIGRP YANG model used for this test is present. There are 245 total files in this directory which are the YANG models for many other Cisco features, but are outside the scope of this demonstration.

Nicholass-MBP:~ nicholasrusso$ cd yang/vendor/cisco/xe/1661/
Nicholass-MBP:1661 nicholasrusso$ ls -l | grep eigrp
Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp.yang
Nicholass-MBP:1661 nicholasrusso$ ls -l | wc
  245  2198  20770

The YANG model itself is a C-style declaration of how data should be structured. The file is very long, and the text below focuses on a few key EIGRP parameters. Specifically, the bandwidth-percent, hello-interval, and hold-time. These are configured under the af-interface stanza within EIGRP named-mode. The af-interface declaration is a list element with many leaf elements beneath it, which correspond to individual configuration parameters.

Nicholass-MBP:1661 nicholasrusso$ cat Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp.yang

module Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp {
    namespace "http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp";
    prefix ios-eigrp;

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
    }

    import Cisco-IOS-XE-types {
        prefix ios-types;
    }

    import Cisco-IOS-XE-interface-common {
        prefix ios-ifc;
    }

    // [snip]

    // the lines that follow are under "router eigrp address-family"

grouping eigrp-address-family-grouping {
    list af-interface {
        description "Enter Address Family interface configuration";
        key "name";
        leaf name {
            type string;
        }
        leaf bandwidth-percent {
            description "Set percentage of bandwidth percentage limit";
            type uint32 {
                range "1..999999";
            }
        }
        leaf hello-interval {
            description "Configures hello interval";
            type uint16;
        }
        leaf hold-time {
            description
        }
    }
}
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"Configures hold time";
type uint16;
}
// [snip]

Before exploring NETCONF, which will use this model to get/set configuration data on the router, this demonstration explores the pyang tool. This is a conversion tool to change YANG into different formats. The pyang tool is available here. After extracting the archive, the tool is easily installed.

Nicholass-MBP:pyang-1.7.3 nicholarsuso$ python3 setup.py install
running install
running bdist_egg
running egg_info
writing top-level names to pyang.egg-info/top_level.txt
writing pyang.egg-info/PKG-INFO
writing dependency_links to pyang.egg-info/dependency_links.txt
[snip]

Nicholass-MBP:pyang-1.7.3 nicholarsuso$ which pyang
/Library/Frameworks/Python.framework/Versions/3.5/bin/pyang

The most basic usage of the pyang tool is to validate valid YANG syntax. Beware that running the tool against a YANG model in a different directory means that pyang considers the local directory (not the one containing the YANG model) for the search point for any YANG module dependencies. Below, an error occurs since pyang cannot find imported modules relevant for the EIGRP YANG model.

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholarsuso$ pyang yang/vendor/cisco/xe/1661/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp.yang
yang/vendor/cisco/xe/1661/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp.yang:5: error: module "ietf-inet-types" not found in search path
yang/vendor/cisco/xe/1661/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp.yang:10: error: module "Cisco-IOS-XE-types" not found in search path
[snip]

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholarsuso$ echo $? 1

One could specify the module path using the --path option, but it is simpler to just navigate to the directory. This allows pyang to see the imported data types such as those contained within ietf-inet-types. When using pyang from this location, no output is returned, and the program exits successfully. It is usually a good idea to validate YANG models before doing anything with them, especially committing them to a repository.

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholarsuso$ cd yang/vendor/cisco/xe/1661/
Nicholass-MBP:1661 nicholarsuso$ pyang Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp.yang
Nicholass-MBP:1661 nicholarsuso$ echo $? 0

This confirms that the model has valid syntax. The pyang tool can also convert between different formats. Below is a simple and lossless conversion of YANG syntax into XML. This YANG-to-XML format is known as YIN, and pyang can generate pretty XML output based on the YANG model. This is an alternative way to view, edit, or create data models. YIN format might be useful for Microsoft Powershell users. Powershell makes XML parsing easy, and may not be as friendly to the YANG syntax.

Nicholass-MBP:1661 nicholarsuso$ pyang Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp.yang \\
> --format=yin --yin-pretty-strings

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<module name="Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp"
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:yin:1"
 xmlns:ios-eigrp="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp"
 xmlns:ios-types="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-types"
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Everything shown above is unrelated to the NETCONF/YANG testing on the Cisco CSR1000v and is more focused on viewing, validating, and converting YANG models between different formats. These YANG models are already loaded into the Cisco IOS-XE images and do not need to be present on the management station’s disks. Please see the NETCONF section for more information.
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2.1.2 YAML

There are many options for storing data, as opposed to modeling it or defining its composition. One such option is YAML Ain't Markup Language (YAML). It solves a similar problem as XML since it is primarily used for configuration files, but contains a subset of XML's functionality as it was specifically designed to be simpler. Below is an example of a YAML configuration, most likely some input for a provisioning script or something similar. Note that YAML files typically begin with `---` and end with `...` as a best practice.

```yaml
---
- process: "update static routes"
  vrf: "customer1"
  nexthop: "10.0.0.1"
  devices:
    - net: "192.168.0.0"
      mask: "255.255.0.0"
      state: "present"
    - net: "172.16.0.0"
      mask: "255.240.0.0"
      state: "absent"
...```

Note that YAML is technically not in the blueprint but the author is certain it is a critical skill for anyone working with any form of network programmability.

2.1.3 JSON

JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) is another data modeling language that is similar to YAML in concept. It was designed to be simpler than traditional markup languages and uses key/value pairs to store information. The "value" of a given pair can be another key/value pair, which enables hierarchical data nesting. The key/value pair structure and syntax is very similar to the `dict` data type in Python. Like YAML, JSON is also commonly used for maintaining configuration files or as a form of structured feedback from a query or API call. The next page displays a syntax example of JSON which represents the same data and same structure as the YAML example.

```json
[
  {
    "process": "update static routes",
    "vrf": "customer1",
    "nexthop": "10.0.0.1",
    "devices": [
      {
        "net": "192.168.0.0",
        "mask": "255.255.0.0",
        "state": "present"
      },
      {
        "net": "172.16.0.0",
        "mask": "255.240.0.0",
        "state": "absent"
      }
    ]
  }
]
```

More discussion around YAML and JSON is warranted since these two formats are very commonly used today. YAML is considered to be a strict (or proper) superset of JSON. That is, any JSON data can be represented in YAML, but not vice versa. This is important to know when converting back and forth; converting JSON to YAML should always succeed, but converting YAML to JSON may fail or yield unintended results. Below is a straightforward conversion between YAML and JSON without any hidden surprises.
---

mylist:
- item: "pizza"
  quantity: 1
- item: "wings"
  quantity: 12

...

{
  "mylist": [
  {
    "item": "pizza",
    "quantity": 1
  },
  {
    "item": "wings",
    "quantity": 12
  }
  ]
}

Next, observe an example of some potentially unexpected conversion results. While the JSON result is technically correct, it lacks the shorthand “anchoring” technique available in YAML. The anchor, for example, creates information that can be inherited by other dictionaries later. While the information is identical between these two blocks and has no functional difference, some of these YAML shortcuts are advantageous for encouraging code/data reuse. Another difference is that YAML natively supports comments using the hash symbol # while JSON does not natively support comments.

---

anchor: anchor
  name: "Nick"
  age: 31

clone:
  <<: *anchor

...

{
  "anchor": {
    "name": "Nick",
    "age": 31
  },
  "clone": {
    "name": "Nick",
    "age": 31
  }
}

YANG isn’t directly comparable with YAML, JSON, and XML because it solves a different problem. If any one of these languages solved all of the problems, then the others would not exist. Understanding the business drivers and the problems to be solved using these tools is the key to choosing to right one.

2.1.4 XML

Data structured in XML is very common and has been popular for decades. XML is very verbose and explicit, relying on starting and ending tags to identify the size/scope of specific data fields. The next page shows an example of XML code resembling a similar structure as the previous YAML and JSON examples. Note that the topmost root wrapper key is needed in XML but not for YAML or JSON.
2.2 Device programmability

An Application Programmability Interface (API) is meant to define a standard way of interfacing with a software application or operating system. It may consist of functions (methods, routines, etc), protocols, system call constructs, and other “hooks” for integration. Both the controllers and business applications would need the appropriate APIs revealed for integration between the two. This makes up the northbound communication path as discussed in section 2.1.5. By creating a common API for communications between controllers and business applications, either one can be changed at any time without significantly impacting the overall architecture.

A common API that is discussed within the networking world is the Representational State Transfer (REST) API. REST represents an “architectural style” of transferring information between clients and servers. In essence, it is a way of defining attributes or characteristics of how data is moved. REST is commonly used with HTTP by combining traditional HTTP methods (GET, POST, PUT, DELETE, etc) and Universal Resource Identifiers (URI). The end result is that API requests look like URLs and are used to fetch/write specific pieces of data to a target machine. This simplification helps promote automation, especially for web-based applications or services. Note that HTTP is stateless which means the server does not store session information for individual flows; REST API calls retain this stateless functionality as well. This allows for seamless REST operation across HTTP proxies and gateways.

2.2.1 Google Remote Procedure Call (gRPC) on IOS-XR

Google defined gRPC as gRPC Remote Procedure Call framework, borrowing the idea of recursive acronyms popular in the open source world. The RPC concept is not a new one; Distributed Component Object Model (DCOM) from Microsoft has long existed, among others. These RPC mechanisms are both highly complex and considered legacy today. Despite its utility, gRPC is open source and free at the time of this writing.

gRPC attempts to solve a number of shortcomings of REST-based APIs. For example, there is no formal machine definition of a REST API. Each API is custom-built following REST architectural principles. API consumers must always read documents pertaining to the specific API in order to determine its usage specifications. Furthermore, streaming operations (sending a stream of messages in response to a client’s request, or vice versa) are very difficult as HTTP 1.1, the specification upon which most REST-based APIs are built, does not support this. Instead, gRPC is based on HTTP/2 which supports this functionality.

The gRPC framework also solves the time-consuming and expensive problem of writing client libraries. With REST-based APIs, individual client libraries must be written in whatever language a developer needs for gRPC API invocations. Using the Interface Definition Language (IDL), which is loosely analogous to YANG, developers can identify both the service interface and the structure of the payload messages. Because IDL follows a standard format (it’s a language after all), it can be compiled. The outputs from this compilation...
process include client libraries for many different languages, such as C, C#, Java, and Python to name a few.

Error reporting in gRPC is also improved when compared to REST-based APIs. Rather than relying on generic HTTP status codes, gRPC has a formalized set of errors specific to API usage, which is better suited to machine-based communications. To facilitate this communication technique, gRPC forms a single TCP session with many API calls transported within; this allows multiple in-flight API calls concurrently.

Today, gRPC is supported on Cisco’s IOS-XR platform. To follow this demonstration, any Linux development platform will work, assuming it has Python installed. Testing gRPC on IOS-XR is not particularly different than other APIs, but requires many setup steps. Each one is covered briefly before the demonstration begins. First, install the necessary underlying libraries needed to use gRPC. The “docopt” package helps with using CLI commands and is used by the Cisco IOS-XR cli.py client.

```
[root@devbox ec2-user]# pip install grpcio docopt
Collecting grpcio
  Downloading [snip]
Collecting docopt
  Downloading [snip]
```

Next, install the Cisco IOS-XR specific libraries needed to communicate using gRPC. This could be bundled into the previous step, but was separated in this document for cleanliness.

```
[root@devbox ec2-user]# pip install iosxr_grpc
Collecting iosxr_grpc
  [snip]
```

Clone this useful gRPC client library, written by Karthik Kumaravel. It contains a number of wrapper functions to simplify using gRPC for both production and learning purposes. Using the `ls` command, ensure the `ios-xr-grpc-python/` directory has files in it. This indicates a successful clone. More skilled developers may skip this step and write custom Python code using the `iosxr_grpc` library directly.

```
[root@devbox ec2-user]# git clone https://github.com/cisco-grpc-connection-libs/ios-xr-grpc-python.git
Cloning into 'ios-xr-grpc-python'...
remote: Counting objects: 419, done.
remote: Total 419 (delta 0), reused 0 (delta 0), pack-reused 419
Receiving objects: 100% (419/419), 99.68 KiB | 0 bytes/s, done.
Resolving deltas: 100% (219/219), done.
[root@devbox ec2-user]# ls ios-xr-grpc-python/
examples iosxr_grpc LICENSE README.md requirements.txt setup.py tests
```

Install the pyang tool, which is a Python utility for managing YANG models. To save download time and disk space, one could specify a more targeted clone. Use `ls` again to ensure the clone operation succeeded.

```
[root@devbox ec2-user]# git clone https://github.com/YangModels/yang.git
Cloning into 'yang'...
remote: Counting objects: 13479, done.
remote: Total 13479 (delta 0), reused 0 (delta 0), pack-reused 13478
Receiving objects: 100% (13479/13479), 22.93 MiB | 20.26 MiB/s, done.
Resolving deltas: 100% (9244/9244), done.
[root@devbox ec2-user]# ls yang/
experimental ieee802-dot1ab-lldp.yang README.md requirements.txt setup.py tests
```

To better understand how the data modeling works, clone the YANG models repository. To save download time and disk space, one could specify a more targeted clone. Use `ls` again to ensure the clone operation succeeded.

```
[root@devbox ec2-user]# git clone https://github.com/YangModels/yang.git
Cloning into 'yang'...
remote: Counting objects: 13479, done.
remote: Total 13479 (delta 0), reused 0 (delta 0), pack-reused 13478
Receiving objects: 100% (13479/13479), 22.93 MiB | 20.26 MiB/s, done.
Resolving deltas: 100% (9244/9244), done.
Checking out files: 100% (12393/12393), done.
```

Install the pyang tool, which is a Python utility for managing YANG models. This same tool is used to
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examine YANG models in conjunction with NETCONF on IOS-XE elsewhere in this book.

[root@devbox ec2-user]# pip install pyang
Collecting pyang
  Downloading
[snip]
[root@devbox ec2-user]# which pyang
/bin/pyang

Using pyang, examine the YANG model on IOS-XR for OSPFv3, which is the topic of this demonstration. This tree structure defines the JSON representation of the device configuration that gRPC requires. NETCONF uses XML encoding and gRPC uses JSON encoding, but both are the exact same representation of the data structure.

[root@devbox ec2-user]# cd yang/vendor/cisco/xr/631/
[root@devbox 631]# pyang -f tree Cisco-IOS-XR-ipv6-ospfv3-cfg.yang
module: Cisco-IOS-XR-ipv6-ospfv3-cfg
  +--rw ospfv3
    +--rw processes
      |   +--rw default-vrf
      |       |   +--rw ldp-sync? boolean
      |       |   +--rw prefix-suppression? boolean
      |   +--rw area-addresses
      |       |   +--rw area-address* [address]
      |       |       |   +--rw address inet:ipv4-address-no-zone
      |       |   +--rw authentication
      |       |       |   +--rw enable? boolean

Before continuing, ensure you have a functional IOS-XR platform running version 6.0 or later. Log into the IOS-XR platform via SSH and enable gRPC. It’s very simple and only requires identifying a TCP port on which to listen. Additionally, TLS-based security options are available but omitted for this demonstration. This IOS-XR platform is an XRv9000 running in AWS on version 6.3.1.

RP/O/RPO/CPU0:XRv_gRPC#show version
Cisco IOS XR Software, Version 6.3.1
Copyright (c) 2013-2017 by Cisco Systems, Inc.

Build Information:
  Built By : ahoang
  Built On : Wed Sep 13 18:30:01 PDT 2017
  Build Host : iox-ucs-028
  Workspace : /auto/srcarchive11/production/6.3.1/xrv9k/workspace
  Version : 6.3.1
  Location : /opt/cisco/XR/packages/

Cisco IOS-XRv 9000 () processor
System uptime is 21 minutes

RP/O/RPO/CPU0:XRv_gRPC#show running-config grpc
grpc
  port 10033
!

Once enabled, check the gRPC status and statistics, respectively, to ensure it is running. The TCP port is 10033 and TLS is disabled for this test. The statistics do not show any gRPC activity yet. This makes sense since no API calls have been executed.

RP/O/RPO/CPU0:XRv_gRPC#show grpc status
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***************show gRPC status***************

transport : grpc
access-family : tcp4
TLS : disabled
trustpoint : NotSet
listening-port : 10033
max-request-per-user : 10
max-request-total : 128
vrf-socket-ns-path : global-vrf

End of showing status***************

RP/0/RP0/CPU0:XRv_gRPC#show grpc statistics

***************show gRPC statistics***************

show-cmd-txt-request-recv : 0
show-cmd-txt-response-sent : 0
get-config-request-recv : 0
get-config-response-sent : 0
cli-config-request-recv : 0
cli-config-response-sent : 0
get-oper-request-recv : 0
get-oper-response-sent : 0
merge-config-request-recv : 0
merge-config-response-sent : 0
commit-replace-request-recv : 0
commit-replace-response-sent : 0
delete-config-request-recv : 0
delete-config-response-sent : 0
replace-config-request-recv : 0
replace-config-response-sent : 0
total-current-sessions : 0
commit-config-request-recv : 0
commit-config-response-sent : 0
action-json-request-recv : 0
action-json-response-sent : 0

End of showing statistics***************

Manually configure some OSPFv3 parameters via CLI to start. Below is a configuration snippet from the IOS-XRv platform running gRPC.

RP/0/RP0/CPU0:XRv_gRPC#show running-config router ospfv3
router ospfv3 42518
router-id 10.10.10.2
log adjacency changes detail
area 0
    interface Loopback0
        passive
!
    interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0
        cost 1000
        network point-to-point
        hello-interval 1
!
address-family ipv6 unicast

Navigate to the examples/ directory inside of the cloned IOS-XR gRPC client utility. The cli.py utility can
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be run directly from the shell with a handful of CLI arguments to specify the username/password, TCP port, and gRPC operation. Performing a get-config operation first will return the properly-structured JSON of the entire configuration. Because it is so long, the author redirects this into a file for further processing. The JSON shown below is also truncated for brevity.

[root@devbox ec2-user# cd ios-xr-grpc-python/examples/
[root@devbox examples# ./cli.py -i xrv_grpc -p 10033 -u root -pw grpctest \ 
> -r get-config | tee json/ospfv3.json

```
{
  "data": {
    "Cisco-IOS-XR-ip-static-cfg:router-static": {
      "default-vrf": {
        "address-family": {
          "vrfipv4": {
            "vrf-unicast": {
              "vrf-prefixes": {
                "vrf-prefix": [
                  ...
                ]
              }
            }
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Using the popular `jq` (JSON query) utility, one can pull out the OSPFv3 configuration from the file.

```
[root@devbox examples# jq '.data."Cisco-IOS-XR-ipv6-ospfv3-cfg:ospfv3"' json/ospfv3.json

```

```
{
  "processes": {
    "process": [
      {
        "process-name": 42518,
        "default-vrf": {
          "router-id": "10.10.10.2",
          "log-adjacency-changes": "detail",
          "area-addresses": {
            "area-area-id": [
              {
                "area-id": 0,
                "enable": [
                  null
                ],
                "interfaces": {
                  "interface": [
                    {
                      "interface-name": "Loopback0",
                      "enable": [
                        null
                      ],
                      "passive": true
                    },
                    {
                      "interface-name": "GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0",
                      "enable": [
                        null
                      ],
                      "cost": 1000,
                      "network": "point-to-point",
                      "hello-interval": 1
                    }
                  ]
                }
              }
            }
          }
        }
      }
    ]
  }
}
```
Run the `jq` command again except redirect the output to a new file. This new file represents the configuration updates to be pushed via gRPC.

```
[root@devbox examples]# jq '.data."Cisco-IOS-XR-ipv6-ospfv3-cfg:ospfv3"' \
> json/ospfv3.json >> json/merge.json
```

Using a text editor, manually update the `merge.json` file by adding the top-level key of “Cisco-IOS-XR-ipv6-ospfv3-cfg:ospfv3” and changing some minor parameters. In the example below, the author updates Gig0/0/0 cost, network type, and hello interval. Don’t forget the trailing `}` at the bottom of the file after adding the top-level key discussed above or else the JSON data will be syntactically incorrect.

```
[root@devbox examples]# cat json/merge.json
{
  "Cisco-IOS-XR-ipv6-ospfv3-cfg:ospfv3": {
    "processes": {
      "process": [
        {
          "process-name": 42518,
          "default-vrf": {
            "router-id": "10.10.10.2",
            "log-adjacency-changes": "detail",
            "area-addresses": {
              "area-area-id": [
                {
                  "area-id": 0,
                  "enable": [null]
                },
                {
                  "interface-name": "Loopback0",
                  "enable": [null],
                  "passive": true
                },
                {
                  "interface-name": "GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0",
                  "enable": [null],
                  "cost": 123,
                  "network": "broadcast",
                  "hello-interval": 17
                }
              ]
            }
          }
        }
      ]
    }
  }
}
```
Use the cli.py utility again except with the merge-config option. Specify the merge.json file as the configuration delta to merge with the existing configuration. This API call does not return any output, but checking the return code indicates it succeeded.

```
[root@devbox examples]# ./cli.py -i xrv_grpc -p 10033 -u root -pw grpctest \
> -r merge-config --file json/merge.json
```

```
[root@devbox examples]# echo $?
0
```

Log into the IOS-XR platform again and confirm via CLI that the configuration was updated.

```
RP/0/RP0/CPU0:XRv_gRPC# sh run router ospfv3
router ospfv3 42518
router-id 10.10.10.2
log adjacency changes detail
area 0
    interface Loopback0
        passive
        !
    interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0
        cost 123
        network broadcast
        hello-interval 17
        !
        !
address-family ipv6 unicast
```

The gRPC statistics are updated as well. The first get-config request came from the devbox and the response was sent from the router. The same transactional communication is true for merge-config.

```
RP/0/RP0/CPU0:XRv_gRPC# show grpc statistics
******************************************************************************
show grpc statistics
******************************************************************************
show-cmd-txt-request-received : 0
show-cmd-txt-response-sent : 0
get-config-request-received : 1
get-config-response-sent : 1
clicfg-config-request-received : 0
clicfg-config-response-sent : 0
get-oper-request-received : 0
```
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2.2.2 Python paramiko Library on IOS-XE

Many of the traditional scripts that network engineers have written to interact with devices have used Python's paramiko library. Before simplified wrapper tools like Ansible, networkers could interact with a network device shell by sending raw commands and receiving byte strings in return. The mechanics are generally simple but less elegant than modern tools. This brief demonstration uses paramiko to both collect information from, and push information to, a Cisco CSR1000v running in AWS. The relevant version and package information is listed below. You may need to use pip to install paramiko.

[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 --version
Python 3.6.5

[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 -m pip list | grep paramiko
paramiko (2.4.2)

Below is the code for the demonstration. The comments included in-line help explain what is happening at a basic level. The file is cisco_paramiko.py.

```python
import time
import paramiko

def send_cmd(conn, command):
    """
    Given an open connection and a command, issue the command and wait
    500 ms for the command to be processed.
    """
    conn.send(command + '\n')
    time.sleep(0.5)

def get_output(conn):
    """
    Given an open connection, read all the data from the buffer and
    decode the byte string as UTF-8.
    """
    return conn.recv(65535).decode('utf-8')

def main():
    """
    Execution starts here by creating an SSHClient object, assigning login
    parameters, and opening a new shell via SSH.
    """
    conn_params = paramiko.SSHClient()
conn_params.set_missing_host_key_policy(paramiko.AutoAddPolicy())
conn_params.connect(hostname='172.31.31.144', port=22,
    username='python', password='python',
    look_for_keys=False, allow_agent=False)

conn = conn_params.invoke_shell()
print(f'Logged into {get_output(conn).strip()} successfully')

# Run some exec commands and print the output, including
# prompt returns and newlines.
commands = ['terminal length 0', 'show version', 'show inventory']
for command in commands:
    send_cmd(conn, command)
    print(get_output(conn))

# Run some configuration commands after issuing "conf t" and
# discard the output. Issue "end" afterwards
services = ['service nagle', 'service sequence-numbers', 'service dhcp']
send_cmd(conn, 'configure terminal')
for service in services:
    send_cmd(conn, service)
send_cmd(conn, 'end')

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()

Before running this code, examine the configuration of the router's services. Notice that DHCP is explicitly
disabled while nagle and sequence-numbers are disabled by default.

CSR1000V#show running-config | include service
service timestamps debug datetime msec
service timestamps log datetime msec
no service dhcp

Run the script using the command below, which logs into the router, gathers some basic information, and
applies some configuration updates.

[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 cisco_paramiko.py
Logged into CSR1000V# successfully
terminal length 0
CSR1000V#
show version
Cisco IOS XE Software, Version 16.09.01
Cisco IOS Software [Fuji], Virtual XE Software (X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M),
    Version 16.9.1, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc2)
[version output truncated]
Configuration register is 0x2102

CSR1000V#
show inventory
NAME: "Chassis", DESCR: "Cisco CSR1000V Chassis"
PID: CSR1000V , VID: V00 , SN: 9CZ12002S1L

NAME: "module R0", DESCR: "Cisco CSR1000V Route Processor"
PID: CSR1000V , VID: V00 , SN: JAB1303001C

NAME: "module F0", DESCR: "Cisco CSR1000V Embedded Services Processor"
PID: CSR1000V , VID: , SN:

CSR1000V#
After running this code, all three specified services are enabled. DHCP does not show up because it is enabled by default, but no service dhcp is absent, implying service dhcp is enabled.

```
CSR1000V#show running-config | include service
service nagle
service timestamps debug datetime msec
service timestamps log datetime msec
service sequence-numbers
```

### 2.2.3 Python netmiko Library on IOS-XE

While paramiko is relatively easy to use, especially with simple wrapper functions for sending commands and reading output, it has some weaknesses. First, it is unlikely that network engineers care about seeing the exec shell prompt, the echoed command, and flurry of whitespace that accompanies much of the data written to the receive buffer. Additionally, specifying a buffer read size, measured in bytes, to pull data from the shell session is a low-level operation that could be abstracted. The netmiko library expands on the capabilities of paramiko specifically for network engineers. This library was created and is currently maintained by Kirk Byers. It serves as the base networking library for Network To Code (NTC) Ansible modules and is popular in the network automation community, even for traditional Python coders. The version and package information is below. The netmiko package can be installed using pip.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 --version
Python 3.6.5

[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 -m pip list | grep netmiko
netmiko (2.3.0)
```

Below is the code for the demonstration. Like the paramiko example, comments included in-line help explain the steps. Notice that there is significantly less code, and the code that does exist is relatively simple and abstract. The code accomplishes the same general tasks as the paramiko code. The file is `cisco_netmiko.py`.

```python
from netmiko import ConnectHandler
def main():
    
    Execution starts here by creating a new connection with several keyword arguments to log into the device.
    
    conn = ConnectHandler(device_type='cisco_ios', ip='172.31.31.144',
                           username='python', password='python')

    print(f'Logged into {conn.find_prompt()} successfully')

    # Run some exec commands and print the output, but don't need
    # to define a custom function to send commands cleanly
    commands = ['terminal length 0', 'show version', 'show inventory']
    for command in commands:
        print(conn.send_command(command))

    # Run some configuration commands, don't need "conf t" anymore
    # and don't need to build our own for loop
    services = ['service nagle', 'service sequence-numbers', 'service dhcp']
    conn.send_config_set(services)

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

For completeness, below is a snippet of the services currently enabled. Just like in the paramiko example, the three services we want to enable (DHCP, nagle, and sequence-numbers) are currently disabled.
Running the code, there is far less output since netmiko cleanly masks the shell prompt from being returned with each command output, instead only returning the relevant/useful data.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 cisco_netmiko.py
Logged into CSR1000V# successfully
```

Cisco IOS XE Software, Version 16.09.01
Cisco IOS Software [Fuji], Virtual XE Software (X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M),
   Version 16.9.1, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc2)
   [snip]

Configuration register is 0x2102

NAME: "Chassis", DESCR: "Cisco CSR1000V Chassis"
PID: CSR1000V , VID: V00 , SN: 9CZ12002S1L

NAME: "module R0", DESCR: "Cisco CSR1000V Route Processor"
PID: CSR1000V , VID: V00 , SN: JAB1303001C

NAME: "module F0", DESCR: "Cisco CSR1000V Embedded Services Processor"
PID: CSR1000V , VID: , SN:

After running this code, all three specified services in the services list are automatically configured with minimal effort. Recall that service dhcp is enabled by default.

```
CSR1000V#show running-config | include service
service nagle
service timestamps log datetime msec
service timestamps debug datetime msec
service sequence-numbers
```

### 2.2.4 NETCONF using netconf-console on IOS-XE

YANG as a modeling language was discussed earlier in this document. This was lacking context because YANG by itself provides little value. There needs to be some mechanism to transport the data that conforms to these machine-friendly models. One of those transport options is NETCONF.

This section explores a short NETCONF/YANG example using Cisco CSR1000v on modern Everest software. This router is running as an EC2 instance inside AWS. Using the EIGRP YANG model explored earlier in this document, this section demonstrates configuration updates relating to EIGRP.

The simplest way to enable NETCONF/YANG is with the `netconf-yang` global command with no additional arguments.

```
NETCONF_TEST#show running-config | include netconf
netconf-yang
```

RFC6242 describes NETCONF over SSH and TCP port 830 has been assigned for this service. A quick test of the ssh shell command on port 830 shows a successful connection with several lines of XML being returned. Without understanding what this data means, the names of several YANG modules are returned, including the EIGRP one of interest.

```
Nicholass-MBP:ssh nicholasrusso$ ssh -p 830 nctest@netconf.njrusmc.net
nctest@netconf.njrusmc.net's password:

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<hello xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
```
The netconf-console.py tool is a simple way to interface with network devices that use NETCONF. This is the same tool used in the Cisco blog post mentioned earlier. Rather than specify basic SSH login information as command line arguments, the author suggests editing these values in the Python code to avoid typos while testing. These options begin around line 540 of the netconf-console.py file.

```
parser.add_option("-u", "--user", dest="username", default="nctest",
help="username")
parser.add_option("-p", "--password", dest="password", default="nctest",
help="password")
parser.add_option("--host", dest="host", default="netconf.njrusmc.net",
help="NETCONF agent hostname")
parser.add_option("--port", dest="port", default=830, type="int",
help="NETCONF agent SSH port")
```

Run the playbook using Python 2 (not Python 3, as the code is not syntactically compatible) with the --hello option to collect the list of supported capabilities from the router. Verify that the EIGRP module is present. This output is similar to the native SSH shell test from above except it is handled through the netconf-console.py tool.

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholasrusso$ python netconf-console.py --hello

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<hello xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <capabilities>
    <capability>urn:ietf:params:netconf:base:1.0</capability>
    <capability>urn:ietf:params:netconf:base:1.1</capability>
    <capability>urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:writable-running:1.0</capability>
    <capability>urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:xpath:1.0</capability>
    <capability>urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:validate:1.0</capability>
    <capability>urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:rollback-on-error:1.0</capability>
    [snip, many capabilities here]
revision=2017-02-07</capability>
  </capabilities>
</hello>
```

This device claims to support EIGRP configuration via NETCONF as verified above. To simplify the initial configuration, an EIGRP snippet is provided below which adjusts the variables in scope for this test. These are CLI commands and are unrelated to NETCONF.

```
# Applied to NETCONF_TEST router
router eigrp NCTEST
  address-family ipv4 unicast autonomous-system 65001
    af-interface GigabitEthernet1
      bandwidth-percent 9
      hello-interval 7
      hold-time 8
```

By: Nicholas Russo http://njrusmc.net
When querying the router for this data, start at the topmost layer under the data field and drill down to the interesting facts. The text below shows the current router eigrp configuration on the device using the --get-config -x option set. Omitting any options and simply using --get-config will provide the entire configuration, which is useful for finding out what the structure of the different CLI stanzas are.

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholasrusso$ python netconf-console.py \
> --get-config -x "native/router/eigrp"

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<rpc-reply xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0" message-id="1">
  <data>
    <native xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-native">
      <router>
        <eigrp xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp">
          <id>NCTEST</id>
          <address-family>
            <type>ipv4</type>
            <af-ip-list>
              <unicast-multicast>unicast</unicast-multicast>
              <autonomous-system>65001</autonomous-system>
              <af-interface>
                <name>GigabitEthernet1</name>
                <bandwidth-percent>9</bandwidth-percent>
                <hello-interval>7</hello-interval>
                <hold-time>8</hold-time>
              </af-interface>
            </af-ip-list>
          </address-family>
        </eigrp>
      </router>
    </native>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

Next, a small change will be applied using NETCONF. Each of the three variables will be incremented by 10. Simply copy the eigrp data field from the remote procedure call (RPC) feedback, save it to a file (eigrp-updates.xml for example), and hand-modify the variable values. Correcting the indentation by removing leading whitespace is not strictly required but is recommended for readability. Below is an example of the configuration parameters that NETCONF can push to the device.

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholasrusso$ cat eigrp-updates.xml

```
<native xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-native">
  <router>
    <eigrp xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp">
      <id>NCTEST</id>
      <address-family>
        <type>ipv4</type>
        <af-ip-list>
          <unicast-multicast>unicast</unicast-multicast>
          <autonomous-system>65001</autonomous-system>
          <af-interface>
            <name>GigabitEthernet1</name>
            <bandwidth-percent>19</bandwidth-percent>
            <hello-interval>17</hello-interval>
            <hold-time>18</hold-time>
          </af-interface>
        </af-ip-list>
      </address-family>
    </eigrp>
  </router>
</native>
```
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Using the --edit-config option, write these changes to the device. NETCONF will return an ok message when complete.

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholasrusso$ python netconf-console.py \
> --edit-config=./eigrp-updates.xml

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<rpc-reply xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0" message-id="1">
    <ok/>
</rpc-reply>

Perform the get operation once more to ensure the value were updated correctly by NETCONF.

Nicholass-MBP:YANG nicholasrusso$ python netconf-console.py \
> --get-config -x "native/router/eigrp"

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<rpc-reply xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0" message-id="1">
    <data>
        <native xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-native">
            <router>
                <eigrp xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-eigrp">
                    <id>NCTEST</id>
                    <address-family>
                        <type>ipv4</type>
                        <af-ip-list>
                            <unicast-multicast>unicast</unicast-multicast>
                            <autonomous-system>65001</autonomous-system>
                            <af-interface>
                                <name>GigabitEthernet1</name>
                                <bandwidth-percent>19</bandwidth-percent>
                                <hello-interval>17</hello-interval>
                                <hold-time>18</hold-time>
                            </af-interface>
                        </af-ip-list>
                    </address-family>
                </eigrp>
            </router>
        </native>
    </data>
</rpc-reply>

Logging into the router's shell via SSH as a final check, the configuration changes made by NETCONF were retained. Additionally, a syslog message suggests that the configuration was updated by NETCONF, which helps differentiate it from regular CLI changes.

%DMI-5-CONFIG_I: F0: nesd: Configured from NETCONF/RESTCONF by nctest, transaction-id 81647

NETCONF_TEST#show running-config | section eigrp
router eigrp NCTEST
  !
  address-family ipv4 unicast autonomous-system 65001
  !
  af-interface GigabitEthernet1
      bandwidth-percent 19
      hello-interval 17
      hold-time 18
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2.2.5 NETCONF using Python and jinja2 on IOS-XE

While the netconf-console.py utility is an easy way to explore using NETCONF, a more realistic application of the technology includes custom programming. The Python library ncclient, or NETCONF client for short, provides an easily-consumable NETCONF API for Python programmers. The following program was written by Dmitry Figol and was slightly modified by the author to fit this book's format and style. Comments are included throughout the code to provide high-level explanations of the process. In a sentence, the code collects the running configuration and prints some basic system data, then adds some new loopbacks to the router. The file is called pynetconf.py.

```python
#!/usr/bin/python3
import jinja2
import xmltodict
from ncclient import manager

def get_config(connection_params):
    # Open connection using the parameter dictionary
    with manager.connect(**connection_params) as connection:
        config_xml = connection.get_config(source='running').data_xml
        config = xmltodict.parse(config_xml)['data']
    return config

def configure_device(connection_params, config_data, template_name):
    # Load the jinja2 templates and process the template to build XML config
    j2_tmp = jinja2.Environment(
        loader=jinja2.FileSystemLoader(searchpath='./'))
    template = j2_tmp.get_template(template_name)
    config = template.render(config_data)

    # Push XML configuration to network device
    with manager.connect(**connection_params) as connection:
        response = connection.edit_config(target='running', config=config)

def main():
    # Login information for the router
    connection_params = {
        'host': '172.31.55.203',
        'username': 'cisco',
        'password': 'cisco',
        'hostkey_verify': False,
    }

    # The data we want to push. We can define this structure
    # however it makes sense for our environment.
    config_data = {
        'loopbacks': [
            {
                'number': '42518',
                'description': 'No IP on this one yet!
            },
            {
                'number': '53592',
                'ipv4_address': '192.0.2.1',
                'ipv4_mask': '255.255.255.0'
            }
        ]
    }

    # Get the configuration before making changes
```
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config = get_config(connection_params)

# Print a subset of available configuration information
sw_version = config['native']['version']
hostname = config['native']['hostname']
top_keys = list(config['native'].keys())
print(f'SW version: {sw_version}')
print(f'Hostname: {hostname}')
print(f'top level keys: {top_keys}')

# Configure the device using parameters defined above
configure_device(connection_params=connection_params,
config_data=config_data, template_name='loopbacks.j2')

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()

The file below is a jinja2 template file. Jinja2 is a text templating language commonly used with Python applications and their derivative products, such as Ansible. It contains basic programming logic such as conditionals, iteration, and variable substitution. By substituting variables into an XML template, the output is a data structure that NETCONF can push to the devices. The variable fields have been highlighted to show the relevant logic.

```xml
<config>
  <native xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-native">
    <interface>
      {% for loopback in loopbacks %}
        <Loopback>
          <name>{{ loopback.number }}</name>
          {% if loopback.description is defined %}
            <description>{{ loopback.description }}</description>
          {% endif %}
          {% if loopback.ipv4_address is defined %}
            <ip>
              <address>
                <primary>
                  <address>{{ loopback.ipv4_address }}</address>
                  <mask>{{ loopback.ipv4_mask }}</mask>
                </primary>
              </address>
            </ip>
          {% endif %}
        </Loopback>
      {% endfor %}
    </interface>
  </native>
</config>
```

Before running the code, verify that netconf-yang is configured as explained during the NETCONF console demonstration, along with a privilege 15 user. The code above reveals that the demo username/password is cisco/cisco. After running the code, the output below is printed to standard output. The author has included the "top level keys" just to show a few other high level options available. Collecting information via NETCONF is far superior to CLI-based screen scraping via regular expressions for text parsing.

```
[ec2-user@devbox]$ python3 pynetconf.py
SW version: 16.9
Hostname: CSR1000v
top level keys: ['@xmlns', 'version', 'boot-start-marker', 'boot-end-marker', 'service', 'platform', 'hostname', 'username', 'vrf', 'ip', 'interface', 'control-plane', 'logging', 'multilink', 'redundancy', 'spanning-tree',
```
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For those who are also logged into the router via SSH, the log message below will be generated when the NETCONF client accesses the device. This can be useful for troubleshooting unexpected changes or rogue NETCONF logins.

%DMI-5-AUTH_PASSED: R0/0: dmiauthd: User 'cisco' authenticated successfully from 172.31.61.35:47284 and was authorized for netconf over ssh. External groups: PRIV15

Using basic show commands, verify that the two loopbacks were added successfully. The nested dictionary above indicates that Loopback 42518 has a description defined by no IP addresses. Likewise, Loopback 53592 has an IPv4 address and subnet mask defined, but no description. The Jinja2 template supplied, which generates the XML configuration to be pushed to the router, makes both of these parameters optional.

CSR1000v#show running-config interface Loopback42518
interface Loopback42518
  description No IP on this one yet!
  no ip address

CSR1000v#show running-config interface Loopback53592
interface Loopback53592
  ip address 192.0.2.1 255.255.255.0

Last, check the statistics to see the incoming NETCONF sessions and corresponding incoming remote procedure calls (RPCs). This indicates that everything is working correctly.

CSR1000v#show netconf-yang statistics
netconf-start-time : 2018-12-09T01:04:44+00:00
in-rpcs : 8
in-bad-rpcs : 0
out-rpc-errors : 0
out-notifications : 0
in-sessions : 4
dropped-sessions : 0
in-bad-hellos : 0

2.2.6 REST API on IOS-XE

This section will detail a basic IOS XE REST API call to a Cisco router. While there are more powerful GUIs to interact with the REST API on IOS XE devices, this demonstration will use the curl CLI utility, which is supported on Linux, Mac, and Windows operating systems. These tests were conducted on a Linux machine in Amazon Web Services (AWS) which was targeting a Cisco CSR1000v. Before beginning, all of the relevant version information is shown on the follow page for reference.

RTR_CSR1#show version | include RELEASE
Cisco IOS Software, CSR1000V Software (X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M),
  Version 15.5(3)S4a, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc1)

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi]# uname -a
Linux ip-10-125-0-100.ec2.internal 3.10.0-514.16.1.el7.x86_64 #1 SMP
Fri Mar 10 13:12:32 EST 2017 x86_64 x86_64 x86_64 GNU/Linux

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi]# curl -V
curl 7.29.0 (x86_64-redhat-linux-gnu) libcurl/7.29.0 NSS/3.21 [snip]
Protocols: dict file ftp ftps gopher http https [snip]
Features: AsynchDNS GSS-Negotiate IDN NTLM NTLM_WB SSL libz unix-sockets

First, the basic configuration to enable the REST API feature on IOS XE devices is shown below. A brief verification shows that the feature is enabled and uses TCP port 55443 by default. This port number is important later as the curl command will need to know it.
interface GigabitEthernet1
  description MGMT INTERFACE
  ip address dhcp
  ! or a static IP address

virtual-service csr_mgmt
  ip shared host-interface GigabitEthernet1
  activate

ip http secure-server
  transport-map type persistent webui HTTPS_WEBUI
  secure-server
  transport type persistent webui input HTTPS_WEBUI

remote-management
  restful-api

RTR_CSR1#show virtual-service detail | section ^Proc|^Feat|estful

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Uptime</th>
<th># of restarts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>restful_api</td>
<td>UP</td>
<td>0Y 0W 0D 0:49: 7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restful API</td>
<td>Enabled, UP</td>
<td>port: 55443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>auto-save-timer: 30 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>socket: unix:/usr/local/nginx/[snip]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>single-session: Disabled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using curl for IOS XE REST API invocations requires a number of options. Those options are summarized next. They are also described in the manual pages for curl (use the man curl shell command). This specific demonstration will be limited to obtaining an authentication token, posting a QoS class-map configuration, and verifying that it was written.

Main argument: /api/v1/qos/class-map

X: custom request is forthcoming

v: verbose. Prints all debugging output which is useful for troubleshooting and learning.

u: username:password for device login

H: Extra header needed to specify that JSON is being used. Every new POST request must contain JSON in the body of the request. It is also used with GET, POST, PUT, and DELETE requests after an authentication token has been obtained.

d: sends the specified data in an HTTP POST request

k: insecure. This allows curl to accept certificates not signed by a trusted CA. For testing purposes, this is required to accept the router's self-signed certificate. It is not a good idea to use it in production networks.

3: force curl to use SSLv3 for the transport to the managed device. This can be detrimental and should be used cautiously (discussed later).

The first step is obtaining an authentication token. This allows the HTTPS client to supply authentication credentials once, such as username/password, and then can use the token for authentication for all future API calls. The initial attempt at obtaining this token fails. This is a common error so the troubleshooting to resolve this issue is described in this document. The two HTTPS endpoints cannot communicate due to not supporting the same cipher suites. Note that it is critical to specify the REST API port number (55443) in the URL, otherwise the standard HTTPS server will respond on port 443 and the request will fail.

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi]# curl -v \
> -X POST https://csr1:55443/api/v1/auth/token-services \
> -H "Accept:application/json" -u "ansible:ansible" -d "" -k -3

* About to connect() to csr1 port 55443 (#0)
* Trying 10.125.1.11...
* Connected to csr1 (10.125.1.11) port 55443 (#0)
* Initializing NSS with certpath: sql:/etc/pki/nssdb
* NSS error -12286 (SSL_ERROR_NO_CIPHER_OVERLAP)
* Cannot communicate securely with peer: no common encryption algorithm(s).
* Closing connection 0

curl: (35) Cannot communicate securely with peer: no common encryption algorithm(s).

Sometimes installing/update the following packages can solve the issue. In this case, these updates did not help.

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi# yum install -y nss nss-utility nss-sysinit nss-tools
Loaded plugins:amazon-id,rhui-lb,search-disabled-repos
Package nss-3.28.4-1.0.el7_3.x86_64 already installed and latest version
Package nss-utility-3.28.4-1.0.el7_3.x86_64 already installed and latest version
Package nss-sysinit-3.28.4-1.0.el7_3.x86_64 already installed and latest version
Package nss-tools-3.28.4-1.0.el7_3.x86_64 already installed and latest version
Nothing to do

If that fails, curl the following website. It will return a JSON listing of all ciphers supported by your current HTTPS client. Piping the output into jq, a popular utility for querying JSON structures, pretty-prints the JSON output for human readability.

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi# curl https://www.howsmyssl.com/a/check | jq

```
% Total    % Received % Xferd Average Speed Time Time Time Current
         --:--:-- --:--:-- --:--:-- --:--:--
100 1417 100 1417 0 0 9572 0 --:--:-- --:--:-- --:--:-- 9639

"given_cipher_suites": [
  "TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384",
  "TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256",
  "TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384",
  "TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256",
  "TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384",
  "TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256",
  "TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_3DES_EDE_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA",
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA"
]
```
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"ephemeral_keys_supported": true,
"session_ticket_supported": false,
"tls_compression_supported": false,
"unknown_cipher_suite_supported": false,
"beast_vuln": false,
"able_to_detect_n_minus_one_splitting": false,
"insecure_cipher_suites": {
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_MD5": [
    "uses RC4 which has insecure biases in its output"
  ],
  "TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA": [
    "uses RC4 which has insecure biases in its output"
  ]
},
"tls_version": "TLS 1.2",
"rating": "Bad"
}

The utility sslscan can help find the problem. The issue is that the CSR1000v only supports the TLSv1 versions of the ciphers, not the SSLv3 version. The curl command issued above forced curl to use SSLv3 with the -3 option as prescribed by the documentation. This is a minor error in the documentation which has been reported and may be fixed at the time of your reading. This troubleshooting excursion is likely to have value for those learning about REST APIs on IOS XE devices in a general sense, since establishing HTTPS transport is a prerequisite.

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 ansible]
# sslscan --version
sslscan version 1.10.2
OpenSSL 1.0.1e-fips 11 Feb 2013

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi]
# sslscan csr1 | grep " RC4-SHA"
RC4-SHA
RC4-SHA
RC4-SHA
RC4-SHA
Rejected SSLv3 112 bits RC4-SHA
Accepted TLSv1 112 bits RC4-SHA
Failed TLS11 112 bits RC4-SHA
Failed TLS12 112 bits RC4-SHA

Removing the -3 option will fix the issue. Using sslscan was still useful because, ignoring the RC4 cipher itself used with grep, one can note that the TLSv1 variant was accepted while the SSLv3 variant was rejected, which would suggest a lack of support for SSLv3 ciphers. It appears that the TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA cipher was chosen for the connection when the curl command is issued again. Below is the correct output from a successful curl.

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi]
* About to connect() to csr1 port 55443 (#0)
* Trying 10.125.1.11...
* Connected to csr1 (10.125.1.11) port 55443 (#0)
* Initializing NSS with certpath: sql:/etc/pki/nssdb
* skipping SSL peer certificate verification
* SSL connection using TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA
* Server certificate:  
  subject: CN=restful_api,ST=California,O=Cisco,C=US 
  start date: May 26 05:32:46 2013 GMT 
  expire date: May 24 05:32:46 2023 GMT
The final step is using an HTTPS POST request to write new data to the router. One can embed the JSON text as a single line into the curl command using the -d option. The command appears intimidating at a glance. Note the single quotes (') surrounding the JSON data with the -d option; these are required since the keys and values inside the JSON structure have "double quotes". Additionally, the username/password is omitted from the request, and additional headers (-H) are applied to include the authentication token string and the JSON content type.

```
[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi]# curl -v -H "Accept:application/json" \
   -H "X-Auth-Token: YGSBUtzTpfK2qumIEk8dt9rXhHjZfAJSZXYXg161Ag=" \
   -H "content-type: application/json" -X POST https://csr1:55443/api/v1/qos/class-map \
   -d '{"cmap-name": "CMAP_AF11","description": "QOS CLASS MAP FROM REST API CALL", \
   "match-criteria": {"dscp": [{"value": "af11","ip": false}]}}' -k
```

This newly-configured class-map can be verified using an HTTPS GET request. The data field is stripped to the empty string, POST is changed to GET, and the class-map name is appended to the URL. The verbose option (-v) is omitted for brevity. Writing this output to a file and using the jq utility can be a good way to...
query for specific fields. Piping the output to `tee` allows it to be written to the screen and redirected to a file.

```
[root@ip-10-125-0-100 restapi]# curl -H "Accept:application/json" \
>  -H "X-Auth-Token: YGSBUTzTpfK2QumIEk8dryHJZfAJSZXXDxg162Q=" \
>  -H "content-type: application/json" \
>  -X GET https://csr1:55443/api/v1/qos/class-map/CMAP_AF11 \
>  -d "" -k | tee cmap_af11.json
```

Logging into the router to verify the request via CLI is a good idea while learning, although using HTTPS GET verified the same thing.

```
RTR_CSR1#show running-config class-map
[snip]
class-map match-all CMAP_AF11
    description QOS CLASS MAP FROM REST API CALL
    match dscp af11
end
```

### 2.2.7 RESTCONF on IOS-XE

RESTCONF is a relatively new API offered by Cisco IOS XE. RESTCONF is a new API introduced into Cisco IOS XE 16.3.1 which has some characteristics of NETCONF and the classic REST API. It uses HTTP/HTTPS for transport much like the REST API, but appears to be simpler. It is like NETCONF in terms of its usefulness for configuring devices using data modeled in YANG; it supports JSON and XML formats for retrieved data. The version of the router is shown below as it differs from the router used in other tests.

```
DENALI#show version | include RELEASE
Cisco IOS Software [Denali], CSR1000V Software (X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M), Version 16.3.1a, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc4)
```

Enabling RESTCONF requires a single hidden command in global configuration, shown below as simply `restconf`. This feature is not TAC supported at the time of this writing and should be used for experimentation only. Additionally, a loopback interface with an IP address and description is configured. For simplicity, RESTCONF testing will be limited to insecure HTTP to demonstrate the capability without dealing with SSL/TLS ciphers.

```
DENALI#show running-config | include restconf
restconf
DENALI#show running-config interface loopback 42518
interface Loopback42518
    description COOL INTERFACE
    ip address 172.16.192.168 255.255.255.255
```

The `curl` utility is useful with RESTCONF as it was with the class REST API. The difference is that the data retrieval process is more intuitive. First, we query the interface IP address, then the description. Both of the URLs are simple and the overall `curl` command syntax is easy to understand. The output comes back in easy-to-read XML which is convenient for machines that will use this information. Some data is nested, like the IP address, as there could be multiple IP addresses. Other data, like the description, need not be nested as there is only ever one description per interface.
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[root@ip-10-125-0-100 ~]# curl \
> http://denali/restconf/api/config/native/interface/Loopback/42518/ip/address \
> -u "username:password"

<address xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/ned/ios"
xmlns:y="http://tail-f.com/ns/rest"
xmlns:ios="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/ned/ios">
  <primary>
    <address>172.16.192.168</address>
    <mask>255.255.255.255</mask>
  </primary>
</address>

[root@ip-10-125-0-100 ~]# curl \
> http://denali/restconf/api/config/native/interface/Loopback/42518/description \
> -u "username:password"

<description xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/ned/ios"
xmlns:y="http://tail-f.com/ns/rest"
xmlns:ios="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/ned/ios">COOL INTERFACE</description>

This section does not detail other HTTP operations such as POST, PUT, and DELETE using RESTCONF. The feature is still very new and is tightly integrated with Postman, a tool that generates HTTP requests automatically.

2.3 Controller based network design

Software-Defined Networking (SDN) is a concept that networks can be both programmable and disaggregated concurrently, ultimately providing additional flexibility, intelligence, and customization for the network administrators. Because the definition of SDN varies so widely within the network community, it should be thought of as a continuum of different models rather than a single, prescriptive solution.

There are four main SDN models as defined in The Art of Network Architecture: Business-Driven Design by Russ White and Denise Donohue (Cisco Press 2014). The models are discussed briefly below.

1. Distributed: Although not really an “SDN” model at all, it is important to understand the status quo. Network devices today each have their own control-plane components which rely on distributed routing protocols (such as OSPF, BGP, etc). These protocols form paths in the network between all relevant endpoints (IP prefixes, etc). Devices typically do not influence one another’s routing decisions individually as traffic is routed hop-by-hop through the network without centralized oversight. This model totally distributes the control-plane across all devices. Such control-planes are also autonomous; with minimal administrative effort, they often form neighborhoods and advertise topology and/or reachability information. Some of the drawbacks include potential routing loops (typically transient ones during periods of convergence) and complex routing schemes in poorly designed/implemented networks. The diagram that follows depicts several routers each with their own control-plane and no centralization.
2. **Augmented**: This model relies on a fully distributed control-plane by adding a centralized controller that can apply policy to parts of the network at will. Such a controller could inject shorter-match IP prefixes, policy-based routing (PBR), security features (ACL), or other policy objects. This model is a good compromise between distributing intelligence between nodes to prevent single points of failure (which a controller introduces) by using a known-good distributed control-plane underneath. The policy injection only happens when it “needs to”, such as offloading traffic from an overloaded link in a DC fabric or traffic from a long-haul fiber link between two points of presence (POPs) in an SP core. Cisco’s Performance Routing (PfR) is an example of the augmented model which uses the Master Controller (MC) to push policy onto remote forwarding nodes. Another example includes offline path computation element (PCE) servers for automated MPLS TE tunnel creation. In both cases, a small set of routers (PfR border routers or TE tunnel head-ends) are modified, yet the remaining routers are untouched. This model has a lower impact on the existing network because the wholesale failure of the controller simply returns the network to the distributed model, which is a viable solution for many business cases. The diagram that follows depicts the augmented SDN model.
3. **Hybrid**: This model is very similar to the augmented model except that controller-originated policy can be imposed anywhere in the network. This gives additional granularity to network administrators; the main benefit over the augmented model is that the hybrid model is always topology-independent. The topological restrictions of which nodes the controller can program/affect are not present in this model. Cisco’s Application Centric Infrastructure (ACI) is a good example of this model. ACI separates reachability from policy, which is critical from both survivability and scalability perspectives. This solution uses the Application Policy Infrastructure Controller (API) as the policy application tool. The failure of the centralized controller in these models has an identical effect to that of a controller in the augmented model; the network falls back to a distributed model. The impact of a failed controller is a more significant since more devices are affected by the controller’s policy when compared to the augmented model. The diagram that follows depicts the augmented SDN model.

 ![Figure 40: SDN Model — Hybrid](attachment:figure40.png)

4. **Centralized**: This is the model most commonly referenced when the phrase “SDN” is used. It relies on a single controller, which hosts the entire control-plane. Ultimately, this device commands all of the devices in the forwarding-plane. These controllers push their forwarding tables with the proper information (which doesn’t necessarily have to be an IP-based table, it could be anything) to the forwarding hardware as specified by the administrators. This offers very granular control, in many cases, of individual flows in the network. The hardware forwarders can be commoditized into white boxes (or branded white boxes, sometimes called brite boxes) which are often inexpensive. Another value proposition of centralizing the control-plane is that a “device” can be almost anything: router, switch, firewall, load-balancer, etc. Emulating software functions on generic hardware platforms can add flexibility to the business.

 The most significant drawback is the newly-introduced single point of failure and the inability to create failure domains as a result. Some SDN scaling architectures suggest simply adding additional controllers for fault tolerance or to create a hierarchy of controllers for larger networks. While this is a valid technique, it somewhat invalidates the “centralized” model because with multiple controllers, the distributed control-plane is reborn. The controllers still must synchronize their routing information using some network-based protocol and the possibility of inconsistencies between the controllers is real. When using this multi-controller architecture, the network designer must understand that there is, in fact, a distributed control-plane in the network; it has just been moved around. The failure of all controllers means the entire failure domain supported by those controllers will be inoperable. The failure of the communication paths between controllers could likewise cause inconsistent/intermittent problems with forwarding, just like a fully distributed control-plane. OpenFlow is a good example of a fully-centralized model. Nodes colored gray in the diagram that follows have no standalone control.
plane of their own, relying entirely on the controller.

These SDN designs warrant additional discussion, specifically around the communications channels that allow them to function. An SDN controller sits “in the middle” of the SDN notional architecture. It uses **northbound** and **southbound** communication paths to operate with other components of the architecture.

The **northbound** interfaces are considered APIs which are interfaces to existing business applications. This is generally used so that applications can make requests of the network, which could include specific performance requirements (bandwidth, latency, etc). Because the controller “knows” this information by communicating with the infrastructure devices via management agents, it can determine the best paths through the network to satisfy these constraints. This is loosely analogous to the original intent of the Integrated Services QoS model using Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP) where applications would reserve bandwidth on a per-flow basis. It is also similar to MPLS TE constrained SPF (CSPF) where a single device can source-route traffic through the network given a set of requirements. The logic is being extended to applications with a controller “shim” in between, ultimately providing a full network view for optimal routing. A REST API is an example of a northbound interface.

The **southbound** interfaces include the control-plane protocol between the centralized controller and the network forwarding hardware. These are the less intelligent network devices used for forwarding only (assuming a centralized model). A common control-plane used for this purpose would be OpenFlow; the controller determines the forwarding tables per flow per network device, programs this information, and then the devices obey it. Note that OpenFlow is not synonymous with SDN; it is just an example of one southbound control-plane protocol. Because the SDN controller is sandwiched between the northbound and southbound interfaces, it can be considered “middleware” in a sense. The controller is effectively able to evaluate application constraints and produce forwarding-table outputs.

The image that follows depicts a very high-level diagram of the SDN layers as it relates to interaction between components.
There are many trade-offs between the different SDN models. The table that follows attempts to capture the most important ones. Looking at the SDN market at the time of this writing, many solutions seem to be either hybrid or augmented models. SD-WAN solutions, such as Cisco Viptela, only make changes at the edge of the network and use overlays/tunnels as the primary mechanism to implement policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Distributed</th>
<th>Augmented</th>
<th>Hybrid</th>
<th>Centralized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Availability</strong></td>
<td>Dependent on the protocol convergence times and redundancy in the network. Highly autonomous and heals itself without a central brain</td>
<td>Dependent on the protocol convergence times and redundancy in the network. Doesn't matter how bad the SDN controller is its failure is tolerable</td>
<td>Dependent on the protocol convergence times and redundancy in the network. Doesn't matter how bad the SDN controller is its failure is tolerable</td>
<td>Heavily reliant on a single SDN controller, unless one adds controllers to split failure domains or to make one failure domain resilient (both introduce a distributed control-plane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Granularity / control</strong></td>
<td>Generally low for IGP but better for BGP. All devices generally need a common view of the network to prevent loops independently. MPLS TE helps somewhat.</td>
<td>Better than distributed since policy injection can happen at the network edge, or a small set of nodes. Can be combined with MPLS TE for more granular selection.</td>
<td>Moderately granular since SDN policy decisions are extended to all nodes. Can influence decisions based on any arbitrary information within a datagram</td>
<td>Very highly granular; complete control over all routing decisions based on any arbitrary information within a datagram</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scalability

Very high in a properly designed network (failure domain isolation, topology summarization, reachability aggregation, etc)

High, but gets worse with more policy injection. Policies are generally limited to key nodes (such as border routers)

Moderate, but gets worse with more policy injection. Policy is proliferated across the network to all nodes (exact quantity may vary per node)

Depends; all devices retain state for all transiting flows. Hardware-dependent on TCAM and whether it can use other tables such as L4 ports or IPv6 flow labels

2.4 Configuration management tools and version control systems

This section discusses a variety of configuration management tools, typically ones that enable "infrastructure as code". It also contains specific version control systems with a high level comparison to help coders decide which is best for them.

2.4.1 Agent-based Summary

Management agents are typically on-box, add-on software components that allow an automation, orchestration, or monitoring tool to communicate with the managed device. The agent exposes an API that would have otherwise not been available. On the topic of monitoring, the agents allow the device to report traffic conditions back to the controller (telemetry). Given this information, the controller can sense (or, with analytics, predict) congestion, route around failures, and perform all manner of fancy traffic-engineering as required by the business applications. Many of these agents perform the same general function as SNMP yet offer increased flexibility and granularity as they are programmable.

Agents could also be used for non-management purposes. Interface to the Routing System (I2RS) is an SDN technique where a specific control-plane agent is required on every data-plane forwarder. This agent is effectively the control-plane client that communicates northbound towards the controller. This is the channel by which the controller consults its RIB and populates the FIB of the forwarding devices. The same is true for OpenFlow (OF) which is a fully centralized SDN model. The agent can be considered an interface to a data-plane forwarder for a control-plane SDN controller.

A simple categorization method is to quantify management strategies as “agent based” or “agent-less based”. Agent is pull-based, which means the agent connects with master. Changes made on master are pulled down when agent is “ready”. This can be significant since if a network device is currently experiencing a microburst, the management agent can wait until the contention abates before passing telemetry data to the master. Agent-less is push-based like SNMP traps, where the triggering of an event on a network device creates a message for the controller in unsolicited fashion. The other direction also holds true; a master can use SSH to access a device for programming whenever the master is “ready”.

Although not specific to “agents”, there are several common applications/frameworks that are used for automation. Some of them rely on agents while others do not. Three of them are discussed briefly below as these are found in Cisco’s NX-OS DevNet Network Automation Guide. Note that subsets of the exact definitions are added here. Since these are third-party products, the author does not want to misrepresent the facts or capabilities as understood by Cisco.

1. **Puppet (by Puppet Labs):** The Puppet software package is an open source automation toolset for managing servers and other resources by enforcing device states, such as configuration settings. Puppet components include a puppet agent which runs on the managed device (client) and a puppet master (server) that typically runs on a separate dedicated server and serves multiple devices. The Puppet master compiles and sends a configuration manifest to the agent. The agent reconciles this
manifest with the current state of the node and updates state based on differences. A puppet manifest is a collection of property definitions for setting the state on the device. Manifests are commonly used for defining configuration settings, but they can also be used to install software packages, copy files, and start services.

In summary, Puppet is agent-based (requiring software installed on the client) and pushes complex data structures to managed nodes from the master server. Puppet manifests are used as data structures to track node state and display this state to the network operators. Puppet is not commonly used for managing Cisco devices as most Cisco products, at the time of this writing, do not support the Puppet agent. The follow products support Puppet today:

(a) Cisco Nexus 7000 and 7700 switches running NX-OS 7.3(0)D1(1) or later
(b) Cisco Nexus 9300, 9500, 3100, and 300 switches running NX-OS 7.3(0)I2(1) or later
(c) Cisco Network Convergence System (NCS) 5500 running IOS-XR 6.0 or later
(d) Cisco ASR9000 routers running IOS-XR 6.0 or later

2. Chef (by Chef Software): Chef is a systems and cloud infrastructure automation framework that deploys servers and applications to any physical, virtual, or cloud location, no matter the size of the infrastructure. Each organization is comprised of one or more workstations, a single server, and every node that will be configured and maintained by the chef-client. A cookbook defines a scenario and contains everything that is required to support that scenario, including libraries, recipes, files, and more. A Chef recipe is a collection of property definitions for setting state on the device. While recipes are commonly used for defining configuration settings, they can also be used to install software packages, copy files, start services, and more.

In summary, Chef is very similar to Puppet in that it requires agents and manages devices using complex data structures. The concepts of cookbooks and recipes are specific to Chef (hence the name) which contribute to a hierarchical data structure management system. A Chef cookbook is loosely equivalent to a Puppet manifest. Like Puppet, Chef is not commonly used to manage Cisco devices due to requiring the installation of an agent. Below is a list of supported platforms that support being managed by Chef:

(a) Cisco Nexus 7000 and 7700 switches running NX-OS 7.3(0)D1(1) or later
(b) Cisco Nexus 9300, 9500, 3100, and 300 switches running NX-OS 7.3(0)I2(1) or later
(c) Cisco Network Convergence System (NCS) 5500 running IOS-XR 6.0 or later
(d) Cisco ASR9000 routers running IOS-XR 6.0 or later

2.4.2 Agent-less Summary

The concept of agent-less software was briefly discussed in the previous section. Simply put, no special client-side software is needed on the managed entity. This typically makes agent-less solutions faster to deploy and easier to learn. The main drawback is their limited power and often lack of visibility, but since many network devices deployed in production today do not support modern APIs (especially in small/medium businesses), agent-less solutions can be quite popular. This section focuses on Ansible, a common task execution engine for network devices.

1. Ansible (by Red Hat): Ansible is an open source IT configuration management and automation tool. Unlike Puppet and Chef, Ansible is agent-less, and does not require a software agent to be installed on the target node (server or switch) in order to automate the device. By default, Ansible requires SSH and Python support on the target node, but Ansible can also be easily extended to use any API. Ansible operators write most of their code in YAML, a format discussed earlier in the book.

2. Nornir (community product): Nornir has quite a lot in common with Ansible at a conceptual level. It's open source and agent-less, based on Python, and doesn't usually require special software on
its managed targets. Nornir runbooks are written in Python. Unlike many other CM tools, Nornir was written primarily for network automation.

In summary, agent-less tools tend to be lighter-weight than their agent-based counterparts. No custom software needs to be installed on any device provided that it supports SSH. This can be a drawback since individual device CLIs must be exposed to network operators (or, at best, the agent-less automation engine) instead of using a more abstract API design. Ansible is very commonly used to manage Cisco network devices as it requires no agent installation on the managed devices. Nornir is rapidly gaining popularity, too. Any Cisco device that can be accessed using SSH can be managed by these agent-less tools. This includes Cisco ASA firewalls, older Cisco ISRs, and older Cisco Catalyst switches.

2.4.3 Agent-less Demonstration with Ansible (SSH/CLI)

The author has deployed Ansible in production and is most familiar with Ansible when compared against Puppet or Chef. This section will illustrate the value of automation using a simple but powerful playbook. These tests were conducted on a Linux machine in Amazon Web Services (AWS) which was targeting a Cisco CSR1000v. Before beginning, all of the relevant version information is shown below for reference.

```
RTR_CSR1#show version | include RELEASE
Cisco IOS Software, CSR1000V Software (X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M),
    Version 15.5(3)S4a, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc1)
```

```
[ec2-user@devbox ansible]# uname -a
Linux ip-10-125-0-100.ec2.internal 3.10.0-514.16.1.el7.x86_64 #1 SMP
    Fri Mar 10 13:12:32 EST 2017 x86_64 x86_64 x86_64 GNU/Linux
```

```
[ec2-user@devbox ansible]# ansible-playbook --version
ansible-playbook 2.3.0.0
    config file = /etc/ansible/ansible.cfg
    configured module search path = Default w/o overrides
    python version = 2.7.5 (default, Aug 2 2016, 04:20:16) [GCC 4.8.5 20150623 (Red Hat 4.8.5-4)]
```

Ansible playbooks are collections of plays. Each play targets a specific set of hosts and contains a list of tasks. In YAML, arrays/lists are denoted with a hyphen (-) character. The first play in the playbook begins with a hyphen since it’s the first element in the array of plays. The play has a name, target hosts, and some other minor options. Gathering facts can provide basic information like time and date, which are used in this script. When connection: local is used, the python commands used with Ansible are executed on the control machine (Linux) and not on the target. This is required for many Cisco devices being managed by the CLI.

The first task defines a credentials dictionary. This contains transport information like SSH port (default is 22), target host, username, and password. The `ios_config` and `ios_command` modules, for example, require this to log into the device. The second task uses the `ios_config` module to issue specific commands. The commands will specify the SNMPv3 user/group and update the auth/priv passwords for that user. For accountability reasons, a timestamp is written to the configuration as well using the “facts” gathered earlier in the play. Minor options to the `ios_config` module, such as `save_when: always` and `match: none` are optional. The first option saves the configuration after the commands are issued while the second does not care about what the router already has in its configuration. The commands in the task will forcibly overwrite whatever is already configured; this is not typically done in production, but is done to illustrate a simple example. The `changed_when: false` option tells Ansible to always report a status of `ok` rather than `changed` which makes the script “succeed” from an operations perspective. The `>` operator is used in YAML to denote folded text for readability, and the input is assumed to always be a string. This particular example is not idempotent. Idempotent is a term used to describe the behavior of only making the necessary changes. This implies that when no changes need to be made, the tool does nothing. Although considered a best practice, achieving idempotence is not a prerequisite for creating effective Ansible playbooks.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ansible]# cat snmp.yml
```
The playbook above makes a number of assumptions that have not been reconciled yet. First, one should verify that csr1 is defined and reachable. It is configured as a static hostname-to-IP mapping in the system hosts file. Additionally, it is defined in the Ansible hosts file as a valid host. Last, it is valuable to ping the host to ensure that it is powered on and responding over the network. The verification for all aforementioned steps is below.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ansible]# grep csr1 /etc/hosts
10.125.1.11 csr1

[ec2-user@devbox ansible]# grep csr1 /etc/ansible/hosts
csr1

[ec2-user@devbox ansible]# ping csr1 -c 3
PING csr1 (10.125.1.11) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from csr1 (10.125.1.11): icmp_seq=1 ttl=255 time=3.41 ms
64 bytes from csr1 (10.125.1.11): icmp_seq=2 ttl=255 time=2.85 ms
64 bytes from csr1 (10.125.1.11): icmp_seq=3 ttl=255 time=2.82 ms
--- csr1 ping statistics ---
3 packets transmitted, 3 received, 0% packet loss, time 2003ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 2.821/3.028/3.411/0.278 ms
```

Next, Ansible needs to populate variables for things like snmp.user and snmp.group. Ansible is smart enough to look for file names matching the target hosts in a folder called host_vars/ and automatically add all variables to the play. These files are in YAML format and items can be nested as shown below. This makes it easier to organize variables for different features. Some miscellaneous BGP variables are shown in the file below even though our script doesn’t care about them. Note that if groups are used in the Ansible hosts file, variable files can contain the names of those groups inside the group_vars/ directly for similar treatment. Note that there are secure ways to deal with plain-text passwords with Ansible, such as Ansible Vault. This feature is not demonstrated in this document.
The final step is to execute the playbook. Debugging is enabled so that the generated commands are shown in the output below, which normally does not happen. Note that the variable substitution, as well as the Ansible timestamp, appears to be working. The play contained three tasks, all of which succeed. Although gather_facts didn't look like a task in the playbook, behind the scenes the setup module was executed on the control machine, which counts as a task.

To verify that the configuration was successfully applied, log into the target router to manually verify the configuration. To confirm that the configuration was saved, check the startup-configuration manually as well. The verification is shown below.
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This simple example only scratches the surface of Ansible. The author has written a comprehensive OSPF troubleshooting playbook which is simple to set up, executes quickly, and is 100% free. The link to the Github repository where this playbook is hosted is provided below, and in the references section. There are many other, unrelated Ansible playbooks available at the author’s Github page as well.

Nick’s OSPF TroubleShooter (nots) — https://github.com/nickrusso42518/nots

### 2.4.4 NETCONF-based Infrastructure as Code with Ansible

Earlier sections of this book introduced NETCONF, both as a protocol and integrated into a Python programmability demonstration. Ansible can also utilize NETCONF for managing network devices, and this is quickly becoming a common infrastructure-as-code alternative to legacy SSH/CLI administration.

This demonstration will solve the same problem as my popular open-source `vpn` repository available on Github. The playbook ensures that the correct MPLS layer-3 VPN route-targets are configured, intelligently adding and removing import and export route-targets where needed. The playbook above is SSH/CLI based, which makes it universally consumable by devices of any age, but is quite complex to understand and maintain. Using NETCONF, operators can simplify the maintenance of their desired state.

Ansible allows for any arbitrary NETCONF RPC calls using the `netconf_rpc` module, but effectively using this module is tricky. The author recommends first trying `netconf_get` and `netconf_config` modules for read and write operations, respectively, and falling back to `netconf_rpc` for more customized actions if the wrapper modules don’t work.

Presumably, readers already have some familiarity with Ansible at this point, so I won’t explain every detail. The variables file contains a list of VRFs that should exist on a target router, such as an MPLS provider edge (PE). Each item in the list is a dictionary, which contains two keys of interest, `route_import` and `route_export`. These are lists of strings where each element is a route-target. If an RT is present in this list, it will be present on the device. If an RT is absent from this list, it will be removed from the device. Operators can determine RT membership simply by editing this file and running the Ansible playbook, which is how infrastructure as code is supposed to work.

```yaml
# host_vars/csr1.yml
vrf:
- name: "VPN1"
  description: "FIRST VRF"
  rd: "1:1"
  route_import:
    - "100:1"
  route_export:
    - "100:2"
- name: "VPN2"
  description: "SECOND VRF"
  rd: "2:2"
  route_import:
    - "200:1"
    - "200:2"
  route_export: []
```

Let’s explore the playbook next to see how the modules are used. Thankfully, Ansible makes this very easy.
All the operator must do is specify the XML text to pass in. Coming up with the XML can be challenging, but we will visit that soon. For now, assume that we “just know” it. Just like using jinja2 for plain-text templating, it works well for XML templates, too.

---

# nc_update.yml

- name: "Infrastructure-as-code using NETCONF"
  hosts: routers
  connection: netconf
  tasks:
    - name: "Update VRF config with NETCONF from XML template"
      netconf_config:
        content: "{{ lookup('template', 'templates/vpn.j2') }}"

Admittedly, the template is the most complex part of the solution, but such is the price (sometimes) paid for having nicely structured data. This structure is based on the “native” YANG model, as opposed to something like OpenConfig, so that needs to be specified. Notice the jinja2 for loops. The outer loop iterates over each VRF, creating a new <definition> block for each. Basic data, such as name, description, and rd are applied for each VRF. Then, a pair of nested for loops iterate over the export and import route targets, adding the appropriate XML blocks for each one. As such, the size and composition of the template changes every time the operator changes the “desired state” in the YAML variables file.

<config>
  <native xmlns="http://cisco.com/ns/yang/Cisco-IOS-XE-native">
    <vrf operation="replace">
      {% for vrf in vrfs %}
        <definition>
          <name>{{ vrf.name }}</name>
          <description>{{ vrf.description }}</description>
          <rd>{{ vrf.rd }}</rd>
          <address-family>
            <ipv4/>
            <ipv6/>
          </address-family>
          <route-target>
            {% for rte in vrf.route_export %}
              <export>
                <asn-ip>{{ rte }}</asn-ip>
              </export>
            {% endfor %}
            {% for rti in vrf.route_import %}
              <import>
                <asn-ip>{{ rti }}</asn-ip>
              </import>
            {% endfor %}
          </route-target>
        </definition>
      {% endfor %}
    </vrf>
  </native>
</config>

First, check the router configuration. There are no VRFs on the device at all. Be sure netconf-yang is enabled, not netconf, in order for this technology to work correctly.

CSR1#show vrf
[no output]
CSR1#show running-config | include netconf-yang
netconf-yang
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Next, run the playbook. Notice that the system reports changed. At present, the author cannot find an obvious way to report exactly what changed, but these modules are rather new and are likely to be updated over time. At least we know that a change was made, and in Ansible land, that means notifying handlers and other useful activities.

[centos@devbox netconf]$ ansible-playbook nc_update.yml

PLAY [Infrastructure-as-code using NETCONF] *******************************

TASK [Update VRF config with NETCONF] *************************************
changed: [csr1]

PLAY RECAP **************************************************************************
csr1 : ok=1 changed=1 unreachable=0 failed=0

Run the playbook once more and the task reports ok, implying that there were no necessary changes since the state did not change.

[centos@devbox netconf]$ ansible-playbook nc_update.yml

PLAY [Infrastructure-as-code using NETCONF] *******************************

TASK [Update VRF config with NETCONF] *************************************
ok: [csr1]

PLAY RECAP **************************************************************************
csr1 : ok=1 changed=0 unreachable=0 failed=0

Using SSH, log into the router's CLI and check the VRF configuration. Notice that both VPNs have the exactly correct VPN configuration. Any changes to this configuration will be reverted anytime the playbook runs again.

CSR1#show running-config | section vrf_definition
vrf definition VPN1
description FIRST VRF
rd 1:1
route-target export 100:1
route-target import 100:2
!
address-family ipv4
exit-address-family
!
address-family ipv6
exit-address-family
vrf definition VPN2
description SECOND VRF
rd 2:2
route-target import 200:1
route-target import 200:2
!
address-family ipv4
exit-address-family
!
address-family ipv6
exit-address-family

Let's grab the current VRF configuration using NETCONF. This is how the author grabbed the initial XML snippet to build the jinja2 template above. Another approach could be converting the native YANG model to XML using pyang or something like it. This playbook is a little more involved since there are some post-processing steps needed to beautify the XML for human readability and write it to disk. Using the filter
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option with netconf_get can limit the output just to a certain section, in this case, just VRFs. Omitting this option captures the entire configuration.

---

# nc_get.yml
- name: "Update VRF state via NETCONF"
  hosts: routers
  connection: netconf
  tasks:
    - name: "Get VRF config with NETCONF"
      netconf_get:
        source: running
        lock: always
        filter: "<native><vrf></vrf></native>"
        register: nc_vrf
    - name: "Format XML for easy viewing"
      xml:
        xmlstring: "{{ nc_vrf.stdout }}"
        pretty_print: true
        register: pretty_config
        changed_when: false
    - name: "Ensure vrf_configs/ folder exists"
      file:
        path: "{{ playbook_dir }}/vrf_configs"
        state: directory
    - name: "Write XML to disk"
      copy:
        content: "{{ pretty_config.xmlstring }}"
        dest: "vrf_configs/{{ inventory_hostname }}_netconf.xml"

Running this playbook grabs the VRF configuration as represented by YANG and encoded as XML data.

[centos@devbox netconf]$ ansible-playbook nc_get.yml
PLAY [Update VRF state via NETCONF] **************************************************
TASK [Get VRF config with NETCONF] **************************************************
ok: [csr1]
TASK [Format XML for easy viewing] ***********************************************
ok: [csr1]
TASK [Ensure vrf_configs/ folder exists] ******************************************
ok: [csr1]
TASK [Write XML to disk] ************************************************************
changed: [csr1]

PLAY RECAP **********************************************************************
csr1 : ok=4  changed=1  unreachable=0  failed=0

Look at the contents of the file to see how the pieces fit together. Also notice how the proper route-target state is in place. The ns numbering is referencing XML namespaces, which like programming namespaces, can provide uniqueness when same-named constructs are referenced from a single program. The namespaces shouldn’t be included when building XML templates, though. Administrators can use these NETCONF captures as a way of doing configuration state backups also.
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2.4.5 RESTCONF-based Infrastructure as Code with Ansible

Suppose you love the idea of using something better than SSH/CLI but find the XML templating within NETCONF to be rather confusing. While it is possible to write some kind of translation from YAML/JSON Ansible variables directly into XML, this would be rather complex for the average network automation engineer. RESTCONF offers an alternative. Using Ansible’s generic `uri` module to run HTTP-based operations on network devices, operators can pass variables directly into the message body of an HTTP PUT to configure a device as JSON data.

The variables structure has to change a bit to fit the YANG model we saw above, except using YAML (or JSON) formatting. I’ll use YAML for brevity, and assuming operators are willing to restructure the state variables files, this data can be passed straight into `uri` by referencing the topmost dictionary key of `vrfs` from the `body` option.
---
  # host_vars/csr1.yml
  vrf:
    vrf:
      definition:
        - name: "VPN1"
          description: "FIRST VRF"
          rd: "1:1"
          address-family:
            ipv4: {}
            ipv6: {}
          route-target:
            export:
              - asn-ip: "100:2"
            import:
              - asn-ip: "100:1"
        - name: "VPN2"
          description: "SECOND VRF"
          rd: "2:2"
          address-family:
            ipv4: {}
            ipv6: {}
          route-target:
            import:
              - asn-ip: "200:1"
              - asn-ip: "200:2"

Next, examine the playbook. Like NETCONF, there is only one task to perform the update. This module requires quite a bit more data, including login information given connection: local at the play level. The other fields help construct the correct HTTP headers needed to configure the device via RESTCONF. There are no jinja2 templates required at all.

---
  # rc_update.yml
  - name: "Infrastructure-as-code using RESTCONF"
    hosts: routers
    connection: local
    tasks:
      - name: "Update VRF config with HTTP PUT"
        uri:
          # YAML folded syntax won't work here, shown for readability only
          url: >-
              https://{{ ansible_host }}/restconf/data/
              Cisco-IOS-XE-native:native/Cisco-IOS-XE-native:vrf
          user: "ansible"
          password: "ansible"
          method: PUT
          headers:
            Content-Type: "application/yang-data+json"
            Accept: "application/yang-data+json, application/yang-data.errors+json"
          body_format: json
          body: "{{ vrfes }}"
          validate_certs: false
          return_content: true
          status_code:
            - 200 # OK
            - 204 # NO CONTENT

The device has no VRFs on it, just like before. RESTCONF will add them. Be sure restconf is enabled!
Run the playbook, and notice that the task reports `ok`. Like NETCONF, RESTCONF is idempotent and easy to program using Ansible. Unlike NETCONF, there is no notification in the HTTP response message that indicates whether a change was made or not. This could be problematic if there are handlers requiring notification, but often times is not a big issue. Administrators can see if changes were made using an HTTP GET operation which is coming up next. It is possible that Cisco will update their RESTCONF API to include this in the future.

```
[centos@devbox restconf]$ ansible-playbook rc_update.yml
```

```
PLAY [Infrastructure-as-code using RESTCONF] ******************************************
TASK [Update VRF config with HTTP PUT] ********************************************
ok: [csr1]
```

```
PLAY RECAP ********************************************************************
csr1 : ok=1 changed=0 unreachable=0 failed=0
```

Quickly check the VRF configuration on the CLI to ensure it matches the declarative state from the variables file. This output should be identical to the output what NETCONF returned, since both methods do the exact same thing.

```
CSR1#show run | section vrf definition
vrf definition VPN1
description FIRST VRF
   rd 1:1
   route-target export 100:2
   route-target import 100:1
   
   address-family ipv4
   exit-address-family
   
   address-family ipv6
   exit-address-family
vrf definition VPN2
description SECOND VRF
   rd 2:2
   route-target import 200:1
   route-target import 200:2
   
   address-family ipv4
   exit-address-family
   
   address-family ipv6
   exit-address-family
```

In case operators don’t know what the correct data structure looks like, use the `uri` module again for the HTTP GET operation. The playbook below allows operators to execute an HTTP GET, collect data, and write it to a file. It doesn’t require quite as much post-processing as XML since Ansible can beautify JSON rather easily.

```
---
#/rc_get.yml
- name: "Collect VRF config with RESTCONF"
  hosts: routers
  connection: local
```
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- name: "Get VRF config with RESTCONF"
  uri:
    # YAML folded syntax won't work here, shown for readability only
    url: >-
      https://{{ ansible_host }}/restconf/data/
      Cisco-IOS-XE-native:native/Cisco-IOS-XE-native:vrf
    user: "{{ ansible_user }}"
    password: "{{ ansible_password }}"
    method: GET
    return_content: true
    headers:
      Accept: 'application/yang-data+json'
      validate_certs: false
    register: rc_vrf

- name: "Ensure vrf_configs/ folder exists"
  file:
    path: "{{ playbook_dir }}/vrf_configs"
    state: directory

- name: "Write JSON to disk"
  copy:
    content: "{{ rc_vrf.json | to_nice_json }}"
    dest: "vrf_configs/{{ inventory_hostname }}_restconf.json"

Quickly run the playbook to gather the current VRF state and store it as a JSON file.

[centos@devbox restconf]$ ansible-playbook rc_get.yml

PLAY [Collect VRF config with RESTCONF] ***********************************
TASK [Get VRF config with RESTCONF] ***************************************
ok: [csr1]
TASK [Ensure vrf_configs/ folder exists] **********************************
ok: [csr1]
TASK [Write JSON to disk] ***********************************************
changed: [csr1]

PLAY RECAP ****************************************************************
csr1 : ok=3 changed=1 unreachable=0 failed=0

Check the contents of the file to see the JSON returned from RESTCONF. Operators can use this as their variables template starting point. Simply modify this JSON structure, optionally converting to YAML first if that is easier, and pass the result into Ansible to manage your infrastructure as code using JSON instead of CLI commands.

[centos@devbox restconf]$ cat vrf_configs/csr1_restconf.json

```json
{
  "Cisco-IOS-XE-native:vrf": {
    "definition": [
    {
      "address-family": {
        "ipv4": {},
        "ipv6": {}
      },
      "description": "FIRST VRF",
      "name": "VPN1",
      "rd": "1:1",
    }
  }
}
```
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"route-target": {
  "export": [
    {
      "asn-ip": "100:2"
    }
  ],
  "import": [
    {
      "asn-ip": "100:1"
    }
  ]
},
"address-family": {
  "ipv4": {},
  "ipv6": {}
},
"description": "SECOND VRF",
"name": "VPN2",
"rd": "2:2",
"route-target": {
  "import": [
    {
      "asn-ip": "200:1"
    },
    {
      "asn-ip": "200:2"
    }
  ]
}
}

### 2.4.6 Agent-less Demonstration with Nornir

Nornir is an open source project created by David Barroso and is maintained by several well-known network programmability experts. Nornir uses many common, open-source projects under the hood, such as textfsm, NAPALM, and netmiko. This makes it easily consumable by organizations already using these libraries for other purposes. Nornir was formerly known as Brigade and is a task execution engine, like Ansible, with a few key differences:

1. **No domain specific language (DSL).** Yes, Nornir makes you write Python, while Ansible lets you write simpler YAML. Doing simple things is easy in DSL, but complex hard things is extremely challenging. Even moderately complex nested iteration requires multiple files in Ansible, but doing so in Python is trivial. With Nornir, you get pure Python without complex integrations via DSL.

2. **Python debugger (pdb) works natively, simplifying debugging.** In Ansible, your best tools are verbosity options from the shell (i.e. ansible-playbook test.yml -vvv) or the debug module, neither of which have the power of pdb.

3. **The number of supplemental Python support tools (such as pylint, bandit, and black) is enormous.** These can easily be leveraged for Nornir runbook maintenance, typically within CI/CD pipelines.

4. **Nornir tends to be faster than Ansible, given that it does not need to serialize/deserialize between YAML/JSON and Python continuously.** More data referenced within Ansible means more processing time, and thus slower execution.
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Because the author has extensive experience with Ansible across a variety of production use cases, comparisons between Nornir and Ansible are common throughout this section. Given Ansible’s popularity and market penetration at the time of this writing, it is likely that readers will be able to compare and contrast, too.

Installing Nornir is simple using pip. The author recommends using Python 3.6 or newer and Nornir 2.0.0 or newer. The Linux and Cisco CSR1000v versions are the same as those shown in the previous Ansible demonstration, and thus are not repeated.

```
[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 --version
Python 3.6.5

[ec2-user@devbox ~]$ python3 -m pip install nornir
[snip, installation output]

[ec2-user@devbox nornir-test]$ python3 -m pip list | grep nornir
nornir (2.0.0)
```

Nornir is comprised of several main components. First, an optional configuration file is used to specify global parameters, typically default settings for the execution of Nornir runbooks, which can simplify Nornir coding later. The same concept exists in Ansible. Exploring the configuration file is not terribly important to understanding Nornir basics and is not covered in this demonstration.

Also like Ansible, Nornir supports robust options for managing inventory, which is a collection of hosts and groups. Nornir can even consume existing Ansible inventories for those looking to migrate from Ansible to Nornir. The inventory file is called `hosts.yaml` and is required when using Nornir’s default inventory plugin. The groups file is called `groups.yaml` and is optional, though often used. Many more advanced inventory options exist, but this demonstration uses the “simple” inventory method, which is the default.

The simplest possible hosts.yaml file is shown below. There are many other minor options for host fields, such as a site identifier, role, and group list. This demonstration uses only a single CSR1000v, named as such in the inventory as a top level key. The variables specific to this host are the subways listed under it.

```
---
# hosts.yaml
csr1000v:
  hostname: "csr1000v.lab.local"  # or IP address
  username: "cisco"
  password: "cisco"
  platform: "ios"
```

For the sake of a more interesting example, consider the case of multiple CSR1000v routers with the same login information. Copy/pasting host-level variables such as usernames and passwords is undesirable, especially at scale, so using group-level variables via `groups.yaml` is a better design. Each CSR is assigned to group `csr` which contains the common login information as group-level variables. While the format differs from Ansible’s YAML inventory, the general logic of data inheritance is the same. More generic variable definitions, such as group variables, can be overridden on a per-host basis if necessary.

```
---
# hosts.yaml
csr1000v_1:
  hostname: "172.16.1.1"
  groups: ["csr"]
csr1000v_2:
  hostname: "172.16.1.2"
  groups: ["csr"]
csr1000v_3:
  hostname: "172.16.1.3"
  groups: ["csr"]
csr1000v_4:
  hostname: "172.16.1.4"
  groups: ["csr"]
```
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hostname: "172.16.1.4"
groups: ["csr"]

# groups.yaml

csr:
  username: "cisco"
  password: "cisco"
  platform: "ios"

The demonstration below is a simple runbook from Patrick Ogenstad, one of the Nornir developers. The author has adapted it slightly to fit this book’s format and added comments to briefly explain each step. The Python file below is named get_facts_ios.py.

```python
from nornir import InitNornir
from nornir.plugins.tasks.networking import napalm_get
from nornir.plugins.functions.text import print_result

# Initialize a Nornir object.
nr = InitNornir()

# Execute a task against the hosts defined in the inventory.
# Specifically, gather basic router facts using NAPALM getters
# behind the scenes, much like Ansible's "ios_facts" module.
facts = nr.run(napalm_get,
               getters=['get_facts'])

# Pretty-print the result to stdout in a colorful JSON-style format.
print_result(facts)
```

Running this code yields the following output. Like Ansible, individual tasks are printed in easy-to-delineate stanzas which contains specific output from that task. Here, the data returned by the device is printed, along with many of the dictionary keys needed to access individual fields, if necessary. This simple method is great for troubleshooting but often times, programmers will have to perform specific actions on specific pieces of data.

```
[ec2-user@devbox nornir-test]$ python3 get_facts_ios.py

napalm_get******************************************************************************
* csr1000v ** changed : False *****************************************************************
vvvv napalm_get ** changed : False vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv INFO
{ 'get_facts': { 'fqdn': 'CSR1000v.ec2.internal',
  'hostname': 'CSR1000v',
  'interface_list': ['GigabitEthernet1', 'VirtualPortGroup0'],
  'model': 'CSR1000V',
  'os_version': 'Virtual XE Software '(X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M), Version ' '16.9.1, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc2)',
  'serial_number': '9RJTDVAF3DP',
  'uptime': 5160,
  'vendor': 'Cisco'}}

```

The result object is a key component in Nornir, albeit a complex one. The general structure is as follows, shown in pseudo-YAML format with some minor technical inaccuracies intentionally. This quick visual indication can help those new to Nornir to understand the general structure of data returned by a Nornir run.

```
result_from_nornir:
```
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host1:
  - task1:
    other_stuff1: interesting values here
    other_stuff2: ...
    more_details_a: ...
    more_details_b: ...
  - task2: ...
host2:
  - task1: ...
  - task2: ...

More accurately, the result_from_nornir is not a pure dictionary but is a dict-like object called AggregatedResult, which combines all of the results across all hosts. Each host is referenced by hostname as a dictionary key, which returns a MultiResult object. This is a list-like structure which can be indexed by integer, iterated over, sliced, etc. The elements of these lists are Result objects which contain extra interesting data that is be accessible from a given task. This extra interesting data is wrapped in a dictionary which is accessible through the result attribute of the object, NOT indexable as a dictionary key. The pseudo-YAML below is slightly more accurate in showing the object structure used for Nornir results.

AggregatedResult:
  MultiResult:
    - Result:
      changed: !!bool
      failed: !!bool
      name: !!str
      result:
        specific_field1: ...
        specific_field2: ...
    - Result: ...
  MultiResult:
    - Result: ...
    - Result: ...

If this seems tricky, it is, and the demonstration below helps explain it. Without digging into the source code of these custom objects, one can use the Python debugger (pdb) to do some basic discovery. This understanding makes programmatically accessing individual fields easier, which Nornir automatically parses and stores as structured data. Simply add this line of code to the end of the Python script above. This is the programming equivalent of setting a breakpoint; Python calls them traces.

import pdb; pdb.set_trace()

After running the code and seeing the pretty JSON output displayed, a (Pdb) prompt waits for user input. Mastering pdb is outside the scope of this book and we will not be exploring pdb-specific commands in any depth. What pdb enables is a real-time Python command line environment, allowing us to inject arbitrary code at the trace. Just type facts to start, the name of the object returned by the Nornir run. This alone reveals a fair amount of information.

(Pdb) facts
AggregatedResult (napalm_get): {'csr1000v': MultiResult: [Result: "napalm_get"]}

First, the facts object is an AggregatedResult, a dict-like object as annotated by the curly braces with key:value mappings inside. It has one key called csr1000v, the name of our test host. The value of this key is a MultiResult object which is a list-like structure as annotated by the [square brackets]. Thus, pdb should indicate that facts['csr1000v'] returns a MultiResult object, which contains a Result object named napalm_get.

(Pdb) facts['csr1000v']
MultiResult: [Result: "napalm_get"]

Since there was only 1 task that Nornir ran (getting the IOS facts), the length of this list-like object should be 1. Quickly test that using the Python len() function.
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Index the task results manually by using the [0] index method. This is yields a Result object, which is neither list-like nor dict-like.

The Result object has some metadata fields, such as changed and failed (much like Ansible) to indicate what happened when a task was executed. The real meat of the results is buried in a field called result. Using Python's dir() function to explore these fields is useful, as shown below. For brevity, the author has manually removed some fields not relevant to this discovery exercise.

Feel free to casually explore some of these fields by simply referencing them. For example, since this was a read-only task that succeeded, both changed and failed fields should be false. If this were a task with configuration changes, changed could potentially be true if actual changes were necessary. Also note that the name of this task was napalm_get, the default name as our script did not specify one. Nornir can consume netmiko and NAPALM connection handlers, which provides expansive support for many network platforms, and this helps prove it.

After digging through all of the custom objects, we can test the result field for its type, which results in a basic dictionary with a top-level key of get_facts. The value is another dictionary with a handful of keys containing device information. Simply printing out this field displays the dictionary that was pretty-printed by the print_result() function shown earlier. The long get_facts dict output is broken up to fit the screen.

Using pdb to reference individual fields, we can add some custom code to test our understanding. For example, suppose we want to create a string containing the hostname and serial number in a hyphenated string. Using the new f-string feature of Python 3.6, this is simple and clean.

Using pdb to reference individual fields, we can add some custom code to test our understanding. For example, suppose we want to create a string containing the hostname and serial number in a hyphenated string. Using the new f-string feature of Python 3.6, this is simple and clean.

Armed with this new understanding, we can add these exact lines to our existing runbook and continue development using the data dictionary as a handy shortcut to access the IOS facts. It is worthwhile to explain Nornir's run() function in greater depth. The run() function takes in a task object, which is just another function. Because everything can be treated like an object in Python, passing functions as parameters into other functions to be executed later is easy. This parameter function is a task and contains the logic to perform some action, like run a command, gather facts, or make configuration requests.
changes. The remaining keyword arguments (kwargs) are the inputs for the parameter function passed into `run()`. In short, `run()` is a Nornir wrapper to execute the parameter function with its kwargs, but do so within the framework of Nornir.

To group tasks together, one does not create a “list of tasks” as in Ansible. Instead, use a wrapper function that has many `run()` invocations to sequence the tasks in the correct order. Nornir consumers can easily insert additional logic in between `run()` calls, such as printing output, inserting pdb traces, writing to files, or whatever other things don’t directly qualify as Nornir tasks. This wrapper function is passed into `run()` from the calling function level as if it were a task itself. Be sure to include any kwargs needed for this wrapper to operate. The example below expands our previous Nornir runbook to both collect basic facts and apply configuration. For cleanliness, the author has added a `main()` function to this runbook.

The `manage_router()` function sequences the tasks to be run. Using NAPALM to configure network devices introduces a rich feature set of providing a “diff”, automatic rollback, and automatic configuration saving. Users should pass in a newline delineated string, which can be assembled by joining a list of strengths via newline (or a variety of other techniques). Note that results from individual task calls are not saved inside the wrapper; Nornir aggregates these results at the calling function level.

In the `main()` function, the calling function in this case, `manage_router()` is treated like a task and its `config_lines` kwarg is populated with a list of service strings to apply. This task grouping wrapper is executed and its results are printed out. The Python file below is named `manage_router_ios.py`.

```python
from nornir import InitNornir
from nornir.plugins.tasks.networking import napalm_get
from nornir.plugins.tasks.networking import napalm_configure
from nornir.plugins.functions.text import print_result

def manage_router(nr, config_lines):
    # Task 1: Get basic information (same as before)
    nr.run(task=napalm_get, getters=['get_facts'])

    # Task 2: Use "napalm_configure" function along with kwargs
    # representing the configuration as a newline-joined string.
    nr.run(task=napalm_configure, configuration='\n'.join(config_lines))

def main():
    # Initialize a Nornir object.
    nr = InitNornir()

    # Define services as a list of strings
    services = [
        'service nagle',
        'service sequence-numbers',
        'no service pad'
    ]

    # Run the grouped task function to get facts and apply config.
    from_tasks = nr.run(task=manage_router, config_lines=services)

    # Pretty-print the result to stdout in a pretty JSON format.
    print_result(from_tasks)

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

Running this code yields the following output. Tasks are printed out in the sequence in which they were invoked. This particular router required Nagle and sequence-number services to be enabled, and needed...
to have the PAD service disabled, per the diff included in the output.

[ec2-user@devbox nornir-test]$ python3 manage_router_ios.py

manage_router***********************************************************
* csr1000v ** changed : True ***********************************************
vvvv manage_router ** changed : False ************************************
INFO
---- napalm_get ** changed : False -------------------------------------
INFO
{ 'get_facts': { 'fqdn': 'CSR1000v.ec2.internal',
'hostname': 'CSR1000v',
'interface_list': ['GigabitEthernet1', 'VirtualPortGroup0'],
'model': 'CSR1000V',
'os_version': 'Virtual XE Software '(X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M), Version ' '16.9.1, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc2)',
'serial_number': '9RJTDVAF3DP',
'uptime': 1560,
'vendor': 'Cisco'}}
---- napalm_configure ** changed : True --------------------------------
INFO
+service nagle
+service sequence-numbers
-no service pad
^^^^ END manage_router ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Because NAPALM is idempotent with respect to IOS configuration management, running the runbook again should yield no changes when the napalm_configure task is executed. The changed return value changes from True in the previous output to False below. No diff is supplied as a result.

[ec2-user@devbox nornir-test]$ python3 manage_router_ios.py

manage_router***********************************************************
* csr1000v ** changed : False **********************************************
vvvv manage_router ** changed : False ************************************
INFO
---- napalm_get ** changed : False -------------------------------------
INFO
{ 'get_facts': { 'fqdn': 'CSR1000v.ec2.internal',
'hostname': 'CSR1000v',
'interface_list': ['GigabitEthernet1', 'VirtualPortGroup0'],
'model': 'CSR1000V',
'os_version': 'Virtual XE Software '(X86_64_LINUX_IOSD-UNIVERSALK9-M), Version ' '16.9.1, RELEASE SOFTWARE (fc2)',
'serial_number': '9RJTDVAF3DP',
'uptime': 2040,
'vendor': 'Cisco'}}
---- napalm_configure ** changed : False -------------------------------
INFO
^^^^ END manage_router ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Rerunning the code with a pdb trace applied at the end of the program allows Nornir users to explore the from_tasks variable in more depth. For each host (in this case csr1000v), there is a list of MultiResult objects. This list includes results from the wrapper function, not just the inner tasks, so its length should be 3: the grouped function followed by the 2 tasks. For troubleshooting they can be indexed as shown below. Notice the empty-string diff returned by NAPALM from the second task, an indicator that our network hasn’t experienced any changes since the last Nornir run.

[ec2-user@devbox nornir-test]$ python3 manage_router_ios.py
> /home/ec2-user/nornir-test/manage_router_ios.py(31)main()
-> print_result(from_tasks)
(Pdb) from_tasks
AggregatedResult (manage_router): {'csr1000v': MultiResult:
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MultiResult: [Result: "manage_router", Result: "napalm_get", Result: "napalm_configure"]

(Pdb) from_tasks['csr1000v']

MultiResult: [Result: "manage_router", Result: "napalm_get", Result: "napalm_configure"]

(Pdb) len(from_tasks['csr1000v'])
3

(Pdb) from_tasks['csr1000v'][0] 
Result: "manage_router"

(Pdb) from_tasks['csr1000v'][1] 
Result: "napalm_get"

(Pdb) from_tasks['csr1000v'][2] 
Result: "napalm_configure"

(Pdb) from_tasks['csr1000v'][2].diff

2.4.7 Version Control Overview

Automation in general is a fundamental topic of an effective automation design. In all case, a programmer needs to write the code in the first place, and like all pieces of code, it must be maintained, tested, versioned, and continuously monitored. Examples of popular repositories for text file configuration management include Github and Amazon Web Services (AWS) CodeCommit. The sections that follow include demonstrations using a variety of version control systems and remote repositories.

2.4.8 Git with Github

In the first example, a Google Codejam solution is shown in the code that follows. The challenge was finding the minimal scalar product between two vectors of equal length. The solution is to sort both vectors: one sorted greatest-to-least, and one sorted least-to-greatest. Then, performing the basic scalar product logic, the problem is solved. This code is not an exercise in absolute efficiency or optimization as it was written to be modular and readable. The example below was written in Python 3.5.2 and the name of the file is VectorPair.py.

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ python3 --version
Python 3.5.2

```python
class VectorPair:
    """
    Class defining a VectorPair object with helper methods.
    """

def __init__(self, v1, v2, n):
    """
    Constructor takes in two vectors and the vector length.
    """
    self.v1 = v1
    self.v2 = v2
    self.n = n

def _resolve_sp(self, v1, v2):
    """
    Given two vectors of equal length, the scalar product is pairwise multiplication of values and the sum of all pairwise products.
    """
```
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```python
sp = 0

# Iterate over elements in the array and compute
# the scalar product
for i in range(self.n):
    sp += v1[i] * v2[i]

return sp

def resolve_msp(self):
    """
    Given two vectors of equal length, the minimum scalar product is
    the smallest number that exists given all permutations of
    multiplying numbers between the two vectors.
    """

    # Sort v1 low->high and v2 high->low
    # This ensures the smallest values of one list are
    # paired with the largest values of the other
    v1sort = sorted(self.v1, reverse=False)
    v2sort = sorted(self.v2, reverse=True)

    # Invoke the internal method for resolution
    return self._resolve_sp(v1sort, v2sort)
```

This Github account is used to demonstrate a revision control example. Suppose that a change to the Python script above is required, and specifically, a trivial comment change. Checking the `git status` first, the repository is up to date as no changes have been made. It explores git at a very basic level and does not include branches, forks, pull requests, etc.

```
Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'.
nothing to commit, working directory clean
```

The verbiage of a comment relating to the constructor method is now changed.

```
Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ grep Constructor VectorPair.py
Constructor takes in two vectors and the vector length.
```

```
### OPEN THE TEXT EDITOR AND MAKE CHANGES (NOT SHOWN) ###
```

```
Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ grep Constructor VectorPair.py
Constructor takes in the vector length and two vectors.
```

```
git status now reports that VectorPair.py has been modified but not added to the set of files to be committed to the repository. The changes not staged for commit indicates that the files are not currently in the staging area.

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'.
Changes not staged for commit:
  (use "git add <file>..." to update what will be committed)
  (use "git checkout -- <file>..." to discard changes in working directory)

    modified:   VectorPair.py
```

no changes added to commit (use "git add" and/or "git commit -a")
Adding this file to the list of changed files effectively stages it for commitment to the repository. The changes to be committed verbiage word from the terminal indicates this.

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git add VectorPair.py

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'.
Changes to be committed:
(use "git reset HEAD <file>..." to unstage)

modified: VectorPair.py

Next, the file is committed with a comment explaining the change. This command does not update the Github repository, only the local one. Code contained in the local repository is, by definition, one programmer's local work. Other programmers may be contributing to the remote repository while another works locally for some time. This is why git is considered a "distributed" version control system.

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git commit -m "evolving tech comment update"
[master 74ed39a] evolving tech comment update
 1 file changed, 2 insertions(+), 2 deletions(-)

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is ahead of 'origin/master' by 1 commit.
  (use "git push" to publish your local commits)
nothing to commit, working directory clean

To update the remote repository, the committed updates must be pushed. After this is complete, the git status utility informs us that there are no longer any changes.

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git push -u
Counting objects: 4, done.
Delta compression using up to 8 threads.
Compressing objects: 100% (4/4), done.
Writing objects: 100% (4/4), 455 bytes | 0 bytes/s, done.
Total 4 (delta 2), reused 0 (delta 0)
remote: Resolving deltas: 100% (2/2), completed with 2 local objects.
To https://github.com/nickrusso42518/google-codejam.git
  e8d0c54..74ed39a master -> master
Branch master set up to track remote branch master from origin.

Nicholass-MBP:min-scalar-prod nicholasrusso$ git status
On branch master
Your branch is up-to-date with 'origin/master'.
nothing to commit, working directory clean

Logging into the Github web page, one can verify the changes were successful. At the root directory containing all of the Google Codejam challenges, the comment added to the last commit is visible.

| milkshakes  | first case solved; impossible case not solved |
| min-scalar-prod | evolving tech comment update |
| number-game | initial |

![Table](image.png)

Figure 43: Github Changes — Summary

Looking into the min-scalar-prod directory and specifically the VectorPair.py file, git clearly displays the
additions/removals from the file. As such, git is a powerful tool that can be used for scripting, data files (YAML, JSON, XML, YANG, etc.) and any other text documents that need to be revision controlled. The screenshot is shown below.

![Github Changes — Detailed Differences](image)

**2.4.9 Git with AWS CodeCommit and CodeBuild**

Although AWS services are not on the blueprint, a basic understanding of developer services available in public cloud (PaaS and SaaS options) is worth examining. This example uses the CodeCommit service, which is comparable to Github, acting as a remote Git repository. Additionally, CodeBuild CI services are integrated into the test repository, similar to Travis CI or Jenkins, for testing the code.

This section does not walk through all of the detailed AWS setup as there are many tutorials and documents detailing it. However, some key points are worth mentioning. First, an Identity and Access Management (IAM) group should be created for any developers accessing the project. The author also created a user called nrusso and added him to the Development group.

![Creating a New AWS IAM User and Group](image)

Note that the permissions of the Development group should include AWSCodeCommitFullAccess.
Navigating to the CodeCommit service, create a new repository called awsgit without selecting any other fancy options. This initializes and empty repository. This is the equivalent of creating a new repository in Github without having pushed any files to it.

```
Nicholass-MBP:projects nicholasrusso$ git clone \\> https://git-codecommit.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/v1/repos/awsgit
Cloning into 'awsgit'...
Username for 'https://git-codecommit.us-east-1.amazonaws.com': nrusso-at-043535020805
Password for 'https://nrusso-at-043535020805@git-codecommit.us-east-1.amazonaws.com':
warning: You appear to have cloned an empty repository.
Checking connectivity... done.
```

Change into the directory and check the Git remote repositories. The AWS CodeCommit repository named awsgit has been added automatically after the clone operation. We can tell this is a Git repository since it contains the .git hidden folder.

```
Nicholass-MBP:projects nicholasrusso$ cd awsgit/
Nicholass-MBP:awsgit nicholasrusso$

Nicholass-MBP:projects nicholasrusso$ ls -1 awsgit/
Nicholass-MBP:projects nicholasrusso$

```

```
nicholasrusso$ ls -la
```

```
total 0
  drwxr-xr-x  3 nicholasrusso  staff  102 May  5 14:45 .
```
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Create a file. Below is an example of a silly README.md file in markdown. Markdown is a simple way of writing HTML code that many repository systems can render nicely.

```
# DevOps in Cloud
This is pretty cool

## Hopefully markdown works
That would make this file look good

> Note: Important message

```
```
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To build on this basic repository, we can enable continuous integration (CI) using AWS CodeBuild service. It ties in seamlessly to CodeCommit which, unlike other common integrations (Github + Jenkins) which require many manual steps. The author creates a sample project below based on Fibonacci numbers, which are numbers whereby the next number is the sum of the previous two. Some additional error-checking is added to check for non-integer inputs, which makes the test cases more interesting. The Python file below is called fibonacci.py.

```python
#!/bin/python

def fibonacci(n):
    if not isinstance(n, int):
        raise ValueError('Please use an integer')
    elif n < 2:
        return n
    else:
        return fibonacci(n-1) + fibonacci(n-2)
```

Any good piece of software should come with unit tests. Some software development methodologies, such as Test Driven Development (TDD), even suggest writing the unit tests before the code itself! Below are the enumerated test cases used to test the Fibonacci function defined above. The three test cases evaluate zero/negative number inputs, bogus string inputs, and valid integer inputs. The test script below is called fibotest.py.

```python
#!/bin/python

def fibotest(n):
    if not isinstance(n, int):
        raise ValueError('Please use an integer')
    elif n < 2:
        return n
    else:
        return fibonacci(n-1) + fibonacci(n-2)
```

---

**Figure 48: AWS CodeCommit README File**

To build on this basic repository, we can enable continuous integration (CI) using AWS CodeBuild service. It ties in seamlessly to CodeCommit which, unlike other common integrations (Github + Jenkins) which require many manual steps. The author creates a sample project below based on Fibonacci numbers, which are numbers whereby the next number is the sum of the previous two. Some additional error-checking is added to check for non-integer inputs, which makes the test cases more interesting. The Python file below is called fibonacci.py.

```python
#!/bin/python

def fibonacci(n):
    if not isinstance(n, int):
        raise ValueError('Please use an integer')
    elif n < 2:
        return n
    else:
        return fibonacci(n-1) + fibonacci(n-2)
```

Any good piece of software should come with unit tests. Some software development methodologies, such as Test Driven Development (TDD), even suggest writing the unit tests before the code itself! Below are the enumerated test cases used to test the Fibonacci function defined above. The three test cases evaluate zero/negative number inputs, bogus string inputs, and valid integer inputs. The test script below is called fibotest.py.
#!/bin/python

import unittest
from fibonacci import fibonacci

class fibotest(unittest.TestCase):

    def test_input_zero_neg(self):
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(0), 0)
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(-1), -1)
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(-42), -42)

    def test_input_invalid(self):
        try:
            n = fibonacci('oops')
            self.fail()
        except ValueError:
            pass
        except:
            self.fail()

    def test_input_valid(self):
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(1), 1)
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(2), 1)
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(10), 55)
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(20), 6765)
        self.assertEqual(fibonacci(30), 832040)

The test cases above are executed using the unittest toset which loads in all the test functions and executes them in a test environment. The file below is called runtest.py.

#!/bin/python

import unittest
import sys
from fibotest import fibotest

def runtest():
    testRunner = unittest.TextTestRunner()
    testSuite = unittest.TestLoader().loadTestsFromTestCase(fibotest)
    testRunner.run(testSuite)

runtest()

To manually run the tests, simply execute the runtest.py code. There are, of course, many different ways to test Python code. A simpler alternative could have been to use pytest but using the unittest strategy is just as effective.

Nicholass-MBP:awsgit nicholasrusso$ python runtest.py
...
Ran 3 tests in 0.970s
OK

However, the goal of CodeBuild is to offload this testing to AWS based on triggers, which can be manual scheduling, commit-based, time-based, and more. In order to provide the build specifications for AWS so it knows what to test, the buildspec.yml file can be defined. Below is simple, one-stage CI pipeline that just runs the test code we developed.

# buildspec.yml

By: Nicholas Russo http://njrusmc.net
version: 0.2

phases:
  pre_build:
    commands:
      - python runtest.py

Add, commit, and push these new files to the repository (not shown). Note that the author also added a .gitignore file so that the Python machine code (.pyc) files would be ignored by git. Verify that the source code files appear in CodeCommit.

Figure 49: AWS CodeCommit Repository with Files

Click on the fibonacci.py file as a sanity check to ensure the text was transferred successfully. Notice that CodeCommit does some syntax highlighting to improve readability.
At this point, you can schedule a build in CodeBuild to test out your code. The author does not walk through setting up CodeBuild because there are many tutorials on it, and it is simple. A basic screenshot below shows the process at a high level. CodeBuild will automatically spin up a test instance of sorts (in this case, Ubuntu Linux with Python 3.5.2) to execute the buildspec.yml file.

![AWS CodeCommit Fibonacci Source Code](image)

**Figure 50: AWS CodeCommit Fibonacci Source Code**

By: Nicholas Russo

By: Nicholas Russo [http://njrusmc.net](http://njrusmc.net)
Below these results is the actual machine output, which matches the test output we generated when running the tests manually. This indicates a successful CI pipeline integration between CodeCommit and CodeBuild. Put another way, it is a fully integrated development environment without the manual setup of Github + Jenkins, Bitbucket + Travis CI, or whatever other combination of SCM + CI you can think of.

Note that build history, as it is in every CI system, is also available. The author initially failed the first build test due to a configuration problem within buildspec.yml, which illustrates the value of maintaining build history.
The main drawback of these fully-integrated services is that they are specific to your cloud provider. Some would call this “vendor lock-in”, since portability is limited. To move, you could clone your Git repositories and move elsewhere, but that may require retooling your CI environment. It may also be time consuming and risky for large projects with many developers and many branches, whereby any coordinated work stoppage would be challenging to execute.

2.4.10 Subversion (SVN) and comparison to Git

Subversion (SVN) is another version control system, though in the author’s experience, is less commonly used today when compared to git. SVN is a centralized version control system whereby the commit action pushes changes to the central repository. The checkout action pulls changes down from the repository. In git, these two actions govern activity against the local repository with additional commands like push, pull (fetch and merge), and clone being available for interaction with remote repositories.

This section assumes the reader has already set up a basic SVN server. A link in the references provides simple instructions for building a local SVN server on CentOS7. The author used this procedure, with some basic modifications for Amazon Linux, for hosting on AWS EC2. It’s public URL is http://svn.njrusmc.net/ (the URL is dead at the time of this writing) for this demonstration. A repository called repo1 has been created on the server with a test user of nrusso with full read/write permissions.

The screenshots below show the basic username/password login and the blank repository. Do not continue until, at a minimum, you have achieved this functionality.

![Image of SVN login screen](attachment:image.png)

Figure 55: SVN Repository — Initial Login
The remainder of this section is focused on SVN client-side operations, where the author uses another Amazon Linux EC2 instance to represent a developer's workstation.

First, SVN must be installed using the command below. Like git, it is a relatively small program with a few small dependencies. Last, ensure the `svn` command is in your path, which should happen automatically.

```
[root@devbox ec2-user]# yum install subversion
```

Loaded plugins: amazon-id, rhui-lb, search-disabled-repos

```
Installed:
    subversion.x86_64 0:1.7.14-14.el7
```

Complete!

```
[root@devbox ec2-user]# which svn
/bin/svn
```

Use the command below to checkout (similar to git's pull or clone) the empty repository built on the SVN server. The author put little effort into securing this environment, as evidenced by using HTTP and without any data protection on the server itself. Production repositories would likely not see the authentication warning below.

```
[root@devbox ~]# svn co --username nrusso http://svn.njrusc.net/svn/repo1 repo1
```

Authentication realm: <http://svn.njrusc.net:80> SVN Repos
Password for 'nrusso':

```
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
ATTENTION! Your password for authentication realm:
  [snip password warning]
Checked out revision 0.

The SVN system will automatically create a directory called "repo1" in the working directory where the SVN checkout was performed. There are no version-controlled files in it, since the repository has no code yet.

```
[root@devbox ~]# ls -l repo1/
```

```
total 0
```

Next, change to this repository directory and look at the repository information. There is nothing particularly interesting, but it is handy in case you forget the URL or current revision.

```
[root@devbox ~]# cd repo1/
```
Next, create a file. The author created a simple but highly suboptimal exponentiation function using recursion in Python. A few test cases are included at the end of the file. The name of the Python file below is *svn_test.py*.

```python
#!/bin/python

def pow(base, exponent):
    if exponent == 0:
        return 1
    else:
        return base * pow(base, exponent - 1)

print('2^4 is {}'.format(pow(2, 4)))
print('3^5 is {}'.format(pow(3, 5)))
print('4^6 is {}'.format(pow(4, 6)))
print('5^7 is {}'.format(pow(5, 7)))
```

Quickly test the code by executing it with the command below (not that the mathematical correctness matters for this demonstration).

```bash
[root@devbox repo1]# python svn_test.py
2^4 is 16
3^5 is 243
4^6 is 4096
5^7 is 78125
```

Like git, SVN has a *status* option. The question mark next to the new Python files suggests SVN does not know what this file is. In git terms, it is an untracked file that needs to be added to the version control system.

```bash
[root@devbox repo1]# svn status
? svn_test.py
```

The SVN *add* command is somewhat similar to git *add* with the exception that files are only added once. In git, *add* moves files from the working directory to the staging area. In SVN, *add* moves untracked files into a tracked status. The *A* at the beginning of the line indicates the file was added.

```bash
[root@devbox repo1]# svn add svn_test.py
A svn_test.py
```

In case you missed the output above, you can use the *status* command (st is a built-in alias) to verify that the file was added.

```bash
[root@devbox repo1]# svn st
A svn_test.py
```

The last step involves the *commit* action to push changes to the SVN repository. The output indicates we are now on version 1.
[root@devbox repo1]# svn commit svn_test.py -m"python recursive exponent function"
Adding svn_test.py
Transmitting file data.
Committed revision 1.

The SVN status shows no changes. This similar to a git “clean working directory” but is implicit given the lack of output.

[root@devbox repo1]# svn st
[root@devbox repo1]#

Below are screenshots of the repository as viewed from a web browser. Now, our new file is present.

Figure 57: SVN Repository — Files Present

As in most git-based repository systems with GUIs, such as Github or Gitlab, you can click on the file to see its contents. While this version of SVN server is a simple Apache2-based, no-frills implementation, this feature still works. Clicking on the hyperlink reveals the source code contained in the file.

```
#!/bin/python

def pow(base, exponent):
    if(exponent == 0):  
        return 1  
    else:
        return base * pow(base, exponent - 1)

print('2^4 is {}'.format(pow(2, 4)))
print('4^6 is {}'.format(pow(4, 6)))
print('5^7 is {}'.format(pow(5, 7)))
print('6^8 is {}'.format(pow(6, 8)))
```

Figure 58: SVN Repository — Viewing Code

Next, make some changes to the file. In this case, remove one test case and add a new one. Verify the changes were saved.
SVN status now reports the file as modified, similar to git. Use the `diff` command to view the changes. Plus signs (+) and minus signs (-) are used to indicate additions and deletions, respectively.

Unlike git, there is no staging area, so the `add` command used again fails. The file is already under version control and so can be directly committed to the repository.

Using the built-in `ci` alias for `commit`, push the changes to the repository. The current code version is incremented to 2.

To view log entries, use the `update` command first to bring changes from the remote repository into our workspace. This ensures that the subsequent `log` command works correctly, similar to git’s `log` command. Using the verbose option, one can see all of the relevant history for these code modifications.
The table that follows briefly compares the git and SVN version control systems. One is not better than the other; they are simply different. Tools like git is best suited for highly technical, distributed teams where local version control and frequent offline development occurs. SVN is generally simpler and it is easier to do simple tasks, such as manager a single-branch repository with checkout and commit actions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Git</th>
<th>Subversion (SVN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General design</td>
<td>Distributed; local and remote repo</td>
<td>Centralized; central repo only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging area?</td>
<td>Yes; can split work across commits</td>
<td>No, commit means push</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning curve</td>
<td>Hard; many commands to learn</td>
<td>Easy; fewer moving pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching and merging</td>
<td>Easy, simple, and fast</td>
<td>Complex and laborious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions</td>
<td>None; SHA1 commit IDs instead</td>
<td>Simple numbers; easy for non-techs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directory support</td>
<td>Tracks only files, not directories</td>
<td>Tracks directories (empty ones too)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data tracked</td>
<td>Content of the files</td>
<td>Files themselves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows support</td>
<td>Generally poor</td>
<td>Tortoise SVN plugin is a good option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Git and SVN Comparison
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3 Internet of Things

3.1 IoT Technology Stack

IoT, sometimes called Internet of Everything (IoE), is a concept that many non-person entities (NPEs) or formerly non-networked devices in the world would suddenly be networked. This typically includes things like window blinds, light bulbs, water treatment plant sensors, home heating/cooling units, street lights, and anything else that could be remotely controlled or monitored. The business drivers for IoT are substantial: electrical devices (like lights and heaters) could consume less energy by being smartly adjusted based on changing conditions, window blinds can open and close based on the luminosity of a room, and chemical levels can be adjusted in a water treatment plant by networked sensors. These are all real-life applications of IoT and network automation in general.

The term Low-power and Lossy Networks (LLN) is commonly used in the IoT space since it describes the vast majority of IoT networks. LLNs have the following basic characteristics (incomplete list):

1. Bandwidth constraints
2. Highly unreliable
3. Limited resources (power, CPU, and memory)
4. Extremely high scale (hundreds of millions and possibly more)

Recently, the term Operational Technology (OT) has been introduced within the context of IoT. OT is described by Gartner as hardware and software that detects or causes a change through the direct monitoring and/or control of physical devices, processes and events in the enterprise. OT encompasses the technologies that organizations use to operate their businesses.

For example, a manufacturer has expensive machines, many of which use custom protocols and software to operate. These machines have historically not been tied into the Information Technology (IT) networking equipment that network engineers typically manage. The concept of IT/OT convergence is made possible by new developments in IoT. One benefit, as it pertains to the manufacturing example, helps enhance “just in time” production. Market data from IT systems tied into the Material Requirement Planning (MRP) system causes production to occur only based on actual sales/demand, not a long-term forecast. The result is a reduction in inventories (raw material, work in process, and finished goods), lead time, and overall costs for a plant.

IoT combines a number of emerging technologies into its generalized network architecture. The architecture consists primarily of four layers:

1. **Data center (DC) Cloud:** Although not a strict requirement, the understanding that a public cloud infrastructure exists to support IoT is a common one. A light bulb manufacturer could partner with a networking vendor to develop network-addressable light bulbs which are managed from a custom application running in the public cloud. This might be better than a private cloud solution since, if the application is distributed, regionalized instances could be deployed in geographically dispersed areas using an “anycast” design for scalability and performance improvements. As such, public cloud is generally assumed to be the DC presence for IoT networks.

2. **Core Networking and Services:** This could be a number of transports to connect the public cloud to the sensors. The same is true for any connection to public cloud, in reality, since even businesses need to consider the manner in which they connect to public cloud. The primary three options (private WAN, IXP, or Internet VPN) were discussed in the Cloud section. The same options apply here. A common set of technologies/services seen within this layer include IP, MPLS, mobile packet core, QoS, multicast, security, network services, hosted cloud applications, big data, and centralized device management (such as a network operations facility).

3. **Multi-service Edge (access network):** Like most SP networks, the access technologies tend to vary greatly based on geography, cost, and other factors. Access networks can be optically-based to provide Ethernet handoffs to IoT devices; this would make sense for relatively large devices that...
would have Ethernet ports and would be generally immobile. Mobile devices, or those that are small or remote, might use cellular technologies such as 2G, 3G, or 4G/LTE for wireless backhaul to the closest POP. A combination of the two could be used by extending Ethernet to a site and using 802.11 Wi-Fi to connect the sensors to the WLAN. The edge network may require use of “gateways” as a short-term solution for bridging (potentially non-IP) IoT networks into traditional IP networks. The gateways come with an associated high CAPEX and OPEX since they are custom devices to solve a very specific use-case. Specifically, gateways are designed to perform some subset of the following functions, according to Cisco:

(a) **Map semantics between two heterogeneous domains:** The word semantics in this context refers to the way in which two separate networks operate and how each network interprets things. If the embedded systems network is a transparent radio mesh using a non-standard set of protocols while the multi-service edge uses IP over cellular, the gateway is responsible for “presenting” common interfaces to both networks. This allows devices in both networks to communicate using a “language” that is common to each.

(b) **Perform translation in terms of routing, QoS security, management, etc:** These items are some concrete examples of semantics. An appropriate analogy for IP networkers is stateless NAT64; an inside-local IPv4 host must send traffic to some outside-local IPv4 address which represents an outside-global IPv6 address. The source of that packet becomes an IPv6 inside-global address so that the IPv6 destination can properly reply.

(c) **Do more than just protocol changes:** The gateways serve as interworking devices between architectures at an architectural level. The gateways might have a mechanism for presenting network status/health between layers, and more importantly, be able to fulfill their architectural role in ensuring end-to-end connectivity across disparate network types.

4. **Embedded Systems (Smart Things Network):** This layer represents the host devices themselves. They can be wired or wireless, smart or less smart, or any other classification that is useful to categorize an IoT component. Often times, such devices support zero-touch provisioning (ZTP) which helps with the initial deployment of massive-scale IoT deployments. For static components, these components are literally embedded in the infrastructure and should be introduced during the construction of a building, factory, hospital, etc. These networks are rather stochastic (meaning that behavior can be unpredictable). The author classifies wireless devices into three general categories which help explain what kind of RF-level transmission methods are most sensible:

(a) **Long range:** Some devices may be placed very far from their RF base stations/access points and could potentially be highly mobile. Smart automobiles are a good example of this; such devices are often equipped with cellular radios, such as 4G/LTE. Such an option is not optimal for supporting LLNs given the cost of radios and power required to run them. To operate a private cellular network, the RF bands must be licensed (in the USA, at least), which creates an expensive and difficult barrier for entry.

(b) **Short range with “better” performance:** Devices that are within a local area, such as a building, floor of a large building, or courtyard area, could potentially use unlicensed frequency bands while transmitting at low power. These devices could be CCTV sensors, user devices (phones, tablets, laptops, etc), and other general-purpose things whereby maximum battery life and cost savings are eclipsed by the need for superior performance. IEEE 802.11 Wi-Fi is commonly used in such environments. IEEE 802.16 WiMAX could also be used but, in the author’s experience, it is rare.

(c) **Short range with “worse” performance:** Many IoT devices fall into this final category whereby the device itself has a very small set of tasks it must perform, such as sending a small burst of data when an event occurs (i.e., some nondescript sensor). Devices are expected to be installed one time, rarely maintained, procured/operated at low cost, and be value-engineered to perform a limited number of functions. These devices are less commonly deployed in home environments since many homes have Wi-Fi; they are more commonly seen spread across cities.
Examples might include street lights, sprinklers, and parking/ticketing meters. IEEE has defined 802.15.4 to support low-rate wireless personal area networks (LR-PANS) which is used for many such IoT devices. Note that 802.15.4 is the foundation for upper-layer protocols such as ZigBee and WirelessHART. ZigBee, for example, is becoming popular in homes to network some IoT devices, such as thermostats, which may not support Wi-Fi in their hardware.

IEEE 802.15.4 is worth a brief discussion by itself. Unlike Wi-Fi, all nodes are “full-function” and can act as both hosts and routers; this is typical for mesh technologies. A device called a PAN coordinator is analogous to a Wi-Fi access point (WAP) which connects the PAN to the wired infrastructure; this technically qualifies the PAN coordinator as a “gateway” discussed earlier.

3.1.1 IoT Network Hierarchy

The basic IoT architecture is depicted in the diagram that follows.

![IoT Network Architecture](image)

Figure 59: IoT Network Architecture High Level

As a general comment, one IoT strategy is to “mesh under” and “route over”. This loosely follows the 7-layer OSI model by attempting to constrain layers 1 and 2 to the IoT network, to include RF networking and link-layer communications, then using some kind of IP overlay of sorts for network reachability. Additional details about routing protocols for IoT are discussed later in this document.

The mobility of an IoT device is going to be largely determined by its access method. Devices that are on 802.11 Wi-Fi within a factory will likely have mobility through the entire factory, or possibly the entire complex, but will not be able to travel large geographic distances. For some specific manufacturing work carts (containing tools, diagnostic measurement machines, etc), this might be an appropriate method. Devices connected via 4G LTE will have greater mobility but will likely represent something that isn’t supposed to be constrained to the factory, such as a service truck or van. Heavy machinery bolted to the factory floor might be wired since it is immobile.

Migrating to IoT need not be swift. For example, consider an organization which is currently running a virtual private cloud infrastructure with some critical in-house applications in their private cloud. All remaining commercial applications are in the public cloud. Assume this public cloud is hosted locally by an ISP and is connected via an MPLS L3VPN extranet into the corporate VPN. If this corporation owns a large manufacturing company and wants to begin deploying various IoT components, it can begin with the large and immobile pieces.
The multi-service edge (access) network from the regional SP POP to the factory likely already supports Ethernet as an access technology, so devices can use that for connectivity. Over time, a corporate WLAN can be extended for 802.11 Wi-Fi capable devices. Assuming this organization is not deploying a private 4G/5G LTE network, sensors can immediately be added using cellular as well. Power line communication (PLC) technologies for transmitting data over existing electrical infrastructure can also be used at this tier in the architecture. The migration strategy towards IoT is very similar to adding new remote branch sites, except the number of hosts could be very large. The LAN, be it wired or wireless, still must be designed correctly to support all of the devices.

Environment impacts are especially important for IoT given the scale of devices deployed. Although wireless technologies become more resilient over time, they remain susceptible to interference and other natural phenomena which can degrade network connectivity. Some wireless technologies are even impacted by rain, a common occurrence in many parts of the world. The significance of this with IoT is to consider when to use wired or wireless communications for a sensor. Some sensors may even be able to support multiple communication styles in an active/standby design. As is true in most networks, resilient design is important in ensuring that IoT-capable devices are operable.

3.1.2 Data Acquisition and Flow

Understanding data flow through an IoT network requires tracing all of the communication steps from the sensors in the field up to the business applications in the private data center or cloud. This is best explained with an example to help solidify the high-level IoT architecture discussed in the previous section.

Years ago, the author worked in a factory as a product quality assurance (QA) technician for a large radio manufacturer. The example is based on a true story with some events altered to better illustrate the relevance to IoT data flow.

Stationed immediately after final assembly, newly-built products were tested within customized test fixtures to ensure proper operation. The first series of tests, for example, measured transmit power output, receiver sensitivity, and other core radio functions. The next series required radios to be secured to a large machine which would apply shock and vibration treatment to the radios, ensuring they could tolerate the harsh treatment. The final series consisted of environmental testing conducted in a temperature-controlled chamber. The machine tested very hot temperatures, very cold temperatures, and ambient temperature. Products had to pass all tests to be considered of satisfactory quality for customer shipment.

None of this equipment was Ethernet or IP enabled, yet still had to report test data back to a centralized system. This served a short term purpose of tracking defects to redirect defective products to the rework area. It also was useful in the long-term to identify trends relating to faulty product design or testing procedures. All of this equipment is considered OT.

The test equipment described above is like an IoT sensor; it performs specific measurements and reports the data back upstream. The first device in the path is an IoT gateway, discussed in the previous section, which collects data from many sensors. The gateway is responsible for reducing the data sent further upstream. As such, a gateway is an aggregation node for many sensors. For example, if 1 out of each 100 products fail QA, providing all relevant data about the failed test is useful, but perhaps only a summary report about the other 99 is sufficient. In this example, the IoT gateway was located in the plant and connected into the corporate IT network. The gateway was, in effect, an IT/OT interworking device. A Cisco IR 819 or IR 829 router is an example of a gateway device. Additional intelligence (filtering, aggregation, processing, etc.) could be added via fog/edge computing resources collocated with the IoT gateway.

The gateway passes data to a broker, such as a Cisco Kinetic Edge and Fog Module (EFM) broker. This device facilitates communication between the IoT network as a whole and the business applications. Thus, the broker is another level of aggregation above the gateway as many gateways communicate to it. The broker serves as an entry point (i.e., an API) for developers using Kinetic EFM to tie into their IoT networks through the gateways. Please see the “fog computing” section for more information on this solution, as it also includes database functionality suited for IoT.
The data collected by the sensors can now be consumed by manufacturing business applications, using the story described earlier. At its most benign, the information presented to business leaders may just be used for reporting, followed by human-effected downstream adjustments. For example, industrial engineers may adjust the tolerance of a specific machine after noticing some anomalies with the data.

A more modern approach to IoT would be using the business application’s intelligence to issue these commands automatically. Higher technology machines can often times adjust themselves, and sometimes without human intervention. The test equipment on the plant floor could be adjusted without the factory employees needing to halt production to perform these tasks. The modifications could be pushed from the business application down through the broker and gateway to each individual device, but more commonly, an actor (opposite of a sensor) is used for this purpose. The actor IoT devices do not monitor anything about the environment, but serve to make adjustments to sensors based on business needs.

The diagram that follows depicts a flow diagram of the IoT components and data flow across the IoT architecture. For consistency, the same IoT high level diagram is shown again but with additional details to support the aforementioned example.

![IoT Network Architecture With Example](image)

Figure 60: IoT Network Architecture With Example

### 3.2 IoT standards and protocols

Several new protocols have been introduced specifically for IoT, some of which are standardized:

1. **RPL — IPv6 Routing Protocol for LLNs (RFC 6550):** RPL is a distance-vector routing protocol specifically designed to support IoT. At a high-level, RPL is a combination of control-plane and forwarding logic of three other technologies: regular IP routing, multi-topology routing (MTR), and MPLS traffic-engineering (MPLS TE). RPL is similar to regular IP routing in that directed acyclic graphs (DAG) are created through the network. This is a fancy way of saying “loop-free shortest path” between two points. These DAGs can be “colored” into different topologies which represent different network characteristics, such as high bandwidth or low latency. This forwarding paradigm is similar to MTR in concept. Last, traffic can be assigned to a colored DAG based on administratively-defined constraints, including node state, node energy, hop count, throughput, latency, reliability, and color (administrative preference). This is similar to MPLS TE’s constrained shortest path first (CSPF) process which is used for defining administrator-defined paths through a network based on a set of constraints, which might have technical and/or business drivers behind them.

2. **6LoWPAN — IPv6 over Low Power WPANs (RFC 4944):** This technology was specifically developed
to be an adaptation layer for IPv6 for IEEE 802.15.4 wireless networks. Specifically, it “adapts” IPv6 to work over LLNs which encompasses many functions:

(a) **MTU correction:** The minimum MTU for IPv6 across a link, as defined in RFC2460, is 1280 bytes. The maximum MTU for IEEE 802.15.4 networks is 127 bytes. Clearly, no value can mathematically satisfy both conditions concurrently. 6LoWPAN performs fragmentation and re-assembly by breaking the large IPv6 packets into IEEE 802.15.4 frames for transmission across the wireless network.

(b) **Header compression:** Many compression techniques are stateful and CPU-hungry. This strategy would not be appropriate for low-cost LLNs, so 6LoWPAN utilizes an algorithmic (stateless) mechanism to reduce the size of the IPv6 header and, if present, the UDP header. RFC4944 defines some common assumptions:

   i. The version is always IPv6.
   ii. Both source and destination addresses are link-local.
   iii. The low-order 64-bits of the link-local addresses can be derived from the layer-2 network addressing in an IEEE 802.15.4 wireless network.
   iv. The packet length can be derived from the layer-2 header.
   v. Next header is always ICMP, TCP, or UDP.
   vi. Flow label and traffic class are always zero.
   vii. As an example, an IPv6 header (40 bytes) and a UDP header (8 bytes) are 48 bytes long when concatenated. This can be compressed down to 7 bytes by 6LoWPAN.

(c) **Mesh routing:** Somewhat similar to Wi-Fi, mesh networking is possible, but requires up to 4 unique addresses. The original source/destination addresses can be retained in a new “mesh header” while the per-hop source/destination addresses are written to the MAC header.

(d) **MAC level retransmissions:** IP was designed to be fully stateless and any retransmission or flow control was the responsibility of upper-layer protocols, such as TCP. When using 6LoWPAN, retransmissions can occur at layer-2.

3. **CoAP — Constrained Application Protocol (RFC7252):** At a high-level, CoAP is very similar to HTTP in terms of the capabilities it provides. It is used to support the transfer of application data using common methods such as GET, POST, PUT, and DELETE. CoAP runs over UDP port 5683 by default (5684 for secure CoAP) and was specifically designed to be lighter weight and faster than HTTP. Like the other IoT protocols, CoAP is designed for LLNs, and more specifically, to support machine-to-machine communications. Despite CoAP being designed for maximum efficiency, it is not a general replacement for HTTP. It only supports a subset of HTTP capabilities and should only be used within IoT environments. To interwork with HTTP, one can deploy an HTTP/CoAP proxy as a “gateway” device between the multi-service edge and smart device networks. CoAP has a number of useful features and characteristics:

   (a) **Supports multicast:** Because it is UDP-based, IP multicast is possible. This can be used both for application discovery (in lieu of DNS) or efficient data transfer.

   (b) **Built-in security:** CoAP supports using datagram TLS (DTLS) with both pre-shared key and digital certificate support. As mentioned earlier, CoAP DTLS uses UDP port 5684.

   (c) **Small header:** The CoAP overhead adds only 4 bytes.

   (d) **Fast response:** When a client sends a CoAP GET to a server, the requested data is immediately returned in an ACK message, which is the fastest possible data exchange.

4. **Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (ISO/IEC 20922:2016):** MQTT is, in a sense, the predecessor of CoAP in that it was created in 1999 and was specifically designed for lightweight, web-based,
machine-to-machine communications. Like HTTP, it relies on TCP, except uses ports 1883 and 8883 for plain-text and TLS communications, respectively. Being based on TCP also implies a client/server model, similar to HTTP, but not necessary like CoAP. Compared to CoAP, MQTT is losing traction given the additional benefits specific to modern IoT networks that CoAP offers.

The table that follows briefly compares CoAP, MQTT, and HTTP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CoAP</th>
<th>MQTT</th>
<th>HTTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport and ports</strong></td>
<td>UDP 5683/5684</td>
<td>TCP 1883/1889</td>
<td>TCP 80/443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Security support</strong></td>
<td>DTLS via PSK/PKI</td>
<td>TLS via PSK/PKI</td>
<td>TLS via PSK/PKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multicast support</strong></td>
<td>Yes, but no encryption support yet</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lightweight</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standardized</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No; in progress</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rich feature set</strong></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: IoT Transport Protocol Comparison

Because IoT is so different than traditional networking, it is worth examining some of the layer-1 and layer-2 protocols relevant to IoT. One common set of physical layer enhancements that found traction in the IoT space are power line communication (PLC) technologies. PLCs enable data communications transfer over power lines and other electrical infrastructure devices. Two examples of PLC standards are discussed below:

1. **IEEE 1901.2–2013**: This specification allows for up to 500 kbps of data transfer across alternating current, direct current, and non-energized electric power lines. Smart grid applications used to operate and maintain municipal electrical delivery systems can rely on the existing power line infrastructure for limited data communications.

2. **HomePlug GreenPHY**: This technology is designed for home appliances such as refrigerators, stoves (aka ranges), microwaves, and even plug-in electric vehicles (PEV). The technology allows devices to be integrated with existing smart grid applications, similar to IEEE 1901.2–2013 discussed above. The creator of this technology says that GreenPHY is a “manufacturer’s profile” of the IEEE specification, and suggests that interworking is seamless.

Ethernet has become ubiquitous in most networks. Originally designed for LAN communications, it is spreading into the WAN via “Carrier Ethernet” and into data center storage network via “Fiber Channel over Ethernet”, to name a few examples. In IoT, new “Industrial Ethernet” standards are challenging older “field bus” standards. The author describes some of the trade-offs between these two technology sets below.

1. **Field bus**: Seen as a legacy family of technologies by some, field bus networks are still widely deployed in industrial environments. This is partially due to its incumbency and the fact that many endpoints on the network have interfaces that support various field bus protocols (MODBUS, CANBUS, etc). Field bus networks are economical as transmitting power over them is easier than power over Ethernet. Field bus technologies are less sensitive to electrical noise, have greater physical range without repeaters (copper Ethernet is limited to about 100 meters), and provide determinism to help keep machine communications synchronized.

2. **Industrial Ethernet**: To overcome the lack of deterministic and reliable transport of traditional Ethernet within the industrial sector, a variety of Ethernet-like protocols have been created. Two examples include EtherCAT and PROFINET. While speeds of industrial Ethernet are much slower than modern Ethernet (10 Mbps to 1 Gbps), these technologies introduce deterministic data transfer. In summary,
these differences allow for accurate and timely delivery of traffic at slower speeds, compared to accurate and fast delivery at indeterminate times. Last, the physical connectors are typically ruggedized to further protect them in industrial environments.

Standardization must also consider Government regulation. Controlling access and identifying areas of responsibility can be challenging with IoT. Cisco provides the following example: For example, Smart Traffic Lights where there are several interested parties such as Emergency Services (User), Municipality (owner), Manufacturer (Vendor). Who has provisioning access? Who accepts Liability?

There is more than meets the eye with respect to standards and compliance for street lights. Most municipalities (such as counties or townships within the United States) have ordinances that dictate how street lighting works. The light must be a certain color, must not “trespass” into adjacent streets, must not negatively affect homeowners on that street, etc. This complicates the question above because the lines become blurred between organizations rather quickly. In cases like this, the discussions must occur between all stakeholders, generally chaired by a Government/company representative (depending on the consumer/customer), to draw clear boundaries between responsibilities.

Radio frequency (RF) spectrum is a critical point as well. While Wi-Fi can operate in the 2.4 GHz and 5.0 GHz bands without a license, there are no unlicensed 4G LTE bands at the time of this writing. Deploying 4G LTE capable devices on an existing carrier’s network within a developed country may not be a problem. Deploying 4G LTE in developing or undeveloped countries, especially if 4G LTE spectrum is tightly regulated but poorly accessible, can be a challenge.

### 3.3 IoT security

Providing security and privacy for IoT devices is challenging mostly due to the sheer size of the access network and supported clients (IoT devices). Similar best practices still apply as they would for normal hosts except for needing to work in a massively scalable and distributed network. The best practices also take into account the computational constraints of IoT devices to the greatest extent possible:

1. Use IEEE 802.1x for wired and wireless authentication for all devices. This is normally tied into a Network Access Control (NAC) architecture which authorizes a set of permissions per device.
2. Encrypt wired and wireless traffic using MACsec/IPsec as appropriate.
3. Maintain physical accounting of all devices, especially small ones, to prevent theft and reverse engineering.
4. Do not allow unauthorized access to sensors; ensure remote locations are secure also.
5. Provide malware protection for sensors so that the compromise of a single sensor is detected quickly and suppressed.
6. Rely on cloud-based threat analysis (again, assumes cloud is used) rather than a distributed model given the size of the IoT access network and device footprint. Sometimes this extension of the cloud is called the “fog” and encompasses other things that produce and act on IoT data.

Another discussion point on the topic of security is determining how/where to “connect” an IoT network. This is going to be determined based on the business needs, as always, but the general logic is similar to what traditional corporate WANs use. Note that the terms “producer-oriented” and “consumer-oriented” are creations of the author and exist primarily to help explain IoT concepts.

1. **Fully private connections**: Some IoT networks have no need to be accessible via the public Internet. Such examples would include Government sensor networks which may be deployed in a battlefield support capacity. More common examples might include Cisco’s “Smart Grid” architecture which is used for electricity distribution and management within a city. Exposing such a critical resource to a highly insecure network offers little value since the public works department can likely control it from a dedicated NOC. System updates can be performed in-house and the existence of the IoT
network can be (and often times, should be) largely unknown by the general population. In general, IoT networks that fall into this category are “producer-oriented” networks. While Internet-based VPNs (discussed next) could be less expensive than private transports, not all IoT devices can support the high computing requirements needed for IPsec. Additionally, some security organizations still see the Internet as too dirty for general transport and would prefer private, isolated solutions.

2. Public Internet: Other IoT networks are designed to have their information shared or made public between users. One example might be a managed thermostat service; users can log into a web portal hosted by the service provider to check their home heating/cooling statistics, make changes, pay bills, request refunds, submit service tickets, and the like. Other networks might be specifically targeted to sharing information publicly, such as fitness watches that track how long an individual exercises. The information could be posted publicly and linked to one’s social media page so others can see it. A more practical and useful example could include public safety information via a web portal hosted by the Government. In general, IoT networks that fall into this category are “consumer-oriented” networks. Personal devices, such as fitness watches, are more commonly known within the general population, and they typically use Wi-Fi for transport.

The topics in this section, thus far, have been on generic IoT security considerations and solutions. Cisco identifies three core IoT security challenges and their associated high-level solutions. These challenges are addressed by the Cisco IoT Threat Defense, which is designed to protect IoT environments, reducing downtime and business disruption.

1. Antiquated equipment and technology: Cisco recommends using improved visibility to help secure aging systems. Many legacy technologies use overly-simplistic network protocols and strategies to communicate. The author personally worked with electronic test equipment which used IP broadcasts to communicate. Because this test equipment needed to report to a central manager for calibration and measurement reporting, all of these components were placed into a common VLAN, and this VLAN was supposed to be dedicated only to this test equipment. Due to poor visibility (and convenience), other devices unrelated to the test equipment were connected to this VLAN and therefore forced to process the IP broadcasts. Being able to see this poor design and its inherent security risks is the first step towards fixing it. To paraphrase Cisco: Do not start with the firewall, start with visibility. You cannot begin segmentation until you know what is on your network.

2. Insecure design: Cisco recommends using access control to segment the network and isolate devices, systems, or networks to contain any security breaches between unrelated activities. For example, a small manufacturer may operate in a single plant where all the fabrication activities that feed assembly are located near one another. For industrial engineers skilled in production engineering but unskilled in network engineering, a “flat” network with all devices connected seems like an appropriate business decision. Suppose that the cutting specifications on one of the milling machines was maliciously adjusted. Then, using the machine as a launch point, the attacker changed the tooling in assembly accordingly so that the defective part still fit snugly into the final product. The manufacturer is unaware of the problem until the customer receives the product, only to discover a defect. In summary, use “the principle of least privilege” in building communications streams between devices only as necessary.

3. Lack of OT security skills: Cisco recommends advancing the IT/OT convergence effort to address this issue. The previous two examples could be considered derivatives of this one. By intelligently and securely combining IT and OT within an organization, many of the relatively modern technologies used within IT can be leveraged within the OT environment. In addition to the business benefits discussed earlier, IT/OT converge can increase security for the OT environment at low cost. It obviates the need to deploy OT-specific security tools and solutions with a separate OT security team.

The following Cisco products form the basis of the Cisco IoT Threat Defense solution set:

1. Identity Services Engine (ISE): Profiles devices and creates IoT group policies
2. Stealthwatch: Baselines traffic and detects unusual activity
3. Next-generation Firewall (NGFW): Identifies and blocks malicious traffic
4. Umbrella: Analyzes DNS and web traffic
5. Catalyst 9000 switches: Enforce segmentation and containment policies (via Trustsec)
6. AnyConnect: Protects remote devices from threats off-net. NGFW and ISE team up to protect against remote threats and place remote users in the proper groups with proper permissions

3.4 IoT Edge and Fog Computing

A new term which is becoming more popular in the IoT space is “fog” computing. It is sometimes referred to as “edge” computing outside of Cisco environments, which is a more self-explanatory term. Fog computing distributes storage, compute, and networking from a centralized cloud environment closer to the users where a given service is being consumed. The drivers for edge computing typically revolve around performance, notably latency reduction, as content is closer to users. The concept is somewhat similar to Content Distribution Networking (CDN) in that users should not need to reach back to a small number of remote, central sites to consume a service.

Cisco defines fog computing as an architecture that extends the Compute, Networking, and Storage capabilities from the Cloud to the Edge of IoT networks. The existence of fog computing is driven, in large part, by the shift in dominant endpoints. Consumer products such as laptops, phones, and tablets are designed primarily for human-to-human or human-to-machine interactions. Enterprise and OT products such as sensors, smart objects, and clustered systems primarily use machine-to-machine communications between one another and/or their controllers, such as an MRP system. As such, many of these OT products deployed far away from the cloud need to communicate directly, and in a timely, secure, and reliable fashion. Having compute, network, and storage resources closer to these lines of communication helps achieve these goals.

Fog computing is popular in IoT environments not just for performance reasons, but consumer convenience. Wearing devices that are managed/tethered to other personally owned devices are a good example. Some examples might be smart watches, smart calorie trackers, smart heart monitors, and other wearable devices that “register” to a user’s cell phone or laptop rather than a large aggregation machine in the cloud.

With respect to cost reduction when deploying a new service, comparing “cloud” versus “fog” can be challenging and should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. If the cost of backbone bandwidth from the edge to the cloud is expensive, then fog computing might be affordable despite needing a capital investment in distributed compute, storage, and networking. If transit bandwidth and cloud services are cheap while distributed compute/storage resources are expensive, then fog computing is likely a poor choice. That is to say, fog computing will typically be more expensive that cloud centralization.

Finally, it is worth noting the endless cycle between the push to centralize and decentralize. Many technical authors (Russ White in particular) have noted this recurring phenomenon dating back many decades. From the mainframe to the PC to the cloud to the fog, the pendulum continues to swing. The most realistic and supportable solution is one that embraces both extremes, as well as moderate approaches, deploying the proper solutions to meet the business needs. A combination of cloud and fog, as suggested by Cisco and others in the IoT space, is likely to be the most advantageous solution.

3.4.1 Data Aggregation

Data aggregation in IoT is a sizable topic with a broad range of techniques across the layers of the OSI model. Cisco states that data filtering, aggregation, and compression are performed at the edge, in the fog, or at the center. Aggregation of data is a scaling technique that reduces the amount of traffic transmitted over the network, often times to conserve bandwidth, power, and storage requirements. A simple example includes logging. If hundreds of sensors are all in a healthy state, and report this in their regular updates, a middle-tier collector could send a single message upstream to claim “All the sensors from my last update
are still valid. There is nothing new to report.”

Because IoT devices are often energy constrained, much of the data aggregation research has been placed in the physical layer protocols and designs around them. The remainder of this section discusses many of these physical layer protocols/methods and compares them. Many of these protocols seek to maximize the network lifetime, which is the elapsed time until the first node fails due to power loss.

1. **Direct transmission:** In this design, there is no aggregation or clustering of nodes. All nodes send their traffic directly back to the base station. This simple solution is appropriate if the coverage area is small or it is electrically expensive to receive traffic, implying that a minimal hop count is beneficial.

2. **Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy (LEACH):** LEACH introduces the concept of a “cluster”, which is a collection of nodes in close proximity for the purpose of aggregation. A cluster head (CH) is selected probabilistically within each cluster and serves as an aggregation node. All other nodes in the cluster send traffic to the CH, which communicates upstream to the base station. This relatively long-haul transmission consumes more energy, so rotating the CH role is advantageous to the network as a whole. Last, it supports some local processing/aggregation of data to reduce traffic sent upstream (which consumes energy). Compared to direct transmission, LEACH prolongs network lifetime and reduces energy consumption.

3. **LEACH-Centralized (LEACH-C):** This protocol modifies LEACH by centralizing the CH selection process. All nodes report location and energy to the base station, which finds average of energy levels. Those with above average remaining energy levels in each cluster are selected as CH. The base station also notifies all other nodes of this decision. The CH may not change at regular intervals (rounds) since the CH selection is more deliberate than with LEACH. LEACH distributes the CH role between nodes in a probabilistic (randomized) fashion, whereby LEACH-C relies on the base station to make this determination. The centralization comes at an energy cost since all nodes are transmitting their current energy status back to the base station between rounds. The logic of the base station itself also becomes more complex with LEACH-C compared to LEACH.

4. **Threshold-sensitive Energy Efficiency Network (TEEN):** This protocol differs from LEACH in that it is reactive, not proactive. The radio stays off unless there is a significant change worth reporting. This implies there are no periodic transmissions, which saves energy. Similar to the LEACH family, each node becomes the CH for some time (cluster period) to distribute the energy burden of long-haul communication to the base station. If the trigger thresholds are not crossed, nodes have no reason to communicate. TEEN is excellent for intermittent, alert-based communications as opposed to routine communications. This is well suited for event-driven, time sensitive applications.

5. **Power Efficient Gathering in Sensor Info Systems (PEGASIS):** Unlike the LEACH and TEEN families, PEGASIS is a chain based protocol. Nodes are connected in round-robin fashion (a ring); data is sent only to a node’s neighbors, not to a CH within a cluster. These short transmission distances further minimize energy consumption. Rather than rotate the burdensome CH role, all nodes do a little more work at all times. Only one node communicates with the base station. This allows nodes to determine which other nodes are closest to them. Discovery is done by measuring the receive signal strength indicator (RSSI) of incoming radio signals to find the closest nodes. PEGASIS is optimized for dense networks.

6. **Minimum Transmission of Energy (MTE):** MTE is conceptually similar to PEGASIS in that it is a chain based protocol designed to minimize the energy required to communicate between nodes. In contrast with direct transmission, MTE assumes that the cost to receive traffic is low, and works well over long distances with sparse networks. MTE is more computationally complex than PEGASIS, again assuming that the energy cost of radio transmission is greater than the energy cost of local processing. This may be true in some environments, such as long-haul power line systems and interstate highway emergency phone booths.

7. **Static clustering:** Like the LEACH and TEEN families, static clustering requires creating geographically advantageous clusters for the purpose of transmission aggregation. This technique is static in
that the CH doesn’t rotate; it is statically configured by the network designer. This technique is useful in heterogeneous environments where the CH is known to be a higher energy node. Consider a simple, non-redundant example. Suppose that each building has 20 sensors, 1 of which is a more expensive variant with greater energy capacity. The network is heterogeneous because not all 20 nodes are the same, and thus statically identifying the most powerful node as the permanent CH may extend the network lifetime.

8. **Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering (DEEC):** Similar to static clustering, the DEEC family of protocols is designed for heterogeneous networks containing a mix of node types. DEEC introduces the concept of “normal” and “advanced;” nodes, with the latter having greater initial energy than the former. Initial energy is assigned to normal nodes, with a little more initial energy assigned to advanced nodes. CH selection is done based on whichever node has the largest initial energy. As such, advanced nodes are more likely to be selected as the CH.

9. **Developed DEEC (DDEEC):** A newer variant of DEEC, DDEEC addresses the concern that advanced nodes become CH more often, and will deplete their energy more rapidly. At some point, they’ll look like normal nodes, and should be treated as such as it relates to CH selection. Making a long-term CH decision based on initial energy levels is can reduce the overall network lifetime. DDEEC improves the CH selection process to consider initial and residual (remaining) energy in its calculation. Enhanced DEEC (EDEEC) further extends DDEEC by adding a third class of nodes, called “super” nodes, which have even greater initial energy than advanced nodes. Its operation is similar to DDEEC otherwise.

The chart that follows summarizes these protocols comparatively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Tx Target</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Used in</th>
<th>Network life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Tx</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Point-to-point links to BS</td>
<td>Send to BS independently</td>
<td>Homogenous</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEACH</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Proactive/Cluster</td>
<td>Distributed (random)</td>
<td>Homogenous</td>
<td>Good in general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEACH-C</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Proactive/Cluster</td>
<td>Centralized assignment</td>
<td>Homogenous</td>
<td>Good in general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEEN</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Reactive/Cluster</td>
<td>Threshold-based alerts</td>
<td>Homogenous</td>
<td>Great with few comms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGASIS</td>
<td>Neighbor</td>
<td>Greedy chain</td>
<td>Find closest node</td>
<td>Homogenous</td>
<td>Great with dense nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTE</td>
<td>Neighbor</td>
<td>Optimal chain</td>
<td>Find closest node</td>
<td>Homogenous</td>
<td>Great with sparse nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static clustering</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cluster</td>
<td>Manual CH configuration</td>
<td>Heterogenous</td>
<td>Variable, but usually poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEEC</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cluster</td>
<td>Distributed using initial energy only</td>
<td>Heterogenous</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDEEC/EDEEC</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cluster</td>
<td>Distributed using initial/residual energy</td>
<td>Heterogenous</td>
<td>Great</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: IoT Data Aggregation Protocol Comparison

Note that many of these protocols are still under extensive development, research, and testing.
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3.4.2 Edge Intelligence

Cisco products relevant to the fog computing space include small Wi-Fi/LTE routers (Cisco IR 819/829 series) and programmable RF gateways (IR910). These devices bring all the power of Cisco IOS software in a small form factor suitable for industrial applications. As with many IoT topics, demonstrating edge intelligence is best accomplished with a real-life example. Edge intelligence often refers to distributed analytics systems that can locally evaluate, reduce/aggregation, and act on sensor data to avoid expensive backhaul to a centralized site. It can also generically refer to any intelligent decision making at the network edge (where the sensors/users are), which is discussed in the example below.

The author personally used the IR 819 and IR 829 platforms in designing a large, distributed campus area network in an austere environment. The IR 819s were LTE-only and could be placed on vehicles or remote facilities within a few kilometers of the LTE base station. The IR 829s used LTE and Wi-Fi, with Wi-Fi being the preferred path. This allowed the vehicles equipped with IR 829s to use Wi-Fi for superior performance when they were very close to the base station (say, for refueling or resupply). Both the IR 819 and IR 829 equipped vehicles had plug-and-play Ethernet capability for when they were parked in the maintenance bay for software updates and other bandwidth-intensive operations.

An IPsec overlay secured with next-generation encryption provided strong protection, and running Cisco EIGRP ensured fast failover between the different transports. Using only IPv6, this network was fully dynamic and each remote IR 819 and IR 829 had the exact same configuration. The headend used IPv6 prefix delegation through DHCP to assign prefixes to each node. The mobile routers, in turn, used these delegated prefixes to seed their stateless address auto-configuration (SLAAC) processes for LAN clients. While the solution did not introduce fog/edge compute or storage technology, it brought an intelligent, dynamic, and scalable network to the most remote users. Future plans for the design included small, ruggedized third-party servers with IoT analytics software locally hosted to reduce gateway backhaul bandwidth requirements.

Cisco also has products to perform edge aggregation and analytics, such as Data in Motion (DMo). *DMo is a software technology that provides data management and first-order analysis at the edge.* DMo converts raw data to useful/actionable information for transmission upstream. The previous section discussed “Data aggregation” in greater detail, and DMo offers that capability. DMo is a virtual machine which has RESTful API support, encrypted transport options, and local caching capabilities.

Many IoT environments require a level of customization best suited for a business’ internal developers to build. Cisco’s Kinetic Edge and Fog Module (EFM) is a development platform that customers can use for operating and managing their IoT networks. *Kinetic EFM is a distributed microservices platform for acquiring telemetry, moving it, analyzing it while it’s moving and putting it to rest.* The solution follows these main steps as defined by Cisco:

1. **Extract data from its sources and makes it usable.**
2. **Compute data to transform it, apply rules, and perform distributed micro-processing from edge to endpoint.**
3. **Move data programmatically to the right applications at the right time.**

Furthermore, the solution has three main components:

1. **IoT message broker:** Utilizes publish/subscribe exchanges with endpoints. It has a small footprint and runs at the edge. It also supports various QoS levels to provide the correct treatment for applications.
2. **Link:** Synonymous with “microservice”. Many links already exist and are open source for Kinetic EFM developers to utilize. For more information on microservices, please review the “containers” section of this document.
3. **Historian (formerly ParStream)**: SQL style database which can scale massively for IoT. It has excellent performance and is well-suited to IoT architectures. The main drawback is that it only supports the INSERT operation, not transactional operations like UPDATE or DELETE. To rapidly retrieve information from the database, users have two options. One can send a query using the EFM as a query mechanism directly. Alternatively, one can form an Open Database Connectivity (ODBC) connection directly to the Historian database.

### 3.5 References and Resources

1. **Cisco IoT Security**
2. **Cisco IoT General Information**
3. **Cisco IoT Assessment**
4. **Cisco IoT Homepage**
5. **BRKCRS-2444: The Internet of Things**
6. **BRKSPG-2611 - IP Routing in Smart Object Networks**
7. **BRKIOT-2020 - The Evolution from Machine-to-Machine (M2M) to the Internet of Everything**
8. **DEVNET-1108 - Cisco Executives Discuss the Internet of Things**
9. **LEACH, PEGASIS, and TEEN Comparison**
10. **The DEEC Family of Protocols**
11. **RFC6550 - RPL**
12. **RFC4944 - 6LoWPAN (see RFC4919 for informational draft)**
13. **RFC7252 - CoAP**
14. **MQTT Website**
15. **MQTT Specification**
4 Blueprint v1.0 Legacy Topics

Topics in this section did not easily fit into the new blueprint. Rather than force them into the new blueprint where they likely do not belong, the content for these topics is retained in this section.

4.1 Cloud

4.1.1 Troubleshooting and Management

One of the fundamental tenets of managing a cloud network is automation. Common scripting languages, such as Python, can be used to automate a specific management task or set of tasks. Other network device management tools, such as Ansible, allow an administrator to create a custom script and execute it on many devices concurrently. This is one example of the method by which administrators can directly apply task automation in the workplace.

Troubleshooting a cloud network is often reliant on real-time network analytics. Collecting network performance statistics is not a new concept, but designing software to intelligently parse, correlate, and present the information in a human-readable format is becoming increasingly important to many businesses. With a good analytics engine, the NMS can move/provision flows around the network (assuming the network is both disaggregated and programmable) to resolve any problems. For problems that cannot be resolved automatically, the issues are brought to the administrator's attention using these engines. The administrator can use other troubleshooting tools or NMS features to isolate and repair the fault. Sometimes these analytics tools will export reports in YAML, JSON, or XML, which can be archived for reference. They can also be fed into in-house scripts for additional, business-specific analysis. Put simply, analytics reduces “data” into “actionable information”.

4.1.2 OpenStack components with PackStack Demonstration

Before discussing the OpenStack components, OpenStack’s background is discussed first. Although OpenStack seems similar to a hypervisor, it adds additional abstractions for virtual instances to reduce the management burden on administrators. OpenStack is part of the notion that technology is moving “from virtual Machines (VM) to APIs”. VMs allow users to dynamically instantiate a server abstracted from physical resources, which has been popular for years. The idea of cloud computing (and specifically OpenStack) is to extend that abstraction to all resources (compute, storage, network, management, etc). All of these things could be managed through APIs rather than vendor-specific prompts and user interfaces, such as GUIs, CLIs, etc.

The fundamental idea is to change the way IT is consumed (including compute, storage, and network). The value proposition of this change includes increasing efficiency (peak of sums, not sum of peaks) and on-demand elastic provisioning (faster engineering processes). For cost reduction in both CAPEX and OPEX, the cost models generally resemble “pay for what you use”. A customer can lease the space from a public cloud provider for a variable amount of time. In some cases, entire IT shops might migrate to a public cloud indefinitely. In others, a specific virtual workload may need to be executed one time for 15 minutes in the public cloud since some computationally-expensive operations may take too long in the on-premises DC. “Cloud bursting” is an example of utilizing a large amount of cloud resources for a very short period of time, perhaps to reduce/compress a large chunk of data, which is a one-time event.

OpenStack releases are scheduled every 6 months and many vendors from across the stack contribute to the code. The entire goal is to have an open-source cloud computing platform; while it may not be as feature-rich as large-scale public cloud implementations, it is considered a viable and stable alternative. OpenStack is composed of multiple projects which follow a basic process:

1. **External**: The idea phase
2. **Incubated**: Mature the software, migrate to OpenStack after 2 milestones of incubation
3. **Integrated**: Release as part of OpenStack
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OpenStack has several components (and growing) which are discussed briefly below. The components have code-names for quick reference within the OpenStack community; these are included in parentheses. Many of the components are supplementary and don’t comprise core OpenStack deployments, but can add value for specific cloud needs. Note that OpenStack compares directly to existing public cloud solutions offered by large vendors, except is open source with all code being available under the Apache 2.0 license.

1. **Compute (Nova):** Fabric controller (the main part of an IaaS system). Manages pools of compute resources. A compute resource could be a VM, container, or bare metal server. Side note: Containers are similar to VMs except they share a kernel. They are otherwise independent, like VMs, and are considered a lighter-weight yet secure alternative to VMs.

2. **Networking (Neutron):** Manages networks and IP addresses. Ensures the network is not a bottleneck or otherwise limiting factor in a production environment. This is technology-agnostic network abstraction which allows the user to create custom virtual networks, topologies, etc. For example, virtual network creation includes adding a subnet, gateway address, DNS, etc.

3. **Block Storage (Cinder):** Manages creation, attaching, and detaching of block storage devices to servers. This is not an implementation of storage itself, but provides an API to access that storage. Many storage appliance vendors often have a Cinder plug-in for OpenStack integration; this ultimately abstracts the vendor-specific user interfaces from the management process. Storage volumes can be detached and moved between instances (an interesting form of file transfer, for example) to share information and migrate data between projects.

4. **Identity (Keystone):** Directory service contains users mapped to services they can access. Somewhat similar to group policies applied in corporate deployments. Tenants (business units, groups/teams, customers, etc) are stored here which allows them to access resources/services within OpenStack; commonly this is access to the OpenStack Dashboard (Horizon) to manage an OpenStack environment.

5. **Image (Glance):** Provides discovery, registration, and retrieval of virtual machine images. It supports a RESTful API to query image metadata and the image itself.

6. **Object Storage (Swift):** Storage system with built-in data replication and integrity. Objects and files are written to disk using this interface which manages the I/O details. Scalable and resilient storage for all objects like files, photos, etc. This means the customer doesn’t have to deploy a block-storage solution themselves, then manage the storage protocols (iSCSI, NFS, etc).

7. **Dashboard (Horizon):** The GUI for administrators and users to access, provision, and automate resources. The dashboard is based on the Python Django framework and is layered on top of service APIs. Logging in relies on Keystone for identity management which secures access to the GUI. The dashboard supports different tenants with separate permissions and credentials; this is effectively role-based access control. The GUI provides the most basic/common functionality for users without needing CLI access, which is supported for advanced functions. The “security group” construct is used to enforce access control (administrators often need to configure this before being able to access new instances).

8. **Orchestration (Heat):** Orchestrates cloud applications via templates using a variety of APIs.

9. **Workflow (Mistral):** Manages user-created workflows (triggered manually or by some event).

10. **Telemetry (Ceilometer):** Provides a Single Point of Contact for billing systems used within the cloud environment.

11. **Database (Trove):** This is a Database-as-a-service provisioning engine.

12. **Elastic Map Reduce (Sahara):** Automated way to provision Hadoop clusters, like a wizard.

13. **Bare Metal (Ironic):** Provisions bare metal machines rather than virtual machines.

14. **Messaging (Zaqar):** Cloud messaging service for Web Developments (full RESTful API) used to communicate between SaaS and mobile applications.
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15. **Shared File System (Manila):** Provides an API to manage shares in a vendor agnostic fashion (create, delete, grant/deny access, etc).

16. **DNS (Designate):** Multi-tenant REST API for managing DNS (DNS-as-a-service).

17. **Search (Searchlight):** Provides search capabilities across various cloud services and is being integrated into the Dashboard. Searching for compute instance status and storage system names are common uses cases for administrators.

18. **Key Manager (Barbican):** Provides secure storage, provisioning, and management of secrets (passwords).

The key components of OpenStack and their interactions are depicted on the diagram that follows. The source of this image is included in the references as it was not created by the author. This depicts a near-minimal OpenStack deploy with respect to the number of services depicted. At the time of this writing and according to [OpenStack's help forum](https://openstack.org/forums/), the minimum services required appear to be Nova, Keystone, Glance, and Horizon. Such a deployment would not have any networking or remote storage support, but could be used for developers looking to run code on OpenStack compute instances in isolation.

![Openstack Component Interconnections](Figure 61: Openstack Component Interconnections)

This section briefly explores installing OpenStack on Amazon AWS as an EC2 instance. This is effectively “cloud inside of cloud” and while easy and inexpensive to install, is difficult to operate. As such, this demonstration details basic GUI navigation, CLI troubleshooting, and Nova instance creation using Cinder for storage.

For simplicity, packstack running on CentOS7 is used. The packstack package is a pre-made collection of OpenStack’s core services, including but not limited to Nova, Cinder, Neutron (limited), Horizon, and Keystone. Only these five services are explored in this demonstration.

The installation process for packstack on CentOS7 and RHEL7 can be found at [RDOproject](http://rdo.pro). The author recommends using a **t2.large** or **t2.xlarge** AWS EC2 instance for the CentOS7/RHEL7 base operating system. At the time of this writing, these instances cost less than 0.11 USD/hour and are affordable, assuming the instance is terminated after the demonstration is complete. The author used AWS Route53 (DNS) service to map the packstack public IP to [http://packstack.njrusmc.net](http://packstack.njrusmc.net) (link is dead at the time of this writing) to simplify access (this process is not shown). This is not required, but may simplify the packstack HTTP server configuration later. Be sure to record the DNS name of the EC2 instance if you are not using Route53 explicitly for hostname resolution; this name is auto-generated by AWS assuming the EC2 instance is placed in the default VPC. Also, after installation completes, take note of the instructions from the installer which provide access to the administrator password for initial login.
The author has some preparatory recommendations before logging into Horizon via the web GUI. Additionally, be sure to execute these steps before rebooting or powering off the packstack instance.

Follow the current AWS guidance on how to change the hostname of a CentOS7/RHEL7 EC2 instance. The reason is because, on initial provisioning, the hostname lacks the FQDN text which includes the domain name (hostname versus hostname.ec2.internal). Change the hostname to remove all of the FQDN domain information for consistency within packstack. This will alleviate potential issues with false negatives as it relates to nova compute nodes being down. The hostname command and the /etc/hostname file should look like the output below:

```
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# hostname
ip-172-31-9-84

[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# cat /etc/hostname
ip-172-31-9-84
```

In order to use the OpenStack CLI, many environment variables must be set. These variables can be set in the keystonerc_admin file. It is easiest to source the file within root's bash profile, then issue su - when switching to the root user after logging in as “centos”. Alternatively, the contents of the file can be manually pasted in the CLI as well. The author has already exported these environment variables which is why the prompt in the output below says “keystone admin”.

```
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# unset OS_SERVICE_TOKEN
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export OS_USERNAME=admin
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export OS_PASSWORD=5e6ec57785047a8
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export OS_AUTH_URL=http://172.31.9.84:5000/v3
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export PS1='[\u@\h \W(keystone_admin)]$ '
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export OS_PROJECT_NAME=admin
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export OS_USER_DOMAIN_NAME=Default
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export OS_PROJECT_DOMAIN_NAME=Default
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# export OS_IDENTITY_API_VERSION=3

[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# echo "source keystonerc_admin" >> ~/.bash_profile

[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# source keystonerc_admin
```

Next, if Horizon is behind a NAT device (which is generally true for AWS deployments), be sure to add a ServerAlias in /etc/httpd/conf.d/15-horizon_vhost.conf, as shown below. This will allow HTTP GET requests to the specific URL to be properly handled by the HTTP server running on the packstack instance. Note that the VirtualHost tags already exist and the ServerAlias must be added within those bounds.

```
<VirtualHost *:80>
    [snip]
    ServerAlias packstack.njrsmc.net
    [snip]
</VirtualHost>
```

The final pre-operations step recommended by the author is to reboot the system. The packstack installer may also suggest this is required. After reboot, log back into packstack via SSH, switch to root with a full login, and verify the hostname has been retained. Additionally, all packstack related environmental variables should be automatically loaded, simplifying CLI operations.

Navigate to the packstack instance’s DNS hostname in a web browser. The OpenStack GUI somewhat resembles that of AWS, which makes sense since both are meant to be cloud dashboards. The screenshot that follows shows the main GUI page after login, which is the Identity -> Projects page. Note that a “demo” project already exists, and fortunately for those new to OpenStack, there is an entire sample structure built around this. This document will explore the demo project specifically.
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This demonstration begins by exploring Keystone. Click on the Identity -> Users page, find the demo user, and select “Edit”. The screen that follows shows some of the fields required, and most are self-evident (name, email, password, etc). Update a few of the fields to add an email address and select a primary project, though neither is required. For brevity, this demonstration does not explore groups and roles, but these options are useful for management of larger OpenStack deployments.

Next, click on Identity -> Project and edit the demo project. The screenshots that follow depict the demonstration configuration for the basic project information and team members. Only the demo user is part of this project. Note that the Quota tab can be used in a multi-tenant environment, such as a hosted OpenStack-as-a-service solution, to ensure that an individual project does not consume too many resources.

The project members tab is shown below. Only the demo user is a member of the demo project by default, and this demonstration does not make any modifications to this.
Nova is explored next. Navigate to Project -> Compute -> Images. There is already a CirrOS image present, which is a small Linux-based OS designed for testing in cloud environments. Without much work, we can quickly spin up a CirrOS instance on packstack for testing. Click on Launch next to the CirrOS image to create a new instance. The Details tab is currently selected. The instance name will be CirrOS1; note that there is only one availability zone. The dashboard also shows the result of adding these new instances against the remaining limits of the system.

![Figure 66: Openstack Launch Details](image)

Under the Source tab, select Yes for Delete Volume on Instance Delete. This ensures that when the instance is terminated, the storage along with it is deleted also. This is nice for testing when instances are terminated regularly and their volumes are no longer needed. It's also good for public cloud environments where residual, unused volumes cost money (lesson learned the hard way). Click on the up arrow next to the CirrOS instance to move it from the Available menu to the Allocated menu.

![Figure 67: Openstack Launch Source](image)

Under Flavor, select m1.tiny which is appropriate for CirrOS. These flavors are general sizing models for the instance as it relates to compute, memory, and storage.
Figure 68: Openstack Launch Flavor

At this point, it is technically possible to launch the instance, but there are other important fields to consider. It would be exhaustive to document every single instance option, so only the most highly relevant ones are explored next.

Under Security Groups, note that the instance is part of the default security group since no explicit ones were created. This group allows egress communication to any IPv4 or IPv6 address, but no unsolicited ingress communication. Security Groups are stateful, so that returning traffic is allowed to reach the instance on ingress. This is true in the opposite direction as well; if ingress rules were defined, returning traffic would be allowed outbound even if the egress rules were not explicitly matched. AWS EC2 instance security groups work similarly, except only in the ingress direction. No changes are needed on this page for this demonstration.

Figure 69: Openstack Launch Security Groups

Packstack does not come with any key pairs by default, which make sense since the private key is only available once at the key pair creation time. Under Key Pair, click on Create Key Pair. Be sure to store the private key somewhere secure that provides confidentiality, integrity, and availability. Any Nova instances deployed using this key pair can only be accessed using the private key file, much like a specific key opens a specific lock.
After the key pair is generated, it can be viewed below and downloaded.

The OpenSSH client program (standard on Linux and Mac OS) will refuse to use private keys with their SSH clients unless the file is secured in terms of accessibility. In this case, the file permissions are reduced to read-only for the owning user and no others using the `chmod 0400` command in Linux and Mac OS. The command below sets the “read” permission for the file’s owner (nicholasrusso) and removes all other permissions.

```
Nicolass-MBP:ssh nicholasrusso$ ls -l CirrOS1-kp.pem
-rw-r--r--@ 1 nicholasrusso staff 1679 Aug 13 12:45 CirrOS1-kp.pem
Nicolass-MBP:ssh nicholasrusso$ chmod 0400 CirrOS1-kp.pem
Nicolass-MBP:ssh nicholasrusso$ ls -l CirrOS1-kp.pem
-r--------@ 1 nicholasrusso staff 1679 Aug 13 12:45 CirrOS1-kp.pem
```

Click on Launch Instance and navigate back to the main Instances menu. The screenshot that follows shows two separate CirrOS instances as the author repeated the procedure twice.
Exploring the volumes for these instances shows the iSCSI disks (block storage on Cinder) mapped to each CirrOS compute instance.

Accessing these instances, given the “cloud inside of cloud architecture”, is non-trivial. The author does not cover the advanced Neutron configuration to make this work, so accessing the instances is not covered in this demonstration. Future releases of this document may detail this.

Moving back to the CLI, there are literally hundreds of OpenStack commands used for configuration and troubleshooting of the cloud system. The author's favorite three Nova commands are shown next. Note that some of the columns were omitted to have it fit nicely on the screen, but the information removed was not terribly relevant for this demonstration. The host-list shows the host names and their services. The service-list is very useful to see if any hosts or services are down or disabled. The general list enumerates the configured instances. The two instances created above are displayed there.

```
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# nova host-list
+----------------+-------------+----------+
| host_name      | service     | zone     |
+----------------+-------------+----------+
| ip-172-31-9-84 | cert        | internal |
| ip-172-31-9-84 | conductor   | internal |
| ip-172-31-9-84 | scheduler   | internal |
| ip-172-31-9-84 | consoleauth | internal |
| ip-172-31-9-84 | compute     | nova     |
+----------------+-------------+----------+
```

```
[root@ip-172-31-9-84 ~]# nova service-list
+----+------------------+----------------+----------+-------+
| Id | Binary           | Host           | Zone     | Status | State |
+----+------------------+----------------+----------+-------+
```
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When the CirrOS instances were created, each was given a 1 GiB disk via iSCSI, which is block storage. This is the Cinder service in action. The chart below shows each volume mapped to a given instance; note that a single instance could have multiple disks, just like any other machine.

The command that follows shows basic information about the public subnet that comes with the packstack installer by default. Neutron was not explored in depth in this demonstration.
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4.1.3 Cloud Comparison Chart

Those who don’t need to create and operate their own private clouds may be inclined to use a well-known and trusted public cloud provider. At the time of this writing, the three most popular cloud providers are Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure, and Google Cloud Platform (GCP). The table below compares the OpenStack components to their counterparts in the aforementioned public cloud providers. The chart below is the result of the author’s personal research and will likely change over time as these cloud providers modify their cloud offerings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component/Utility</th>
<th>OpenStack</th>
<th>AWS</th>
<th>MS Azure</th>
<th>GCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compute</td>
<td>Nova</td>
<td>EC2</td>
<td>VMs</td>
<td>Compute Engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Neutron</td>
<td>VPC</td>
<td>Virtual Network</td>
<td>VPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Storage</td>
<td>Cinder</td>
<td>EBS</td>
<td>Storage Disk</td>
<td>Persistent Disk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Keystone</td>
<td>IAM</td>
<td>Active Directory</td>
<td>Cloud IAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Glance</td>
<td>Lightsail</td>
<td>VMs and Images</td>
<td>Cloud Vision API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object Storage</td>
<td>Swift</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>Cloud Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashboard</td>
<td>Horizon</td>
<td>Console</td>
<td>Portal</td>
<td>Console</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestration</td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>Cloud Dataflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workflow</td>
<td>Mistral</td>
<td>SWF</td>
<td>Logic Apps</td>
<td>Cloud Dataflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telemetry</td>
<td>Ceilometer</td>
<td>CloudWatch</td>
<td>VS App Insights</td>
<td>Cloud Pub/Sub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Trove</td>
<td>RDS</td>
<td>SQL Database</td>
<td>Cloud Spanner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map Reduce</td>
<td>Sahara</td>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>HDInsight</td>
<td>BigQuery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messaging</td>
<td>Zaqar</td>
<td>SQS</td>
<td>Queue Storage</td>
<td>Cloud Pub/Sub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Files</td>
<td>Manila</td>
<td>EFS</td>
<td>File Storage</td>
<td>FUSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>Designate</td>
<td>Route 53</td>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>Cloud DNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search</td>
<td>Searchlight</td>
<td>Elastic Search</td>
<td>Elastic Search</td>
<td>SearchService</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Manager</td>
<td>Barbican</td>
<td>KMS</td>
<td>Key Vault</td>
<td>Cloud KMS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Commercial Cloud Provider Comparison

4.2 Network Programmability

4.2.1 SDN Controllers

Controllers are components that are responsible for programming forwarding tables of data-plane devices. Controllers themselves could even be routers, like Cisco’s PIR operating as a master controller (MC), or they could be software-only appliances, as seen with OpenFlow networks or Cisco’s Application Policy Infrastructure Controller (APIC) used with ACI. The models discussed above help detail the significance of the controller; this is entirely dependent on the deployment model. The more involved a controller is, the more flexibility the network administrator gains. This must be weighed against the increased reliance on the controller itself.

A well-known example of an SDN controller is Open DayLight (ODL). ODL is commonly used as the SDN controller for OpenFlow deployments. OpenFlow is the communications protocol between ODL and the data-plane devices responsible for forwarding packets (southbound). ODL communicates with business applications via APIs so that the network can be programmed to meet the requirements of the applications (northbound).
It is worth discussing a few of Cisco’s solutions in this section as they are both popular with customers and relevant to Cisco’s vision of the future of networking. Cisco’s Intelligent WAN (IWAN) is an evolutionary strategy to bring policy abstraction to the WAN to meet modern design requirements, such as path optimization, cost reduction via commodity transport, and transport independence. IWAN has several key components:

1. **Dynamic Multipoint Virtual Private Network (DMVPN)**: This feature is a multipoint IP tunneling mechanism that allows sites to communicate to a central hub site without the hub needing to configure every remote spoke. Some variants of DMVPN allow for direct spoke-to-spoke traffic exchange using a reactive control-plane used to map overlay and underlay addresses into pairs. DMVPN can provide transport independence as it can be used as an overlay atop the public Internet, private WANs (MPLS), or any other transport that carries IP.

2. **IP Service Level Agreement (IP SLA)**: This feature is used to synthesize traffic to match application flows on the network. By sending probes that look like specific applications, IWAN can test application performance and make adjustments. This is called “active monitoring”. The newest version of PfR (version 3) used within IWAN 2.0 no longer uses IP SLA. Instead, it uses Cisco-specific “Smart Probes” which provide some additional monitoring capabilities.

3. **Netflow**: Like probes, Netflow is used to measure the performance of specific applications across an IWAN deployment, but does so without sending traffic. These measurements can be used to estimate bandwidth utilization, among other things. This is called “passive monitoring”.

4. **IP Routing**: Although not a new feature, some kind of overlay routing protocol is still needed. One of IWAN’s greatest strengths is that it can still rely on IP routing for a subset of flows, while choosing to optimize others. A total failure of the IWAN “intelligence” constructs will allow the WAN to fall back to classic IP routing, which is a known-good design and guaranteed to work. For this reason, existing design best practices and requirements gathering cannot be skipped when IWAN is deployed as these decisions can have significant business impacts.

5. **Performance Routing (PfR)**: PfR is the glue of IWAN that combines all of the aforementioned features into a comprehensive and functional system. It enhances IP routing in a number of ways:
   
   (a) Adjusting routing attributes, such as BGP local-preference, to prefer certain paths
   
   (b) Injecting longer matches to prefer certain paths
   
   (c) Installing dynamic route-maps for policy-routing when application packets are to be forwarded based on something other than their destination IP address

When PfR is deployed, PfR speakers are classified as master controllers (MC) or border routers (BR). MCs are the SDN “controllers” where policy is configured and distributed. The BRs are relatively unintelligent in that they consume commands from the MC and apply the proper policy. There can be a hierarchy of MC/BR as well to provide greater availability for remote sites that lose WAN connectivity. MCs are typically deployed in a stateless HA pair using loopback addresses with variable lengths; the MCs typically exist in or near the corporate data centers.

The diagram that follows depicts a high-level drawing of how IWAN works (from Cisco’s IWAN wiki page). IWAN is generally positioned as an SD-WAN solution as a way to connect branch offices to HQ locations, such as data centers.
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4.2.2 DevOps methodologies, tools and workflows

The term “DevOps” is relatively new and is meant to describe not just a job title but a cultural shift in service delivery, management, and operation. It was formerly known as “agile system administration” or “agile methodology”. The keyword “agile” typically refers to the integration of development and operations staff throughout the entire lifecycle of a service. The idea is to tear down the silos and the resulting poor service delivery that both teams facilitate. Often times, developers will create applications without understanding the constraints of the network, while the network team will create a network (ineffective QoS, slow rerouting, etc) policies that don’t support the business-critical applications.

The tools and workflows used within the DevOps community are things that support an information sharing environment. Many of them are focused on version control, service monitoring, configuration management, orchestration, containerization, and everything else needed to typically support a service through its lifecycle. The key to DevOps is that using a specific DevOps tool does not mean an organization has embraced the DevOps culture or mentality. A good phrase is “People over Process over Tools”, as the importance of a successful DevOps team is reliance on those things, in that order.

DevOps also introduces several new concepts. Two critical ones are continuous integration (CI) and continuous delivery (CD). The CI/CD mindset suggests several changes to traditional software development. Some of the key points are listed here.

1. Everyone can see the changes: Dev, Ops, Quality Assurance (QA), management, etc.
2. Verification is an exact clone of the production environment, not simply a smoke-test on a developer’s test bed
3. The build and deployment/upgrade process is automated
4. Provide software in short timeframes and ensure releases are always available in increments
5. Reduce friction, increase velocity
6. Reduce silos, increase collaboration

On the topic of software development models, it is beneficial to compare the commonly used models with the new agile or DevOps mentality. Additional details on these software development models can be found in the references. The table that follows contains a comparison chart of the different models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waterfall</th>
<th>Iterative</th>
<th>Agile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Summary

Five serial phases, no feedback
1. Requirements
2. Design
3. Implementation
4. Verification
5. Maintenance

Like the waterfall model, but operates in loops. This creates a feedback mechanism at each cycle to promote a faster and more flexible process.

Advances when the current function or step is complete; cyclical model.

Pros

Simple, well understood, long history, requires minimal resources and management oversight
Simple, well understood, opportunity to adjust, requires slightly more resources than waterfall (but still reasonable)
Customer is engaged (better feedback), early detection of issues during rapid code development periods (sprints)

Cons

Difficult to revert, customer is not engaged until the end, higher risk, slow to deliver
Can be inefficient, customer feedback comes at the end of an iteration (not within)
High quantity of resources required, more focused management and customer interaction needed

Table 9: Software Development Methodology Comparison

There are a number of popular Agile methodologies. Two of them are discussed below.

1. **Scrum** is considered lightweight as the intent of most Agile methodologies is to maximize the amount of productive work accomplished during a given time period. In Scrum, a “sprint” is a period of time upon which certain tasks are expected to be accomplished. At the beginning of the sprint, the Scrum Master (effectively a task manager) holds a ~4 hour planning meeting whereby work is prioritized and assigned to individuals. Tasks are pulled from the sprint backlog into a given sprint. The only meetings thereafter (within a sprint) are typically 15 minute daily stand-ups to report progress or problems (and advance items across the Scrum board). If a sprint is 2 weeks (80 hours) then only about 6 hours of it is spent in meetings. This may or may not include a retrospective discussion at the end of a sprint to discuss what went well/poorly. Tasks such as bugs, features, change requests, and more topics are tracked on a “scrum board” which drives the work for the entire sprint.

2. **Kanban** is another Agile methodology which seeks to further optimize useful work done. Unlike scrum, it is less structured in terms of time and it lacks the concept of a sprint. As such, there is neither a sprint planning session nor a sprint retrospective. Rather than limit work by units of time, it limits work by the number of concurrent tasks occurring at once. The Kanban board, therefore, is more focused on tracking the number of tasks (sometimes called stories) within a single chronological point in the development cycle (often called Work In Progress or WIP). The most basic Kanban board might have three columns: To Do, Doing, Done. Ensuring that there is not too much work in any column keeps productivity high. Additionally, there is no official task manager in Kanban, though an individual may assume a similar role given the size/scope of the project. Finally, release cycles are not predetermined, which means releases can be more frequent.

Although these Agile methodologies were initially intended for software development, they can be adapted for work in any industry. The author has personally seen Scrum used within a network security engineering team to organize tasks, limit the scope of work over a period of time, and regularly deliver production-ready designs, solutions, and consultancy to a large customer. The author personally uses Kanban for personal task management, as well as network operations and even home construction projects. Both strategies have universal applicability.
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4.2.3 Basic Jenkins Setup Demonstration

Several CI/CD tools exist today. A common, open-source tool is known as Jenkins which can be used for many CI/CD workflows. The feature list from Jenkins’ website (included in the references) nicely summarizes the features of the tool.

1. **Continuous Integration and Continuous Delivery:** As an extensible automation server, Jenkins can be used as a simple CI server or turned into the continuous delivery hub for any project.

2. **Easy installation:** Jenkins is a self-contained Java-based program, ready to run out-of-the-box, with packages for Windows, Mac OS X and other Unix-like operating systems.

3. **Easy configuration:** Jenkins can be easily set up and configured via its web interface, which includes on-the-fly error checks and built-in help.

4. **Plugins:** With hundreds of plugins in the Update Center, Jenkins integrates with practically every tool in the continuous integration and continuous delivery toolchain.

5. **Extensible:** Jenkins can be extended via its plugin architecture, providing nearly infinite possibilities for what Jenkins can do.

6. **Distributed:** Jenkins can easily distribute work across multiple machines, helping drive builds, tests and deployments across multiple platforms faster.

In this demonstration, the author explores two common Jenkins usages. The first is utilizing the Git and Github plugins to create a “build server” for code maintained in a repository. The demonstration will be limited to basic Jenkins installation, configuration, and integration with a Github repository. The actual testing of the code itself is a follow-on step that readers can perform according to their CI/CD needs. This demonstration uses an Amazon Linux EC2 instance in AWS, which is similar to Redhat Linux.

Before installing Jenkins on a target Linux machine, ensure Java 1.8.0 is installed to prevent any issues. The commands below accomplish this, but the outputs are not shown for brevity.

```bash
yum install -y java-1.8.0-openjdk.x86_64
alternatives --set java /usr/lib/jvm/jre-1.8.0-openjdk.x86_64/bin/java
alternatives --set javac /usr/lib/jvm/jre-1.8.0-openjdk.x86_64/bin/javac
```

To install Jenkins, issue these commands as root (indentation included for readability). Technically, some of these commands can be issued from a non-root user. The AWS installation guide for Jenkins, included in the references, suggests doing so as root.

```bash
wget -O /etc/yum.repos.d/jenkins.repo http://pkg.jenkins-ci.org/redhat/jenkins.repo
rpm --import https://pkg.jenkins.io/redhat/jenkins.io.key
yum install jenkins
service jenkins start
```

Verify Jenkins is working after the completing the installation. Also, download the jenkins.war file (64MB) to get Jenkins CLI access, which is useful for bypassing the GUI for some tasks. Because the file is large, users may want to run it as a background task by appending & to the command (not shown). It is used below to check the Jenkins version.

```bash
[root@ip-10-125-0-85 .ssh#]# service jenkins status
jenkins (pid 2666) is running...
[root@ip-10-125-0-85 jenkins]# wget -q http://mirrors.jenkins.io/war/1.649/jenkins.war
[root@ip-10-125-0-85 jenkins]# java -jar jenkins.war --version
1.649
```

Once installed, log into Jenkins at http://jenkins.njrusmc.net:8080/, substituting the correct host address. Enable the Git plugins via Manage Jenkins > Manage Plugins > Available tab. Enter git in the

By: Nicholas Russo http://njrusmc.net
search bar. Select the plugs shown below and install them. Each one will be installed, along with all their dependencies.

![Jenkins git Plugins](image)

**Figure 75: Jenkins git Plugins**

Log into Github and navigate to Settings > Developer settings > Personal access tokens. These tokens can be used as an easy authentication method via shared-secret to access Github's API. When generating a new token, `admin:org_hook` must be granted at a minimum, but in the interest of experimentation, the author selected a few other options as depicted in the image that follows.

![Jenkins Personal Github Access Token](image)

**Figure 76: Jenkins Personal Github Access Token**

After the token has been generated and the secret copied, go to Credentials > Global Credentials and create a new credential. The graphic below depicts all parameters. This credential will be used for the Github API integration.

![Jenkins Personal Access Tokens](image)

**Figure 77: Jenkins Personal Access Tokens**

Next, navigate to Manage Jenkins > Configure System, then scroll down to the Git and Github configurations. Configure the Git username and email under the Git section. For the Github section, the secret text authentication method should be used to allow Github API access.

![Jenkins User-specific Plugins](image)

**Figure 78: Jenkins User-specific Plugins**
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The global Jenkins configuration for Git/Github integration is complete. Next, create a new repository (or use an existing one) within Github. This process is not described as Github basics are covered elsewhere in this book. The author created a new repository called jenkins-demo.

After creating the Github repository, the following commands are issued on the user’s machine to make a first commit. Github provides these commands in an easy copy/paste format to get started quickly. The assumption is that the user’s laptop already has the correct SSH integration with Github.

MacBook-Pro:jenkins-demo nicholasrusso$ echo "# jenkins-demo" >> README.md
MacBook-Pro:jenkins-demo nicholasrusso$ git init
Initialized empty Git repository in /Users/nicholasrusso/projects/jenkins-demo/.git/
MacBook-Pro:jenkins-demo nicholasrusso$ git add README.md
MacBook-Pro:jenkins-demo nicholasrusso$ git commit -m "first commit"
[master (root-commit) ac98dd9] first commit
 1 file changed, 1 insertion(+)
create mode 100644 README.md
MacBook-Pro:jenkins-demo nicholasrusso$ git remote add origin \
> git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git
MacBook-Pro:jenkins-demo nicholasrusso$ git push -u origin master

Counting objects: 3, done.
Writing objects: 100% (3/3), 228 bytes | 0 bytes/s, done.
Total 3 (delta 0), reused 0 (delta 0)
To git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git
  * [new branch] master -> master
Branch master set up to track remote branch master from origin.

After this initial commit, a simple Ansible playbook has been added as our source code. Intermediate file creation and Git source code management (SCM) steps are omitted for brevity, but there are now two commits in the Git log. As it relates to Cisco Evolving Technologies, one would probably commit customized code for Cisco Network Services Orchestration (NSO) or perhaps Cisco-specific Ansible playbooks for testing. Jenkins would be able to access these files, test it (or on a slave processing node within the Jenkins system), and provide feedback about the build’s quality. Jenkins can be configured to initiate software builds (including compilation) using a variety of tools and these builds can be triggered from a variety of events. These features are not explored in detail in this demonstration.

---

# sample-pb.yml
- hosts: localhost
  connection: local
  gather_facts: false
  tasks:
    - file:
        path: "/etc/ansible/ansible.cfg"
        state: present
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MBP:jenkins-demo nicholasrusso$ git log --oneline --decorate
bb91945 (HEAD -> master, origin/master) Create sample-pb.yml
ac98dd9 first commit

Next, log into the Jenkins box, wherever it exists (the author is using AWS EC2 to host Jenkins for this demo on an m3.medium instance). SSH keys must be generated in the Jenkins users’ home directory since this is the user running the software. In the current release of Jenkins, the home directory is /var/lib/jenkins/.

[root@ip-10-125-0-85 ~]# grep jenkins /etc/passwd
jenkins:x:498:497:Jenkins Automation Server:/var/lib/jenkins:/bin/false

The intermediate Linux file system steps to create the ~/.ssh/ directory and ~/.ssh/known_hosts file are not shown for brevity. Additionally, generating RSA2048 keys is not shown. Navigating to the .ssh directory is recommended since there are additional commands that use these files.

[root@ip-10-125-0-85 .ssh]# pwd
/var/lib/jenkins/.ssh

[root@ip-10-125-0-85 .ssh]# ls
id_rsa id_rsa.pub known_hosts

Next, add the Jenkins user’s public key to Github under either your personal username or a Jenkins utility user (preferred). The author uses his personal username for brevity in this example shown in the diagram that follows.

[root@ip-10-125-0-85 .ssh]# cat id_rsa.pub
ssh-rsa AAAAB3NzaC1yc2EAAAADAQABAAABAQDd6qISM3f/mhmSeau6DSFMhvlT8QkXyyY73Tz8Nu f+Syte1hP15gq7ao3IAO8l1pDBhvtGxWvHEyQhMu0J7ffWAsT0GRRI13y9p9n6Y2/8AGW7p+cQ4tGpcz Zkh/Xs7LFyYAK3DiV86fEoEiX20/dC5W72aF31ZB1sVHyc9Bcka8vmVb2gVkJ1nQg6VQI1C6ayyL wyjFxEDGAr0bkOZ4Gbq8gjnOak9LT84SvkmOwEJ1jNIw1ipoxSioVSSc/rsA0A3e9nW7/HQGuBbbI 0Gx7k4uQLTCPeduU+VgII3w1s1TRvc+1XEn58q1c6nFIbAW1kJj8I/+1fEj jenkins-ssh-key

Figure 80: Github SSH Keys for Jenkins Access

The commands below verify that the keys are functional. Note that the -i flag must be used because the command is run as root, and a different identity file (Jenkins’ user private key) should be used for this test.

[root@ip-10-125-0-85 .ssh]# ssh -T git@github.com -i id_rsa
Hi nickrusso42518! You’ve successfully authenticated, but GitHub does not provide shell access.

Before continuing, edit the /etc/passwd file as root to give the Jenkins user access to any valid shell (bash or sh). Additionally, use yum or apt-get to install git so that Jenkins can issue git commands. The git installation via yum is not shown for brevity.

[root@ip-10-125-0-85 plugins]# grep jenkins /etc/passwd
jenkins:x:498:497:Jenkins Automation Server:/var/lib/jenkins/bin/bash

Once Git is installed and Jenkins has shell access, copy the repository URL in SSH format from Github and substitute it as the repository argument in the command below. This is the exact command that Jenkins tries
to run when a project’s SCM is set to git; this tests reachability to a repository using SSH. If you accidentally run the command as root, it will fail due to using root's public key rather than Jenkins' public key. Switch to the Jenkins user, try again, and test the return code (0 means success).

```
[root@ip-10-125-0-85 plugins]# git ls-remote -h \
  git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git HEAD
Permission denied (publickey).
fatal: Could not read from remote repository.
```

Please make sure you have the correct access rights and the repository exists.

```
[root@ip-10-125-0-85 plugins]# su jenkins
bash-4.2$ git ls-remote -h \
  > git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git HEAD
bash-4.2$ echo $? 0
```

The URL above can be copied by starting to clone the Github repository as shown below. Be sure to select SSH to get the correct repository link.

![Github Repository URL for Jenkins Demo](image)

```
Figure 81: Github Repository URL for Jenkins Demo
```

At this point, adding a new Jenkins project should succeed when the repository link is supplied. This is an option under SCM for the project whereby the only choices are git and None. If it fails, an error message will be prominently displayed on the screen and the error is normally related to SSH setup. Do not specify any credentials for this because the SSH public key method is inherent with the setup earlier. The screenshot that follows depicts this process.

![Jenkins Source Code Management via git](image)

```
Figure 82: Jenkins Source Code Management via git
```

As a final check, you can view the Console Output for this project/build by clicking the icon on the left. It reveals the git commands executed by Jenkins behind the scenes to perform the pull, which is mostly
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git fetch to pull down new data from the Github repository associated with the project.

Started by user anonymous
Building in workspace /var/lib/jenkins/workspace/jenkins-demo
Cloning the remote Git repository
Cloning repository git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git
> git init /var/lib/jenkins/workspace/jenkins-demo # timeout=10
> git clone git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git
> git --version # timeout=10
> git fetch --tags --progress git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git
> +refs/heads/*:refs/remotes/origin/*
> git config remote.origin.url git@github.com:nickrusso42518/jenkins-demo.git # timeout=10

[snip]
Commit message: "Create sample-pb.yml"
First time build. Skipping changelog.
Finished: SUCCESS

The project workspace shows the files in the repository, which includes the newly created Ansible playbook.

![Workspace of jenkins-demo on master](image)

Figure 83: Jenkins Project Workspace

This section briefly explores configuring Jenkins integration with AWS EC2. There are many more detailed guides on the Internet which describe this process; this book includes the author's personal journey into setting it up. Just like with Git, the AWS EC2 plugins must be installed. Look for the AWS EC2 plugin as shown in the diagram that follows, and install it. The Jenkins wiki concisely describes how this integration works and what problems it can solve:

*Allow Jenkins to start slaves on EC2 or Eucalyptus on demand, and kill them as they get unused. With this plugin, if Jenkins notices that your build cluster is overloaded, it'll start instances using the EC2 API and automatically connect them as Jenkins slaves. When the load goes down, excessive EC2 instances will be terminated. This set up allows you to maintain a small in-house cluster, then spill the spiky build/test loads into EC2 or another EC2 compatible cloud.*

![Amazon EC2 plugin](image)

Figure 84: AWS EC2 Plugin for Jenkins Integration

Log into the AWS console and navigate to the Identity Access Management (IAM) service. Create a new user that has full EC2 access which effectively grants API access to EC2 for Jenkins. The user will come with an access ID and secret access key. Copy both pieces of information as Jenkins must know both.
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Next, create a new credential of type **AWS credential**. Populate the fields as shown below.

![Figure 86: Jenkins AWS Credential Creation](image)

Navigate back to **Manage Jenkins > Configure System > Add a new cloud**. Choose Amazon EC2 and populate the credentials option with the recently created AWS credentials using the secret access key for the IAM user `jenkins`. You must select a specific AWS region. Additionally, you'll need to paste the EC2 private key used for any EC2 instances managed by Jenkins. This is not for general AWS API access but for shell access to EC2 instances in order to control them. For security, you can create a new key pair within AWS (recommended but not shown) for Jenkins-based hosts in case the general-purpose EC2 private key is stolen.

![Figure 87: Adding AWS Cloud Option via Jenkins](image)

You can validate the connection using the **Test Connection** button which should result in success.

![Figure 88: Testing Connection from AWS to Jenkins](image)

The final step is determining what kind of AMIs Jenkins should create within AWS. There can be multiple AMIs for different operating systems, including Windows, depending on the kind of testing that needs to be done. Perhaps it is useful to run the tests on different OS’ as part of a more comprehensive testing strategy for software portability. There are many options to enter and the menu is somewhat similar to launching native instances within EC2. A subset of options is shown here; note that you can validate the spelling of the AMI codes (accessible from the AWS EC2 console) using the **Check AMI** button. More details on this process can be found in the references.

By: Nicholas Russo  
[http://njrusmc.net](http://njrusmc.net)
With both Github and AWS EC2 integration set up, a developer can create large projects complete with automated testing from SCM repository and automatic scaling within the public cloud. Provided there was a larger, complex project which requires slave processing nodes, EC2 nodes would be dynamically created based on the need or the administrator assigned labels within a project.

Jenkins is not the only commonly used CI/CD tool. Gitlab, which is private (on-premises) version of Github, supports source code management (SCM) and CI/CD together. A real-life example of this implementation is provided in the references. All of these options come at a very low price and allow individuals to deploy higher quality code more rapidly, which is a core tenant of Agile software development. The author has participated in a number of free podcasts on CI/CD and has used a variety of different providers. These podcasts are linked in the references.

4.3 Internet of Things

4.3.1 Performance, Reliability, and Scalability

The performance of IoT devices is going to be a result of the desired security and the access type. Many IoT devices will be equipped with relatively inexpensive and weak hardware; this is sensible from a business perspective as the device only needs to perform a few basic functions. This could be seen as a compromise of security since strong ciphers typically require more computational power for encryption/decryption functionality. In addition, some IoT devices may be expected to last for decades while it is highly unlikely that the same is true about cryptographic ciphers. In short, more expensive hardware is going to be more secure and resilient.

The access type is mostly significant when performance is discussed. Although 4G LTE is very popular and widespread in the United States and other countries, it is not available everywhere. Some parts of the world are still heavily reliant on 2G/3G cellular service which is less capable and slower. A widely distributed IoT network may have a combination of these access types with various levels of performance. Higher performing 802.11 Wi-Fi speeds typically require more expensive radio hardware, more electricity, and a larger physical size. Physical access types (wired devices) will be generally immobilized which could be considered a detriment to physical performance, if mobility is required for an IoT device to do its job effectively.
# Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition/Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6LoWPAN</td>
<td>IPv6 over Low Power WPANs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACI</td>
<td>Application Centric Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFV</td>
<td>Application Function Virtualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Amazon Machine Instance (AWS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>Application Programming Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APIC</td>
<td>Application Policy Infrastructure Controller (ACI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARN</td>
<td>Amazon Resource Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>Adaptive Security Appliance (virtual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWS</td>
<td>Amazon Web Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Availability Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGP</td>
<td>Border Gateway Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR</td>
<td>Border Router</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPEX</td>
<td>Capital Expenditures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCB</td>
<td>Configuration Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI/CD</td>
<td>Continuous Integration/Continuous Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cluster Head (see LEACH, TEEN, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Configuration Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COAP</td>
<td>Constrained Application Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTS</td>
<td>Commercial Off The Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Cloud Service Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSPF</td>
<td>Constrained Shortest Path First (see MPLS, TE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUC</td>
<td>Cisco Unity Connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Data Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCN</td>
<td>Data Center Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCOM</td>
<td>Distributed Component Object Model (Microsoft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEEC</td>
<td>Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDEEC</td>
<td>Developed Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHCP</td>
<td>Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMVPN</td>
<td>Dynamic Multipoint VPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Digital Network Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA-C</td>
<td>Digital Network Architecture Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>Domain Name System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTD</td>
<td>Document Type Definition (see HTML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTLS</td>
<td>Datagram TLS (UDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVS</td>
<td>Distributed Virtual Switch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBS</td>
<td>Elastic Block Storage (AWS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC2</td>
<td>Elastic Compute Cloud (AWS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDEEC</td>
<td>Enhanced Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EID</td>
<td>Endpoint Identifier (see LISP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>Generic Routing Encapsulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gRPC</td>
<td>Google Remote Procedure Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAL</td>
<td>Hardware Abstraction Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL</td>
<td>Hashicorp Configuration Language (Terraform)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTML</td>
<td>HyperText Markup Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTP</td>
<td>HyperText Transport Protocol (see HTML)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2RS</td>
<td>Interface to the Routing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IaaS</td>
<td>Infrastructure as a service (generic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDL</td>
<td>Interface Definition Language (gRPC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>Instant Messaging and Presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IoT</td>
<td>Internet of Things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iSCSI</td>
<td>Internet Small Computer System Interface (see SAN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISP</td>
<td>Internet Service Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISR</td>
<td>Integrated Services Router</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX/IXP</td>
<td>Internet eXchange/Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSON</td>
<td>JavaScript Object Notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KVM</td>
<td>Kernel-based Virtual Machine (Linux)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAN</td>
<td>Local Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEACH</td>
<td>Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEACH-C</td>
<td>Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy – Centralized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LISP</td>
<td>Locator/Identifier Separation Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLN</td>
<td>Low power and Lossy Networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSP</td>
<td>Label Switched Patch (see MPLS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXC</td>
<td>Linux Containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Master Controller (MC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIB</td>
<td>Management Information Base (see SNMP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPLS</td>
<td>Multi Protocol Label Switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQTT</td>
<td>Message Queuing Telemetry Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTE</td>
<td>Minimum Transfer of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>Maximum Transmission Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPC</td>
<td>Remote Procedure Call (NETCONF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFV</td>
<td>Network Function Virtualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFVI</td>
<td>Network Function Virtualization Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFVIS</td>
<td>Network Function Virtualization Infrastructure Software (hypervisor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGIPsv</td>
<td>Next-Generation Intrusion Prevention System (virtual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHRP</td>
<td>Next-hop Resolution Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMS</td>
<td>Network Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS</td>
<td>Network Operating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSH</td>
<td>Network Services Header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSP</td>
<td>Network Service Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVGRE</td>
<td>Network Virtualization using GRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODBC</td>
<td>Open Database Connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODL</td>
<td>Open DayLight (see SDN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>OpenFlow (see SDN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSI</td>
<td>Open Systems Interconnection model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEX</td>
<td>Operational Expenditures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT</td>
<td>Operations Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVS</td>
<td>Open vSwitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaaS</td>
<td>Platform as a service (generic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGASIS</td>
<td>Power Efficient Gathering in Sensor Info Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIR</td>
<td>Performance Routing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKI</td>
<td>Public Key Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>Power Line Communications (IoT multi-service edge transport)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP</td>
<td>Point of Presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSK</td>
<td>Pre-shared Key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QEMU</td>
<td>Quick Emulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>Root Cause Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REST</td>
<td>Representation State Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIB</td>
<td>Routing Information Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLOC</td>
<td>Routing Locator (see LISP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>Return on Investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPL</td>
<td>IPv6 Routing Protocol for LLNs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Simple Storage Service (AWS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaaS</td>
<td>Software as a service (generic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN</td>
<td>Storage Area Network (see DC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM</td>
<td>Source Code Management (see CM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDN</td>
<td>Software Defined Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>Service Level Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNMP</td>
<td>Simple Network Management Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCO</td>
<td>Total Cost of Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Traffic Engineering (see MPLS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEEN</td>
<td>Threshold-sensitive Energy Efficient Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLS</td>
<td>Transport Layer Security (TCP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCCX</td>
<td>Unified Contact Center eXpress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCM</td>
<td>Unified Communications Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URI</td>
<td>Universal Resource Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>Version Control (see CM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM</td>
<td>Virtual Machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPC</td>
<td>Virtual Private Cloud (AWS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPN</td>
<td>Virtual Private Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRF</td>
<td>VPN Routing and Forwarding (see MPLS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSN</td>
<td>Virtual Service Node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSS</td>
<td>Virtual Switching System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTF</td>
<td>Virtual Topology Forwarder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTS</td>
<td>Virtual Topology System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VXLAN</td>
<td>Virtual eXtensible Local Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAN</td>
<td>Wide Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIP</td>
<td>Work In Progress or Work In Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLAN</td>
<td>Wireless Local Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLC</td>
<td>Wireless LAN Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPAN</td>
<td>Wireless Personal Area Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XaaS</td>
<td>X as a service (generic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XML</td>
<td>eXtensible Markup Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAML</td>
<td>YAML Ain’t Markup Language (formerly Yet Another Markup Language)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTP</td>
<td>Zero Touch Provisioning (Viptela)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>